Fort St. John Pilot Project

# **Forest Operations Schedule #3**

# **Final Version**

**Revised Subsequent to 2017 Public Review and Comment** 

Copy submitted to the

District Manager, Peace Resource District, Ministry of Forests, Lands, Natural Resource Operations and Rural Development

October 4, 2017



#### Preface

The Forest Operations Schedule #3 for the Fort St. John Pilot Project Area was prepared in accordance with the *Fort St. John Pilot Project Regulation* and Sustainable Forest Management Plan #2 dated September 22, 2010 and approved by government on November 23, 2010. This final version of Forest Operations Schedule #3 is consistent with the approved Sustainable Forest Management Plan #2 and with proposed Sustainable Forest Management Plan #3 dated May 24, 2016 and submitted to government for approval May 30, 2016.

Although this public document is intended to be useful to a wide variety of readers, emphasis is placed towards:

- Employees of the Participants who will use the plan to guide plans and activities;
- Government agency representatives involved in the issuance of cutting and road construction authorities.

This final version of Forest Operations Schedule #3 (FOS# 3) is presented in accordance with Section 45 and Schedule C of the *Fort St. John Pilot Project Regulation*. Upon the completion of an extensive public review, FOS# 3 was revised to incorporate changes made in response to comments received by the Participants during the public review of draft FOS# 3. A list of revisions made in response to the public review is included in Appendix G.

Several authors and many reviewers contributed in developing key components of the Forest Operations Schedule. Preparation and submission of the Forest Operations Schedule was coordinated by:

Evan Hauk RPF

Tony Wipfli RPF

On behalf of Canadian Forest Products Ltd., Louisiana-Pacific Canada Ltd., Mackenzie Pulp Mill Corporation., Cameron River Logistics, Dunne-za LP, Peace Valley OSB and BC Timber Sales:



Darrell Regimbald

Planning Coordinator Forest Management Group Canadian Forest Products Ltd

1 SUSAL.

Tony Wipfli, RPF

Planning Forester Peace-Liard Business Area BC Timber Sales

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Objectives and Scope	1
1.2 Description Of The Pilot Project	2
2.0 MAPS AND OTHER INFORMATION INCLUDED IN THE FOS	4
2.1 Map Information	4
2.2 Table Information	6
3.0 SUMMARY OF SFMP INDICATORS IMPACTED BY THE FOS	9
3.1 Timber Harvesting Strategy Indicators:	9
Graham Harvest Timing (SFMP Section.6.18)	9
Graham Merchantable Area Harvested (SFMP Section 6.19)	.10
Graham Connectivity (SFMP Section 6.20)	.11
M.K.M.A (SFMP Section 6.21)	.11
Summer and Fall Volumes (SFMP Section 6.48)	.12
Coordination (SFMP Section 6.50)	.12
Timber Profile (SFMP Section 6.51)	.12
Timber Profile (SFMP Section 6.52)	.14
3.2 Road Access Management Strategy Indicators	.15
Recreation Opportunity Spectrum (SFMP Section 6.45)	.15
3.3 Patch Size, Seral Stage Distribution, and Adjacency Strategy Indicators	.17
Seral Stages (SFMP Section 6.2)	.17
Patch Size (SFMP Section 6.3)	.22
3.4 Riparian Management Strategy Indicators	.24
Riparian Management River Corridors (SFMP Section 6.22)	.24
Peak Flow Index (SFMP Section 6.34)	.24
3.5 Visual Quality Management Strategy Indicator	.27
Visual Quality Objectives (SFMP Section 6.44)	.27
3.6 Range and Forage Management Strategy Indicator	.28
Range Actions Plans (SFMP Section 6.41)	.28
3.7 Forest Health Management Strategy Indicators:	.29
Forest Types (SFMP Section 6.1)	.29
Forest Health FOS Planning (SFMP Section 6.49)	.31
3.8 Other SFMP Indicators related to the FOS:	.31
Shrubs (SFMP Section 6.8)	.31
Ungulate Winter Ranges, Wildlife Habitat Areas and MKMA (SFMP Section 6.16)	.32
Guides, Trappers and other interests (SFMP Section 6.46)	.33
Number of Known Values and Uses Addressed in Operational Planning (SFMP Section 6.57)	.33
Regulatory Public Review and Comment Process (SFMP Section 6.58)	.34

Public Inquiries (SFMP Section 6.60)	34
Representative Examples of Ecosystems (SFMP Section 6.17)	34
Indicator Analysis Summary	37

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Approximate Graham IRM Area Harvest Sequencing	10
Table 2: Supply Block F Deciduous Leading Stand Area	13
Table 3: Baseline Condition – 1996 ROS Inventory	16
Table 4: FOS Condition – Updated to Incorporate FOS# 2 Development	16
Table 5: Projection of Changes to ROS Class from 1996 to 2025	16
Table 6: Boreal Plains Conifer Current and 2025 Seral Stage and Target	19
Table 7: Boreal Plains Deciduous Current and 2025 Seral Stage and Target	20
Table 8: Boreal Foothills Valley and Mtn, Northern Boreal Mountains, Omineca Mtns and Valley: Current and 2025 Seral Stage and Targets	21
Table 9: Natural Disturbance Unit Early Patch Distribution Targets	22
Table 10: Early Patch Size Class Current Status & Post FOS Condition	23
Table 11: PFI FOS Condition and Targets	25
Table 12: 2017 Status for Forest Types	30
Table 13: Shrub Habitat Current, FOS Condition and Targets	31
Table 14: Harvest Activities in the MKMA	32
Table 15: Proportion of Leading Species by NDU Unmanaged	36
Table 16: FOS# 3 Block Summary Table	38
Table 17: FOS# 3 Block Information Pertinent to SFMP Indicators	. 129

## APPENDICES

Appendix A: Summary of Pilot Project Participants	245
Appendix B: Advertisements	251
Appendix C: First Nations & Stakeholder Communication Record	255
Appendix D: First Nation, Stakeholder & Public General Comments & Participant Responses	269
Appendix E: First Nation, Stakeholder & Public Block Specific Comments & Participant Response	
Appendix F: Public Review Specific FOS Revisions	349

## FORT ST. JOHN PILOT PROJECT FOREST OPERATIONS SCHEDULE # 3

## October 4th, 2017

## Final Submission to the B.C. Ministry of Forests, Lands, Natural Resource Operations& Rural Development

## **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

## 1.1 Objectives and Scope

The objective of the Forest Operation Schedule (FOS) is to identify areas proposed for timber harvesting and associated road construction activities within the Fort St. John Timber Supply Area (T.S.A.).

The Fort St. John Pilot Project Regulation (FSJPPR) requires that a FOS must show a minimum of six years of proposed activities. This FOS includes activities to be carried out by B.C. Timber Sales, and activities on the following coniferous and deciduous forest tenures held by licensees noted in parentheses:

Forest Licence (FL) A18154 and Pulpwood Agreement 12 (Canadian Forest Products Ltd.),

- FL A60049, FL A60050\* and Pulpwood Agreement 20 (Louisiana-Pacific Canada Ltd.),
- FL A60972 (Mackenzie Pulp Mill Corp.),
- FL A59959\* (Cameron River Logistics Ltd.),
- FL A56671 (Canadian Forest Products Ltd. & Dunne-za),
- FL A85946 (Peace Valley OSB)

\* FL A60050 and FL A59959 are expired. However the Participants retain reforestation obligations on many of the blocks harvested under these expired licenses. A more detailed description of the pilot project participants and the forestry tenures they hold is included in Appendix A.

This FOS covers new proposed harvesting and road construction activities scheduled between October 1<sup>st</sup>, 2017 and September 31<sup>st</sup>, 2023. The proposed activities of B.C. Timber Sales and all the major licensees in the Fort St. John T.S.A. (the Participants) are provided in this consolidated plan to facilitate analysis of all forestry operations relative to the SFMP, and provide a comprehensive overview of all forestry activities for review and comment.

Notices that the FOS was available for public review and comment were published in local newspapers at the start of the public review period (Appendix B). This final Forest Operations Schedule was presented to government agencies, First Nations, stakeholders, and the general public in order to elicit comments regarding the proposed activities. The Forest Operations Schedule was available for public review and comment for a period of 160 days beginning April 7, 2017.

Review and comments were requested to include concerns related specifically to the approximate block or road locations illustrated in the FOS, as well as adjacent areas within close proximity (e.g. +/- 200 metres) to the proposed activities.

The participants have reviewed public comments received on the proposed operations, and where required, have modified the FOS to accommodate the concerns raised. Where appropriate, the Participants will address other comments that do not directly impact the proposed block or road's general location during the preparation and implementation of Site Level Plans. A list of concerns received is included in Appendix D. A list of revisions made to the FOS is included in Appendix G.

## 1.2 Description of the Pilot Project

In June 1999 the BC government added Part 10.1 to the Forest Practices Code of BC Act to enable results-based pilot projects. The intent of the pilot projects was to test ways to improve the regulatory framework for forest practices while maintaining the same or higher levels of environmental standards.

Canadian Forest Products Ltd., Slocan Forest Products Ltd., Louisiana-Pacific Canada Ltd., and the Ministry of Forests Small Business Forest Enterprise Program prepared a detailed pilot project proposal that provided the basis for the Fort St. John Pilot Project Regulation. Beginning in 2000, the participants established a public advisory group (PAG) comprised of local people representing a variety of interests. The public advisory group reviewed the draft detailed project proposal and draft regulation, reviewed comments from the general public and provided advice to government on the suitability of the project. Cabinet accepted the proposal and a draft regulation late in 2001.

The *Fort St. John Pilot Project Regulation* (FSJPPR) requires the establishment of a single strategic plan for the entire pilot project area, known as a Sustainable Forest Management Plan (SFMP). The FSJPPR requires the SFMP to balance competing values and interests, and contain landscape level strategies and measurable performance indicators to assess the effectiveness of these strategies.

The participants prepared SFMP# 1, SFMP# 2, and SFMP# 3 with the guidance of a local public advisory group (PAG) and a Scientific and Technical Advisory Committee (STAC). SFMP# 1 received the joint approval of the Regional Manager, Northern Interior Forest Region, Ministry of Forests and the Regional Director, Omineca-Peace Region, Ministry of Water, Land and Air Protection, effective April 1, 2004. Upon the approval by government on November 1, 2010 SFMP# 2 replaced SFMP# 1.

Approved SFMP# 2 and proposed SFMP# 3 are considered as refinements of the landscape level strategies and performance indicators included in SFMP# 1.

The SFMP provides the broad strategic direction to forest operations carried out in the pilot project area, including the distribution and pattern of proposed timber harvesting and road construction outlined in this Forest Operations Schedule. All forest operations carried out under a FOS must be consistent with the Landscape Level Strategies and related performance indicator targets in the SFMP. The district manager will not formally approve the Forest Operations Schedule, but may withhold the authorization of specific operations.

This FOS has been prepared in accordance with the landscape level strategies and performance indicators included in approved SFMP# 2. This final version of FOS# 3, is the operational plan showing the overall timber harvesting development proposed by the

FOREST OPERATIONS SCHEDULE #3 OCTOBER 4TH, 2017 Participants and is consistent with the Landscape Level Strategies and related performance indicators in SFMP#2.

#### 2.0 MAPS AND OTHER INFORMATION INCLUDED IN THE FOS

#### 2.1 Map Information

The Fort St. John TSA has been divided into 53 distinct Operating Areas to facilitate operational planning and mapping. Operating Area boundaries are based largely on natural topographic features, and were modified to follow Landscape Unit boundaries where practical.

Detailed 1:50,000 Operating Area maps are included for Operating Areas which have new proposed harvesting or road construction activities. These maps show the following information (FSJPP Regulation section references included in parentheses):

**Forest Cover** (*S.81* (1)(*a*)): This is depicted by separate seral stage groupings for leading deciduous and coniferous stands, which correspond to categories included in the SFMP. Forest cover seral stages are distinguished as follows:

- Forests less than 40 years old
- Deciduous forests 40-100 years old
- Deciduous forests 101 + years old
- Coniferous forests 40-100 years old
- Coniferous forests 101-140 years old
- Coniferous forests 141 + years old

**Topography** (*S.81* (1)(*b*)): This is displayed using 20 metre interval elevation contours.

**Protected Areas** (*S.81* (*1*)(*c*)(*I-v*)): Includes parks, ecological reserves and other proposed and existing protected areas. Wildlife Habitat Areas (WHA) for goats and bull trout, while fully considered during the development of the plan, are not displayed on the maps at the direction of MOE officials. WHA for caribou are displayed.

**Connectivity Corridors** (*S.81* (1)(*c*)(*vi*)): The SFMP identifies special management requirements for the riparian and alpine corridors in the Graham River Operating Area. The Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) zones are therefore identified on the Graham Operating Area map (#11). The SFMP also requires special management within 100 metres of the major river corridors to recognize the high value habitat in these areas. These Major River Corridors are identified in the legend on maps where they occur.

**Scenic Areas** (*S.81* (*1*)(*c*)(*vii*): Known scenic areas are displayed on the maps, along with the corresponding visual inventory labels.

**Fish Streams and Riparian Class of Streams** (*S.81* (1)(*c*)(*xi*, *xii*)): Known fish streams, as well as known riparian classifications at the time of preparation of the FOS are displayed on the maps. Generally, riparian classifications are not completed until field layout of blocks or roads is completed, at which time changes to blocks or roads may be made to conform to existing SFMP and regulatory requirements. There are no known wetland or lake classifications in the Fort St. John T.S.A.

**Public Utilities** (S.81 (1)(c)(vii)): This includes transmission lines, pipelines and railways derived primarily from TRIM data, which are displayed as double red line features, unless otherwise labeled in the map legends.

**Old Forest Management Areas** The Participants have identified areas of near old and old forest on the 1:50,000 FOS maps that will be treated as reserve areas. The old forest management areas proposed by the Participants may form the basis of an Old Growth Management Area proposal to be submitted to MFLNRO for consideration for legal designation as Old Growth Management Areas.

**Roads and Major Crossing Structures** (*S.81* (1)(*e*)(*I*-, *iii*) & *S* 81(1)(*f*): The approximate location of proposed roads to access cutblocks, and proposed stream crossing locations are shown on the 1:50,000 maps. Similarly, existing roads and bridges derived from licensee sources are displayed, supplemented by TRIM road data (grey lines) where needed. Currently deactivated roads are shown with grey borders to distinguish them from active existing roads, and known barriers to vehicle access, such as gates, are noted where they occur.

The proposed replacement or addition of bridges or major culverts (*S* 81(1)(e)(*ii*, *iv*) are not included on the maps, as a general strategy on the replacement of bridges and major culverts is included in Section 8.2 of the SFMP. The following approach will be utilized for crossing structure replacements: Stream crossing structures may require replacement from time to time, but delays will be kept to a minimum and where possible detours will be established to minimize traffic disruptions.

Proposed future deactivation of roads is not displayed on the maps. The SFMP identifies general deactivation measures, including the relative timing, that are used to meet deactivation objectives. These measures provide the flexibility needed to address uncertainty around identifying the specific timing of deactivation. With multiple industries operating on the same land base, road deactivation status is continually changing in an unpredictable manner. The Participants feel that attempting to predict and map road deactivation status is extremely difficult and has little direct value. The SFMP measures in Section 8.2 therefore removed the need to identify areas requiring future deactivation in the FOS (*S81* (1)(g)(*l*-iv))

As noted in Section 8.2 of SFMP# 2, the Participants may choose to declare in a Forest Operations Schedule that all existing non status roads within the Fort St. John TSA are considered to be identified in the FOS as roads that may be the subject of an authorization request submitted to the MFLNRO, even though they may not be individually referenced or delineated in the text or on maps in the FOS. *(S81 (1)(e)(i-iv))* 

Many roads exist or are being built that do not have an owner, and may be not be constructed, or known to the Participants at the time of the FOS. *FSJPPR* Section 23(2) requires roads to be identified in a FOS for a Participant to get an authorization to build or use a road. This section of the *FSJPPR* originally envisioned all roads either being constructed by the Participants and therefore being shown in the FOS, or having a third party owner that would allow the Participants to legally use the road through road use agreements. The provision has resulted in administrative costs and delays for both the government and the Participants in amending FOS# 2 to show existing non-status roads in order to authorize the Participants' use of the roads.

Declaring all non-status roads as being 'identified' in the FOS, even though they may not be specifically shown, will reduce administrative burdens for all parties. As the roads already exist, and legal requirements that come with road use will still apply, all environmental and other resource values will be maintained. The existing road will, in many cases, replace an un-constructed proposed FOS road that would no longer be needed, thereby potentially reducing environmental impacts.

#### Cutblocks:

<u>Proposed Cutblocks</u> (*S.81* (1)(*i*))- Proposed cutblocks are displayed on the maps, with two different themes. Blocks that were proposed either in the previous Forest Operations Schedule or in a previous Forest Development Plan are displayed in a light purple colour. Blocks that are being proposed for the first time in Forest Operations Schedule #3 are displayed in an orange colour.

<u>Authorized Cutblocks (S.81 (1)(k))</u>- Includes blocks not yet harvested but that have received authorization for timber harvesting by the Ministry of Forests, Lands & Natural Resource Operations and Rural Development (MFLNRORD) District Manager. These blocks are displayed in a dark purple colour. The reforestation pathway (coniferous, deciduous or mixedwood) is not displayed for blocks that have been authorized for harvest by the MFLNRORD. This information is determined at the time of field layout and harvest planning and is submitted to the MFLNRORD by RESULTS submissions.

<u>Harvested Cutblocks</u> (*S.81* (1)(*c*)(*m*))- includes all authorized blocks, which have been logged, or had harvesting commence prior to April 1st, 2017, the effective date of the Forest Operations Schedule. For BC Timber Sales, it also includes blocks that have been or will be sold prior to April 1st, 2017. Harvested areas that are classified as greened up in forest inventory data are also displayed on the map.

Blocks are planned as clearcuts or clearcuts with reserves, unless specifically shown as partial cuts on maps.

#### 2.2 Table Information

Table 16 provides more detailed information on the specific attributes of blocks proposed for harvesting, and should be referenced in conjunction with the maps. Table 17 summarizes additional block information to assist in determining consistency of the FOS with SFMP indicators.

The tables provide the following information:

**Owner:** This is the preliminary ownership of cutblocks assigned to the Pilot Project participants. Ownership was determined on a number of criteria. The primary criterion was that if a block or portion thereof appeared in previous FDP's, FOS# 1 or FOS #2 as belonging to a participant, it would normally continue to be that Participant's responsibility. As a result of Bill 28, which provides for the transfer of significant coniferous volumes to BCTS from major licensees, other criteria were applied to meet BCTS needs to sell representative stands of coniferous timber. Additionally, in order to reflect the critical factors involved in reaching a timber profile that is representative of the overall profile being harvested, allowances are required to ensure the BCTS coniferous volumes have an average haul distance, average tree size, and representative proportions of height class 2 pine stands, remote areas, and cable harvesting ground.

For the purposes of the tables, ownership has been defined as follows:

BC Timber Sales are responsible for the management and subsequent public sale of both leading coniferous and leading deciduous stands. As AAC's are currently calculated on the basis of leading species (i.e. volumes from leading coniferous stands are charged to the coniferous AAC, and volumes from deciduous stands are currently charged to the deciduous AAC), BCTS ownership has been divided into leading coniferous stands (BCc) and leading deciduous stands (BCc).

Canfor (Ownership code "Cc") is responsible for the management of their replaceable coniferous Forest Licence A18154, and (Ownership code "Cd") for Pulpwood Agreement #12.

Non-replaceable Forest Licences held by Mackenzie Pulp Mill Corporation (Ownership code "MPMC"), Louisiana-Pacific Canada (ownership code "LP"), Peace Valley OSB (Ownership code "PV") and the licence jointly held by Canfor and Dunne-za Corporation (Ownership code "DZ") are also represented in the table. Canfor is responsible for the management of these blocks, by way of management agreements developed with the holders of these licences.

Blocks from FOS# 2 that have been carried over to FOS# 3 have retained the previously designated ownership. At the time of preparation of the public review version of FOS# 3, ownership of new blocks added to the FOS had not been assigned. However, the ownership designation for these blocks has been identified in the final version of FOS# 3.

**FOS Block #:** These are the unique block identifier numbers, which correspond to the block numbers on the FOS maps. Block numbers are assigned as follows:

Where a pre-existing designation of a block (or portion of a block, if the block was being amended) already existed in a previous FDP or FOS# 2, this block number was brought over as it was. The exception to this is where, due to operating area changes, a block is now in a different operating area, in which case the block ID number was modified to facilitate easier location as well as simpler basic analysis relative to SFMP indicators. For example, block identifiers starting in "S", indicate either an approved or category I block from a previous FDP was at least partly included as a Slocan-LP block in that document. Similarly, BCTS blocks carried over from previous FDP's show as TSL numbers followed by a 1 (e.g. A63403-1).

For new blocks, the block ID for all ownership codes is unique. The coding is based on the current operating area's 2-digit number, followed by a 3 digit unique sequence for that operating area. For example, block 01042 is in the Inga Lake Operating Area (i.e. OA 01), and the 042 last code is unique within that OA amongst all licensees.

**O.A Map#:** This refers to the Operating Area number, which corresponds to the 1:50,000 map numbers (e.g. O.A. Map #1 indicates Operating Area # 1, which is Inga Lake)

**Stand Type**- This distinguishes whether the volume in the block is predominately (i.e. >50%) coniferous ("C"), or predominately deciduous ("D"). Conifer volumes are represented by spruce, pine, and balsam. Deciduous volumes are represented by aspen, balsam poplar (a.k.a. cottonwood). Some incidental larch or birch volume will occur in some blocks, but is not accounted for in the tabular information contained within this plan.

**Plan Status:** Refers to the approval status of the cutblock at the time of submission of this FOS. "FOS #3 PROPOSED" blocks are new blocks not shown in previous FOS# 2.

"FOS Approved" refers to blocks that were previously presented in FOS #2, and have not yet been granted harvest authorization.

"Authorized" refers to blocks for which harvest authorization has been granted, under section 23 of the FJSPPR.

**BCG Map #**: refers to BC geographic system mapsheet numbers. These are also shown on the 1:50,000 scale maps.

Landscape Unit: refers to the landscape unit in which the block is situated.

**Forest Cover Type**: This is the most common forest cover type polygon within the block boundary. This information provides an indication of the species composition and the age of the timber, as portrayed by the forest inventory.

**Gross Area**: This is the gross block area in hectares, including area that will be designated as wildlife tree patches, for each block.

**Volumes (m<sup>3</sup>)**: The estimated coniferous, deciduous, and total volumes were determined from the most accurate available sources. For authorized blocks, or blocks where cruise information is available, cruise data was used. Where blocks appeared in previous FDP's or in FOS #1, volumes presented in those documents were normally used, unless more detailed ground, aerial reconnaissance, or detailed photo interpretation had been done. New blocks were assessed through photo interpretation supplemented in many cases by ground reconnaissance. Note that volume estimates pertain only to the estimated merchantable areas within the gross block area.

**Summer and Winter Volumes (m<sup>3</sup>)**: These are Initial estimates based on ground reconnaissance or photo interpretation of the amount of timber that may be available in different seasons. The information is used to determine if the FOS has the potential to meet the needs of the manufacturing plants to deliver some volumes during the frost-free months.

**Scenic Area:** This identifies whether any part of the block falls within a known scenic area. For areas with known visual quality objectives, the predominate VQO objective code is displayed. Blocks not in scenic areas are shown as n/a in this column. Other blocks that may fall in a scenic area are coded as follows:

P-dominant VQO is preservation.

R-dominant VQO is retention.

PR-dominant VQO is partial retention.

M-dominant VQO is modification.

MM-dominant VQO is maximum modification.

Y-n/a- block falls in a known scenic area, but no VQO has been established.

**Height class II pine area (ha)**: This refers to the approximate area of height class two pine forest cover type polygons included in the cutblock. This information allows an assessment of the ability of the FOS to achieve the conifer timber profile indicator's targets.

**Graham Cluster Year:** This is the projected year of timber harvesting in the Graham Operating Area. The SFMP specifies an earliest harvest date for groupings of blocks in this Operating Area.

**Cable Yarding (ha):** This is the estimated area of cable yarding (i.e. non-ground based yarding system) in coniferous stands.

## 3.0 SUMMARY OF SFMP INDICATORS IMPACTED BY THE FOS

Section 4 of the Sustainable Forest Management Plan outlines the landscape level strategies that provide the strategic direction to the plans and operations of the participants in the FSJ Pilot Project. These strategies have measurable performance indicators (Section 6 of the SFMP) that demonstrate the relative success of the strategies. Some, but not all, of the SFMP# 2 strategies are linked to the Forest Operations Schedule. In addition to the performance indicators related to these landscape level strategies, the FOS may also influence some other indicators within the broader context of the SFMP. Many of the strategies and indicators in the SFMP are not impacted by FOS operations.

Following is a summary of indicators requiring reporting or demonstration of FOS consistency with the SFM Plan. The indicators are grouped as they relate to landscape level strategies, or as they relate to other broader SFMP objectives. The SFMP indicator analysis is presented to demonstrate consistency of the FOS to SFMP# 2.

Very minor revisions were made to SFMP# 2 in creation of draft SFMP# 3. In fact with the exception of Indicator 49 Forest Health Planning, the indicator target revisions in SFMP# 3, as described in Table 53 of SFMP# 3, are not quantitative or qualitative in nature. Therefore where consistency with FOS# 2 is indicated, consistency with draft SFMP# 3 is also achieved.

The SFMP indicator analysis presented below was completed using the initial FOS3 block list. During the info sharing process, FOS blocks were dropped or reconfigured for operational reasons or to mitigate concerns brought forward by First Nations and stakeholders. The changes that have occurred during the info sharing period are not reflected in the analysis below.

#### 3.1 Timber Harvesting Strategy Indicators:

#### Graham Harvest Timing (SFMP Section.6.18)

Target Statement: Operational harvesting within the Graham IRM Plan area will be constrained to no more than one 'cluster' of cutblocks at any one time.

No harvesting is currently proposed within the Graham IRM Plan area. Grey attack pine in the Graham area has reached its shelf life. The level of pine deterioration and cycle-time make these stands uneconomical in current markets.

Harvesting in the Graham IRM plan area will be constrained to no more than one cluster of cutblocks at any one time. The approximate harvest timing noted in Table 1 below indicates that harvest operations are not currently scheduled. Therefore the FOS is consistent with this indicator.

Cutblock	Graham Cluster #	Proposed Harvest year							
11063	5	Dropped from FOS							
11064	5	Dropped from FOS							
11065	5	Not Scheduled							
11066	5	Not Scheduled							
11067	5	Dropped from FOS							
11068	5	Dropped from FOS							
11069	5	Dropped from FOS							
11070	5	Dropped from FOS							
11071	5	Dropped from FOS							
11072	5	Dropped from FOS							
11073	5	Dropped from FOS							
11058	4a	Not Scheduled							
11074	6a	Not Scheduled							
11075	6a	Not Scheduled							
11076	6a	Dropped from FOS							
11077	6a	Dropped from FOS							
11079	6a	Not Scheduled							
11080	6a	Not Scheduled							
11081	6a	Not Scheduled							
11082	6a	Not Scheduled							
11083	6a	Not Scheduled							
11084	6a	Not Scheduled							
11085	6a	Not Scheduled							

#### Table 1: Approximate Graham IRM Area Harvest Sequencing

#### Graham Merchantable Area Harvested (SFMP Section 6.19)

Target Statement: The cumulative merchantable area (hectares) within harvested blocks will not exceed the planned maximum cumulative harvest areas, as measured at the end of each time period.

#### Period # 3 (ending April 2017): 9355 ha

Acceptable variances include: Operations may only exceed the target in the event of urgent forest health concerns that necessitate increased harvest rates, and after reviewing with the Public Advisory Group, and with the approval of the government.

For the term of this FOS, the SFMP indicates that the scheduling of clusters and blocks for harvesting may be modified from the original plan to address forest health, economic or logistical concerns, provided that the total area logged is consistent with the target for this indicator, and that the temporal extent of logging is consistent with indicator # 18 (i.e. No operational harvesting in more than one cluster at any one time).

March 31<sup>st</sup>, 2007 marked the completion of Harvest Period #1 for this indicator, which covered all logging in cutblocks in the Graham IRM Plan area from June of 1998 to April 2007. The area harvested to the end of Harvest Period #1 was 3,515.6 ha, which is less than the Period 1 maximum allowable cumulative merchantable area of 3,638 ha.

April 1st 2007 to March 31st 2012 marks the duration of time period 2, which has a cumulative merchantable harvest target (i.e. including areas logged in period 1) of 6,569 ha. No harvesting has occurred in the Graham plan area since April 1st 2007 through March 31st, 2012.

April 1<sup>st</sup> 2012 to March 31<sup>st</sup> 2017 marks the duration of time period 3, which has a cumulative merchantable harvest target (i.e. including areas logged in period 1 & 2) of 9,355 ha. To date (March 31, 2017), the total area harvested in the Graham IRM Plan area is 3,515.6 ha.

FOS# 3 proposes a total of 54.5ha new area. Additionally, several blocks have been removed from the FOS as a result of the significant level of pine deterioration. There is 778.6 ha of carryover from FOS#2 remaining in FOS#3 for harvest in the Graham IRM Plan area.

The total cumulative actual and proposed Graham IRM Plan area harvest of 4,754.4 ha is **4600.6** hectares less than the total 9,355 ha allocated in the SFMP, consequently the FOS is projected to be consistent with the intent of this indicator.

#### Graham Connectivity (SFMP Section 6.20)

Target Statement: Zero hectares harvested within cutblocks in the permanent alluvial and non-productive/non-commercial components of the connectivity corridors.

The SFMP notes the primary areas of concern are the riparian corridors and the associated meadows, and the non-productive alpine areas.

The digital coverage's of these two primary connectivity corridors included in the Graham IRM Plan were added to the FOS's Graham River Operating Area 1:50,000 map. Preliminary blocks proposed in the Graham IRM Plan area for clusters 5 and 6a were reduced in size prior to inclusion in the FOS to avoid infringing on the Graham riparian corridors. As noted in the SFMP, following consultation with MoE (formerly MWLAP) officials some blocks in the Meadow Creek area received previous approval for minor harvesting activity within the riparian corridor, in order to enhance wildlife habitat.

Modification of the conceptual blocks included in the Graham IRM plan to meet this objective has resulted in the FOS being consistent with this indicator in the SFMP.

#### M.K.M.A (SFMP Section 6.21)

Target Statement: A minimum of one long-term harvest plan submitted no later than one year following government approval of a landscape unit objective under the MKMA Act, that applies to the Fort St. John TSA portion of the MKMA.

#### OCTOBER 4TH, 2017

The MKMA requires the establishment of at least 1 landscape unit objective before timber harvesting can be approved, unless the harvesting was previously approved (grand parented) under a previous forest development plan. The blocks and roads included in the FOS that overlap the MKMA have been approved in previous FDP's prior to the establishment of the MK area, and are approved under grandparenting provisions of the Act. The grandparented blocks are 20015, 20016, 20007, 20008, 20027, and 20060, in the Cypress Creek Operating Area.

No new harvesting is proposed in the MKMA for the duration of the FOS as no landscape unit objective has been established and consequently no long-term harvest plan has been submitted.

The FOS is therefore consistent with this indicator in SFMP# 2.

#### Summer and Fall Volumes (SFMP Section 6.48)

Target: Minimum of 100,000 m<sup>3</sup> to conifer mills in the DFA and a minimum of 185,000 m<sup>3</sup> to deciduous mills in the DFA.

The target volumes assume planned production levels are achieved at the local mills, once they are fully operational. Allowable variances for minimum deliveries will be proportional to the number of actual operating weeks, divided by the normal fifty operating weeks of the facilities per year.

Estimates of the amount of volume that could potentially be harvested and/or hauled from cutblocks to the deciduous and coniferous processing plants in the TSA were made from photo interpretation of summer logging chance, with consideration of the potential for suitable summer hauling conditions. These estimates indicate a potential to haul approximately 257, 000m<sup>3</sup>/yr from deciduous-leading blocks and 247,000 m<sup>3</sup>/yr from conifer-leading blocks, provided roads are constructed to adequate standards to allow summer deliveries.

The FOS is consistent with providing the opportunity to meet this indicator's target, as the minimum summer and fall deliveries for both coniferous and deciduous manufacturing facilities are achieved.

#### Coordination (SFMP Section 6.50)

Target Statement: 100% of all SFMP's and FOS's will be jointly prepared by the Participants.

The Participants jointly prepared SFMP # 3 and FOS # 3. This FOS incorporates the activities of all participants, and will encourage coordinated development of timber resources. The FOS is therefore consistent with this indicator.

#### Timber Profile (SFMP Section 6.51)

Target Statement: A minimum of 200 ha of deciduous-leading cutblocks located in Supply Block F will be identified for harvest during the term of the new SFMP.

Table 2 identifies the Deciduous leading stand area in Supply Block F.

BLOCK ID	At %	Ac%	PI %	S %	BI %	Gross Area (ha)
14014	93	2	0	5	0	11.9
14018	64	1	6	29	0	62.4
14020	86	0	0	14	0	42.8
14035	71	4	2	23	0	104
14039	67	0	1	26	0	18.7
14042	53	11	3	33	0	61.8
14044	64	0	19	15	0	141.4
14055	77	3	0	19	0	115.4
14056	86	0	7	6	0	46.1
14061	83	0	2	14	0	134.7
14063	59	0	3	38	0	58.4
16010	97	0	0	2	0	622.3
16011	82	0	11	7	0	107.3
16014	91	0	0	9	0	135
16015	99	1	0	0	0	63.5
17004	59	1	0	33	0	126.2
17008	76	0	0	7	0	22.9
41030	85	5	0	10	0	25.7
41040	58	0	18	24	0	266.2
41044	89	0	11	0	0	245.4
41053	51	18	27	4	0	112.9
41054	48	6	31	15	0	80.9
41070	90	0	5	5	0	136.7
41096	75	0	0	25	0	20.9
42024	97	2	0	0	0	60.9
42026	79	0	0	16	0	49.2
50001	68	12	0	20	0	75.9
50002	95	0	0	5	0	20.9
50003	95	0	0	5	0	80.2
50004	60	10	3	27	0	169.7
50005	60	10	3	27	0	37.7
50007	95	0	0	5	0	38.3
50008	90	0	0	10	0	25.5
50009	90	0	0	10	0	17.5
50010	70	10	5	10	5	84.5
50011	90	0	0	10	0	4.4
50012	88	0	0	12	0	7.6
50013	80	10	2	8	0	57.6
50014	90	0	0	10	0	4.7
50015	70	10	0	20	0	10.7

Table 2: Supply Block F Deciduous Leading Stand Area

FOREST O	PERATION	S SCHEDU	JLE #3			
50016	70	10	0	20	0	123.9
50017	70	10	0	20	0	49.3
50018	80	10	5	5	0	107.5
50020	90	0	0	10	0	17.5
50022	90	0	0	10	0	17
50023	90	0	0	10	0	7
50025	75	0	0	25	0	19.9
50026	90	0	2	8	0	114.2
50031	89	2	2	6	0	20.8
50034	74	3	0	23	0	38.2
50037	64	0	0	35	0	43.4
50038	81	0	0	19	0	55.2
50041	66	0	0	34	0	29.2
50047	85	0	15	0	0	18.4
51011	96	0	0	4	0	58.3
51013	66	0	0	34	0	168.5
51015	63	0	0	37	0	116
51019	73	0	0	27	0	45.5
					Total	4558.6

A total of 4558.6 ha of deciduous-leading stands (Table 2) have been identified in Supply Block F. Therefore FOS# 3 is consistent with this indicator.

OCTOBER 4TH. 2017

#### Timber Profile (SFMP Section 6.52)

Target Statement: April 1, 2016 - March 31, 2022: 8% or more of the total coniferous cutblock area harvested by managing Participants during the 5-year period will be in height-class two pine inventory types.

A variance is provided in the SFMP to allow some flexibility to address logistical issues and external factors such as forest health issues, recognizing the problems associated with balancing these factors over a relatively short time frame.

The variance allows that 0% of the total cutblock area of coniferous blocks harvested in each time period will be from height class two pine inventory types. This allows flexibility to address urgent forest health issues.

This indicator measures the proportion of small pine (height class two) forest cover type polygons (as depicted on inventory maps available at the time of the Timber Supply Review) included in the total cutblock areas of blocks logged over a five year period by each managing Participant.

Harvesting similar timber profiles to those assumed in the Timber Supply Review (TSR) process can help support the maintenance of sustainable long-term timber supplies. The Chief Forester identified in 2003 his expectation that approximately 8% (100,000 m3) of the coniferous AAC be harvested from "small pine stands" (Fort St. John TSA Rationale for Allowable Annual Cut (AAC) Determination, 2003). One of the primary assumptions used in determining an AAC is that a certain timber harvesting profile will be harvested. Harvesting similar timber profiles to those assumed in the TSR process can therefore support the maintenance of sustainable long-term timber supplies.

Harvesting plans however, need to be flexible to respond to changing environmental and economic conditions. Forest fires, and the earlier than anticipated 2006 infestation of Mountain Pine Beetle in the central operating areas in the Fort St. John TSA has recently resulted in coniferous harvest planning being directed towards these new high priority harvest areas, and away from height class two pine stands. The allowable variance recognizes these changing priorities, while still acknowledging the desire to address the height class two pine stands in due course.

Due to improved inventory typing (VRI), it is expected that the next Timber Supply Review (TSR III) will better define the merchantable pine stands from the non-merchantable stands that the old inventory had lumped together under height class two pine. As a consequence, it would be prudent to review this indicator's relevance to sustainability of the harvest levels at that time.

Where height class 2 pine inventory polygons occur in blocks included in the FOS, the area of the contributing polygons was digitized and recorded. These estimates show a total of 1897 hectares of height class 2 pine in coniferous leading blocks. Coniferous blocks are considered as those blocks where the conifer volume is at least 80% of the total block volume.

Although the FOS percentage of total conifer leading harvest block area that consists of height class II pine does not meet or exceed the 8% target identified in the SFMP, the SFMP does specify an acceptable variance of 0% harvest in height class II pine stands. This variance recognizes the importance of including flexibility to re-direct harvest focus to address urgent forest health issues. The re-direction of harvest planning to focus on mountain pine beetle (MPB) infested and susceptible stands is an appropriate strategy to minimize impacts on mid term timber supply.

Therefore the FOS is considered to be consistent with the conifer timber profile indicator.

#### 3.2 Road Access Management Strategy Indicators

#### Recreation Opportunity Spectrum (SFMP Section 6.45)

Target: A minimum of 65,839 ha in primitive ROS area (100% of 1996 primitive ROS area) and 180,726 ha in semi primitive non-motorized ROS area (50% of the 1996 total semi primitive NM ROS area) in the combined Graham, Crying Girl and Sikanni LU's (excluding the Graham Laurier and Redfern-Keily PA's).

Acceptable variance: The Primitive ROS percentage may fluctuate over time as roads are constructed and permanently deactivated to retain the percentage at 1996 levels. At any given time the Primitive ROS percentage may decrease down to 10% on a temporary basis until such time as the constructed forest roads are permanently deactivated and the Primitive classification is restored.

There is no allowable variance for the Semi-Primitive non-motorized target.

The following tables outline the baseline condition of the recreation opportunity spectrum from 1996 to 2010.

	ROS Class - 1996														
Resource Management Zones	Primitive		Semi-Primitive Non Motorized		Semi-Primitive Motorized		Roaded		Urban/ Agriculture		Total	Total %			
	ha	%	ha	%	ha	%	ha	%	ha	%	ha	-/0			
Besa Halfway Chowade	65,839	15.2%	269,453	62.2%	97,323	22.5%	269	0.1%		0.0%	432,884	100.0%			
Crying Girl		0.0%	38,984	80.7%	7,020	14.5%		0.0%	2,287	4.7%	48,291	100.0%			
Graham North RMZ		0.0%	22,947	76.0%	7,255	24.0%		0.0%		0.0%	30,202	100.0%			
Graham-South RMZ		0.0%	30,067	87.0%	4,492	13.0%		0.0%		0.0%	34,559	100.0%			
Grand Total	65,839	12.1%	361,451	66.2%	116,090	21.3%	269	0.0%	2,287	0.4%	545,936	100.0%			

#### Table 3: Baseline Condition – 1996 ROS Inventory

Table 3 identifies the baseline condition of the recreation opportunity spectrum prior to development of FOS# 1.

	ROS Class 2017														
Resource Management Zone	Prim	itive	Semi Pri Non-Mot				Roaded		Urban/ Agricultur		Total	Total			
	Area (ha)	%	Area (ha)	%	Area (ha)	%	Area (ha)	%	Area (ha)	%	ha	%			
Besa Halfway Chowade	65,839	15.2%	267,508	61.8%	99,269	22.9%	269	0.1%		0.0%	432,884	100.0%			
Crying Girl		0.0%	30,415	63.0%	15,589	32.3%		0.0%	2,287	4.7%	48,291	100.0%			
Graham North		0.0%	22,947	76.0%	7,255	24.0%		0.0%		0.0%	30,202	100.0%			
Graham-South		0.0%	19,886	54.6%	14,619	42.3%		0.0%		0.0%	34,559	100.0%			
Grand Total	65,839	12.1%	344,436	63.1%	133,056	24.4%	269	0.0%	2,287	0.4%	545,939	100.0%			

#### Table 4: FOS Condition – Updated to Incorporate FOS# 2 Development

Table 4 identifies the condition of the recreation opportunity spectrum expected upon the completion of all harvest operations proposed in FOS# 3. The targets set for ROS condition in SFMP# 2 are achieved.

#### Current and Projected ROS Status

#### Table 5: Projection of Changes to ROS Class from 1996 to 2025

Crying	F	ROS Clas	s Projectio	n to 2025	- After Mod	deling Im	pact of	Propos	ed Devel	opment	in 2017 FC	DS
Girl Graham & Sikanni	Prim	itive	Semi Pri Non-Mot		Semi Pr Motor		Roa	ded	Urb Agrici		Total Area	Total %
LU	Area (ha)	%	Area (ha)	%	Area (ha)	%	Area (ha)	%	Area (ha)	%	(ha)	
Total 1996 ha	65,839	12.1%	361,451	66.2%	116,090	21.3%	269	0.0%	2287	0.4%	545,936	100.0%
Total 2025 Projected ha (from 2004 FOS)	65,839	12.1%	344,436	63.1%	133,056	24.4%	269	0.0%	2,287	0.4%	545,939	100.0%
2010 SMFP Target	<u>65,839</u>		<u>180,726</u>		NA		NA		NA		NA	

Table 5 identifies the condition of the recreation opportunity spectrum expected upon the completion of all harvest operations proposed in FOS# 3.

The 2004 Forest Operations Schedule projected the impact of planned development presented in FOS# 1 on the ROS for the six years of logging outlined in FOS# 1. Table 4 summarizes the projected ROS condition presented in FOS# 1. It should be noted that FOS# 1 included developments proposed in the Crying Girl and the Graham landscape units. The proposed development of FOS# 1 was found to be consistent with the SFMP ROS targets.

Many of the blocks identified in FOS# 1 in the Crying Girl, Sikanni and Graham landscape units have not been harvested and are included in FOS# 3. With the development of FOS# 3, no additional harvesting has been planned in the Crying Girl, Sikanni or Graham landscape units. Therefore the projection of harvesting impact on the ROS is still applicable.

Because no additional harvest areas are proposed in the Crying Girl, Sikanni or Graham landscape units FOS# 3 is also consistent with this indicator.

#### 3.3 Patch Size, Seral Stage Distribution, and Adjacency Strategy Indicators

#### Seral Stages (SFMP Section 6.2)

Target Statement: The minimum proportion (%) of late seral forest by NDU as identified in SFMP Table 11, will be met.

#### Acceptable Variances:

A 1% variance below the target is permissible provided projections indicate the target can be met within 20 years. (e.g. Boreal Foothills minimum allowable would be 22%).

Forests occurring in different seral and structural stages over space and time are recognized as an important part of the landscape, providing distinct habitat elements for a variety of species. The publication Natural Disturbance Units of the Prince George Forest Region: Guidance for Sustainable Forest Management (DeLong 2002) has estimated the natural range of variation for different Natural Disturbance Units within the DFA.

Late seral is defined as stands greater than 140 years old for coniferous leading stands and as greater than 100 years old for deciduous leading stands. Deciduous stands are typically made up of short lived early seral species, and if left undisturbed for long periods of time (>150 years) will eventually convert to coniferous stands, or die and cycle back to a similar species composition. Therefore it would be inappropriate to manage for the same distribution of ages for deciduous as for conifer species. Late seral deciduous stands are structurally distinct from young and mature stands. These stands provide lower tree densities and hence produce larger diameter trees and higher level of coarse woody debris and, it is therefore important to maintain some occurrence of these stands on the landscape over time.

As deciduous stands make up approximately 28% of the Boreal Plains land base, targets are applied to both deciduous and coniferous in the Boreal Plains NDU. In the Boreal Foothills, Omineca and Northern Boreal Mountains NDU's however, deciduous stands comprise an insignificant amount of the remainder of the TSA (approximately 3%, 1.5% of which is THLB) and therefore only conifer late seral stage targets are applied to the forested land base in these NDU's.

#### OCTOBER 4TH, 2017

There have been no separate targets set for mixedwood stands in the DFA. Approximately one third (33%) of the productive forested land base of mixedwood stands is within the non-harvesting land base (NHLB) which is not actively managed by the participants. This provides some assurance that there will be a significant amount of unmanaged mixedwood stands to meet seral stage targets. The remainder of the mixedwood stands will be managed to the targets for the deciduous and conifer leading stands, based on leading species, for the appropriate NDU.

The following tables reflect the expected seral stage condition upon completion of all harvest activities proposed in FOS# 3.

		< 40	years			41 - 10	0 years			101 - 14	10 years				> 140	) years			
LU NAME	201	7	202	5	2017	,	2025	5	201	7	202	25		2017		<u></u>	2025		Total
	area (ha)	%	area (ha)	%	area (ha)	%	area (ha)	%	area (ha)	%	area (ha)	%	area (ha)	%	Surplus (ha)	area (ha)	%	Surplus (ha)	Area
Blueberry	59410	17%	61911	18%	148573	43%	141809	41%	92814	27%	84738	24%	45741	13%		58080	17%		346538
Crying Girl		0%		0%		0%		0%	3	32%		0%	7	68%		10	100%		10
Halfway	11944	8%	16182	11%	29040	20%	23512	16%	49798	34%	41485	28%	55489	38%		65093	45%		146271
Kahntah	6831	1%	6767	1%	395913	67%	337770	58%	144102	25%	182690	31%	40406	7%		60026	10%		587252
Kobes	14037	17%	15077	18%	10722	13%	10762	13%	37992	46%	31967	39%	19035	23%		23982	29%		81787
Lower Beatton	19202	42%	19398	42%	16023	35%	13656	30%	9049	20%	10621	23%	1953	4%		2554	6%		46227
Milligan	29617	8%	28901	8%	244595	65%	241125	64%	45332	12%	37986	10%	59481	16%		71012	19%		379025
Sikanni		0%		0%		0%		0%	0	100%	0	100%		0%			0%		0
Tommy Lakes	22563	4%	37445	7%	215421	39%	183368	33%	217759	39%	218253	39%	103357	18%		120034	21%		559100
Trutch	2258	1%	6018	2%	126169	36%	107972	31%	131570	38%	131558	38%	87138	25%		101586	29%		347134
Grand Total	165862	7%	191698	8%	1186456	48%	1059972	43%	728419	29%	739297	30%	412607	17%	25187	502376	20%	100747	2493343
											Oil and g	as area i	ncluded:	16%			20%		2518676

Table 6: Boreal Plains Conifer Current and 2025 Seral Stage and Target

Target = 16%

2017 - uses FOS blocks with harvest start date <Mar 31, 2017

2025 - uses FOS blocks with harvest start date >Mar 31, 2017

Table 6 identifies the current and expected 2025 conifer seral condition upon the completion of all harvest activities proposed by FOS# 3 for the Boreal Plains NDU. Upon completion of all conifer harvest activities proposed in FOS# 3 the conifer seral targets are achieved for the Boreal Plains NDU and the analysis indicates a surplus of 100,747 ha of old forest (amount of old forest above the target). Analysis also considered the cumulative effect of harvesting and oil and gas on the landbase. The calculated area occupied by wellsites and pipelines is 25333ha, By adding this area (25333ha) to the harvested area, the Boreal Plains Conifer late seral current condition is 16% and future is 20%.

		< 40	years			41 - 1(	00 years				> 140	) years			
	201	7	202	25	201	7	2025	5		2017			Total		
LU_NAME	area (ha)	%	area (ha)	%	area (ha)	%	area (ha)	%	area (ha)	%	surplus (ha)	area (ha)	%	surplus (ha)	area
Blueberry	17320	9%	26845	14%	101907	55%	93261	50%	67578	36%		66699	36%		186805
Crying Girl		0%		0%	5	100%	3	62%	0	0%		2	38%		5
Halfway	1599	6%	3692	14%	10475	41%	8415	33%	13531	53%		13497	53%		25604
Kahntah	2737	2%	3084	2%	98870	79%	86639	69%	24111	19%		35996	29%		125718
Kobes	3013	8%	7700	19%	10911	27%	7696	19%	26222	65%		24750	62%		40146
Lower Beatton	10618	13%	9990	12%	59051	70%	54504	64%	15189	18%		20364	24%		84858
Milligan	6059	12%	5534	11%	42256	81%	42553	81%	4130	8%		4358	8%		52445
Tommy Lakes	4859	4%	17272	14%	58998	49%	49532	41%	56354	47%		53407	44%		120211
Trutch	612	1%	2186	3%	39857	53%	34940	47%	34045	46%		37388	50%		74514
Grand Total	46817	7%	76303	11%	422329	59%	377543	53%	241160	34%	129287	256460	36%	143652	710306
							Oil and g	gas area ir	ncluded	34%			36%		718260

Target = 16%

2017 - uses FOS blocks with harvest start date <Mar 31, 2017

2025 - uses FOS blocks with harvest start date >Mar 31, 2017

Table 7 identifies the current and expected 2025 deciduous seral condition upon the completion of all harvest activities proposed by FOS# 3 for the Boreal Plains NDU. Upon completion of all deciduous harvest activities proposed in FOS# 3 the deciduous seral targets are achieved for the Boreal Plains NDU and the analysis indicates a surplus of 143,652 ha of old forest (amount of old forest above the target). Analysis also considered the cumulative effect of harvesting and oil and gas on the landbase. By including oil and gas area in the calculation (7954ha) the Boreal Plains Deciduous late seral current condition is 34% and future is 36%.

Table 8 identifies the current and expected 2025 seral condition upon the completion of all harvest activities proposed by FOS# 3 for the Boreal Foothills Mountain and Valley, NDUs, the Omineca Mountains and Valley NDUs and the Northern Boreal Mountains NDU. Upon completion of all harvest activities proposed in FOS# 3 the seral targets are achieved for each of these NDUs.

Table 8: Boreal Foothills Valley and Mtn, Northern Boreal Mountains, Omineca Mtns and Valley: Current and 2025 Seral Stage and	k
Targets	

NDU Sub-			< 40 y	rears			40 - 100	) vears			101 - 14	40 years			> 140	vears			
Unit	Landscape Unit	20		2025				,			-								Target
		Area	%	Area	%	Area	%	Area	%	Area	%	Area	%	Area	%	Area	%	Grand Total	Ū
Boreal	Crying Girl	931	2%	792	2%	4020	10%	3087	7%	19132	46%	16118	38%	17845	43%	21930	52%	41927	
Foothills -	Graham	1870	2%	1817	2%	10561	13%	6597	8%	41091	49%	35436	42%	30960	37%	40632	48%	84482	
Mountain	Halfway	15	0%	15	0%	2069	16%	1764	13%	4471	34%	3335	25%	6636	50%	8077	61%	13192	
	Kobes									8	54%	8	54%	7	46%	7	46%	15	
	NDU Total	2815	2%	2624	2%	16650	12%	11448	8%	64702	46%	54897	39%	55448	40%	70646	51%	139616	33
NDU Sub-			< 40 y				40 - 100	) years			101 - 14	40 years			> 140	years			
Unit	Landscape Unit	20	17	2025															
		Area	%	Area	%	Area	%	Area	%	Area	%	Area	%	Area	%	Area		Grand Total	
Boreal	Crying Girl	1386	7%		5%	2747	13%	2561	12%	9308	45%	8560	41%	7347	35%	8689	42%		
Foothills -	Graham	218	0%	47	0%	6741	13%	4502	8%	22847	43%	19927	38%	23298	44%	28628	54%		
Valley	Halfway	7	0%	7	0%	211	13%	138	9%	435	28%	349	22%	916	58%	1076	69%		
	Kobes									86	49%	82	47%	89	51%	93	53%	175	
	Grand Total	1611	2%	1032	1%	9699	13%	7201	10%	32675	43%	28918	38%	31650	42%	38486	51%	75636	23
NDU Sub-			< 40 y				40 - 100	) years			101 - 14	40 years			> 140	years			
Unit	Landscape Unit	20		2025															
		Area	%	Area	%	Area	%	Area	%	Area	%	Area	%	Area	%	Area	%		
Northern	LU_NAME	Young		Young		Mid		Mid		Mature		Mature		Old		Old		Grand Total	
Boreal	Graham	245	1%	4	0%	5732	18%	3918	12%	7997	25%	8367	26%	18025	56%	19708	62%	31998	
Mountains	Sikanni	822	0%	86	0%	23262	13%	14790	8%	57350	32%	58108	33%	96379	54%	104829	59%	177813	
	Trutch									4	100%	4	100%					4	
	Grand Total	1067	1%	90	0%	28994	14%	18708	9%	65350	31%	66479	32%	114404	55%	124537	59%	209815	37
							40 404												
NDU Sub-		20	< 40 y	ears 2025			40 - 100	) years			101 - 14	40 years	-		> 140	years			
Unit	Landscape Unit		-			A	0/	A	0/	A	0/	A	0/	A	0/	A	0/		
0	LU NAME	Area	%	Area	%	Area Mid	%	Area Mid	%	Area	%	Area	%	Area Old	%	Area Old	%	Overed Tetal	
Omenica		Young		Young		33	18%	33	18%	Mature 115	64%	Mature 91	51%	32	18%	56	31%	Grand Total 180	
Mountains	Crying Girl Graham	290	0%	288	0%	5026	5%	4699	5%	26616	04% 27%		21%	68227	68%	74257	74%		
	Grand Total	290	0%	200	0%	5026	5% 5%	4699	5% 5%	26731	27%	20915	21%	68259	68%	74257	74%		41
	Granu Totai	290	0%	200	0%	0009	5%	4/32	5%	20/31	2170	21000	2170	00209	00 %	74313	7470	100336	41
NDU Sub-			< 40 y				40 - 100	) vears			101 - 14	40 years			> 140	Veare			
Unit	Landscape Unit	20	< 40 y	2025			40 - 100	yeais			101 - 14	to years			> 140	years			
Onit	Landsoupe Onit	Area	%	Area	%	Area	%	Area	%	Area	%	Area	%	Area	%	Area	%		
Omineca	LU NAME	Young	/0	Young		Mid	/0	Mid	70	Mature	/0	Mature		Old	/0	Old	/0	Grand Total	
Valley	Crying Girl	1 Jung		1 carry		0		0		3.9	57%			2.9	43%	2.9	43%		
valicy	Graham	141.8	2%	138.3	2%	1146.4	13%	926.2	11%	4392.6		3561.4	42%	2887.8	34%	3942.7	46%		
	Grand Total	141.8	2%	138.3	2%	1146.4	13%	926.2	11%	4396.5	51%		42%	2890.7	34%	3945.6	46%		16
	on and Total		270	100.0	L /0	1110.4	1070	020.2	11/0	1000.0	0170	0000.0	12.70	2000.7	5470	0010.0	1070	0070.4	10

Landscape units are large and in the foothills area can have more than one natural disturbance units due to elevational changes.

The seral analysis assumes that all blocks in FOS# 3 will have been harvested prior to the end of 2025. The seral analysis indicates that all NDU old forest targets are met in 2025. Therefore FOS# 3 is consistent with this indicator.

### Patch Size (SFMP Section 6.3)

Target Statement: A minimum of 9 of 18 of the baseline targets for early patches will be achieved during the term of this SFMP (SFMP Table 15)

Acceptable variances: Natural disturbance events that shift the patch size distribution to such a level that it cannot be accommodated in a short (decade) time frame

Seral spatial distribution does not permit patch size targets in the short term.

Patch size distributions will need to be recalculated as new forest inventory is completed and targets and thresholds assessed to determine if they are still appropriate.

Table 9 below identifies the desired patch size distribution by NDU to be achieved at the completion of all harvesting activities proposed in FOS# 3. The target to meet 9 of 18 NDU patch size combinations will mean that harvesting activities have maintained or improved on the current natural patch size distribution over the term of SFMP# 2.

Natural Disturbance	Early (<40 yrs) Patch Size Target (%) (acceptable range)								
Unit	100+ ha	51-100 ha	<50 ha						
Boreal Plains Uplands (BPU)	90 (65-90)	5 (5-15)	5 (5-15)						
Boreal Foothills Valley (BV)	70 (55-85)	10 (5-15)	20 (15-25)						
Boreal Foothills Mountain (BM)	70 (55-85)	10 (5-15)	20 (15-25)						
Northern Boreal Mountains (NBM)	90 (65-90)	5 (5-15)	5 (5-15)						
Omineca Mountains (OM)	70 (55-85)	10 (5-15)	20 (15-25)						
Omineca Valley (OV)	90 (65-90)	5 (5-15)	5 (5-15)						

Table 9: Natural Disturbance Unit Early Patch Distribution Targets

	2017 Curr	2017 Current Early (<40 years) Patch Size Distribution										
Natural Disturbance Unit (NDU)	Small (<50	)ha)	Med. (50- 100ha)	-	Large (>10	)0ha)	Totals					
Boreal Foothills - Mountain	463	14%	257	8%	2,522	78%	3,244					
Boreal Foothills - Valley	371	16%	208 9%		1764	75%	2,344					
Boreal Plains - Upland	20,875	7%	22,138 8% 248,601 85%		291,616							
Northern Boreal Mountains	187	21%	62	7%	647	898						
Omineca - Mountains	44	9%	2	0%	426	90%	473					
Omineca - Valley	29	14%	0	0%	177	86%	206					
Total DFA (All NDUs)	21,972		22,669		254,140							
<mark>Yellow</mark> = Below Target Range	<mark>Red</mark> = Above Target	<mark>Blue</mark> = Planne	No Harves d	ting								
	2025 Curr	ent Farl	v (~40 vea	rs) Patr	ch Size Dist	ribution						
Natural Disturbance Unit (NDU)	Small (<50		Med. (50- 100ha)	-	Large (>10		Totals					
Boreal Foothills - Mountain	464	14%	296	9%	2,506	77%	3,268					
Boreal Foothills - Valley	250	12%	374	17%	1,549	71%	2,173					
Boreal Plains - Upland	19,757	6%	21,351	6%	311,756	88%	352,865					
Northern Boreal Mountains	47	100%		0%		0%	47					
Omineca - Mountains	43	9%	2	0%	426	91%	471					
Omineca - Valley	26	13%		0%	177	87%	203					
Total DFA (All NDUs)	20,588		22,024		316,417							

Table 10: Early Patch Size Class Current Status & Post FOS Condition

Table 10 identifies the current patch size condition as well as the expected patch size condition in 2025. This analysis assumes that all blocks proposed in FOS# 3 will be harvested prior to the end of 2025 and that no new natural disturbance will create new young patch areas.

The 2017 current state indicates that 12 of 18 or 66% of NDU patch size combinations achieve the desired patch size distribution. This is an improvement over the FOS#2 projected condition where 8 of 18 or 44% of early patches were projected to meet the target ranges.

When early patches are analyzed based on the FOS condition (all blocks in FOS# 3 harvested by March 31, 2025), 8 of 18 or 44% of early patches meet the target ranges. However it must be noted that the harvesting planned in FOS# 3 is situated almost exclusively within the Boreal Plains Upland and Boreal Foothills Valley NDUs. A very minor amount of harvesting is proposed for the Boreal Foothills Mountain NDU, however the majority of young patch disturbance in this NDU is attributable to wildfire.

Harvesting is proposed by FOS# 3 in only 2 of the of the 10 NDU patch size combinations where the desired patch size distribution is not achieved in 2025. In 8 of these NDU patch size combinations where harvesting is not proposed and the target distribution is not achieved, it is expected that natural disturbance may alter the actual distribution achieved in 2025.

The foregoing indicates that FOS# 3 is consistent with the patch size indicator

## 3.4 Riparian Management Strategy Indicators

## Riparian Management River Corridors (SFMP Section 6.22)

Target Statement: No openings exceeding 1 hectare in blocks within the major river corridors (i.e. within 100 metres of the Riparian Reserve Zone in identified major river corridors) harvested under the FSJPPR (i.e. after November 15th, 2001). Acceptable variances allow 10% of the openings to vary from this requirement, provided they do not exceed 2 hectares in size.

A digital coverage was created for those portions of streams identified in the LRMP in the Major River Corridor Resource Management Zone. The coverage assigned a 100 metre buffer to the riparian reserve zone stream classification, which was based on inventory information if known, or defaulted to S1 classifications if unknown. This coverage is displayed on all 1: 50,000 maps where the Major River Corridor RMZ occurs.

Any unauthorized blocks that fell within a major river corridor were either deleted prior to inclusion in the FOS, or were designated for partial cutting systems that will be consistent with the target statement. The FOS is therefore consistent with this indicator.

#### Peak Flow Index (SFMP Section 6.34)

Target Statement: A minimum of 95% of the watersheds will be below the baseline target. All watersheds that exceed the baseline target will have a watershed review completed wherever new harvesting is planned. A variance to a minimum of 90% of the watersheds will be below the baseline targets will be acceptable.

A zero variance for conducting a watershed review wherever new harvesting is planned in a watershed where the baseline target is exceeded.

Table 11 identifies the current peak flow index and expected future state upon completion of all harvest activities proposed in FOS# 3 by 2025.

Lower Sikanni

Dechacho Creek

Watershed Group	Watershed Name	Class	Size (km2)	Elevation range (m)	H60 Elevation (m)	Baseline Threshold PFI	PFI Current State 2017	PFI 2025
Fontas	Bedji Creek		230.42	460 - 600	508	50	1.6	1.9
Fontas	Chasm Creek		168.21	539 - 680	599	50	0.0	0.0
Fontas	Dazo Creek		260.27	360 - 494	460	50	1.0	0.7
Fontas	FONT Unnamed 1		117.73	361 – 481	461	50	0.6	0.4
Fontas	Fontas River		320.35	536 - 800	660	50	15.0	16.2
Fontas	Kataleen Creek		162.95	380 – 451	413	50	3.0	3.3
Fontas	Teklo Creek		212.81	380 - 474	426	50	0.1	0.1
Fontas	Upper Etthithun River		404.45	620 - 842	680	50	20.5	21.6
Fontas	Ekwan Creek	LB	850.5	360 - 481	420	50	2.0	2.1
Fontas	Etthithun River	LB	1161.6	440 - 842	535	50	8.0	8.6
Fontas	Fontas River - LB	LB	714.32	440 - 800	580	50	7.0	7.5
Kahntah	Dahl Creek		412.84	535 – 943	700	50	0.2	4.6
Kahntah	Helicopter Creek		147.32	505 - 742	613	62	0.1	0.1
Kahntah	KAHN Unnamed 4		226.87	640 - 944	720	50	0.9	2.9
Kahntah	KAHN Unnamed 5		126.05	538 – 721	624	62	0.5	0.4
Kahntah	Upper Cautley Creek		478.27	660 - 1022	740	62	9.8	11.6
Kahntah	Cautley Creek	LB	865.02	518 – 1022	680	62	5.6	6.6
Kahntah	Kahntah Creek	LB	1096.59	518 - 944	700	50	0.5	3.9
Lower Beatton	Aitken Creek		828.45	654-985	815	43	16.1	14.2
Lower Beatton	Charlie Lake		292.66	690-889	773	62	11.7	13.1
Lower Beatton	Doig River		983.34	623-852	731	43	1.1	1.5
Lower Beatton	Osborn River		735.95	623-987	745	43	38.2	58.6
Lower Beatton	Umbach Creek		430.91	611-866	741	43	7.8	8.9
Lower Beatton	Upper Blueberry		857.77	655-1048	820	50	15.9	17.1
Lower Halfway	Aikman Creek		118.74	640 - 1120	815	43	9.0	17.0
Lower Halfway	Blair Creek		230.44	698 - 1142	902	43	25.2	34.3
Lower Halfway	Cameron Creek		495.18	699 – 1203	944	43	6.8	11.8
Lower Halfway	Colt Creek		158.53	719 – 1701	913	43	7.0	8.0
Lower Halfway	Deadhorse Creek		208.99	560 - 959	820	43	19.9	23.5
Lower Halfway	Ground Birch Creek		338.39	558 - 1062	735	43	16.0	15.3
Lower Halfway	Horn Creek		426.61	1079 – 2347	1474	37	0.0	0.0
Lower Halfway	Kobes Creek		299.88	620 - 1648	828	50	10.9	13.3
Lower Halfway	LHAF Unnamed 1		216.47	699 - 1022	860	43	11.3	14.5
Lower Halfway	Needham Creek		328.94	938 – 2269	1430	43	0.0	0.0
Lower Halfway	Poutang Creek		179.97	1098 – 2393	1453	43	0.0	0.0
Lower Halfway	Townsend Creek		295.8	698 – 1081	880	43	19.0	17.0
Lower Halfway	Cameron River - Residual	LB	2029.32	538 - 1205	837	37	14.9	19.8
Lower Halfway	Graham River	LB	2309.94	530 - 2404	1279	43	2.4	2.4
Lower Sikanni	Bull Creek		351.34	639 – 981	752	50	1.8	16.0

Table 11: PFI FOS Condition and Targets

172.51

378 – 762

516

50

1.2

1.2

#### OCTOBER 4TH, 2017

						-			
Watershed Group	Watershed Name	Class	Size (km2)	Elevation range (m)	H60 Elevation (m)	Baseline Threshold PFI	PFI Current State 2017	PFI 2025	
Lower Sikanni	Katah Creek		594.82	419 – 915	660	50	0.8	7.6	
Lower Sikanni	Kenai Creek		78.86	400 - 621	1000	50	3.6	2.6	
Lower Sikanni	LSIK Unnamed 2		162.43	536 - 858	720	43	5.5	11.3	
Lower Sikanni	LSIK Unnamed 4		59.29	519 – 721	641	50	1.3	1.4	
Lower Sikanni	Niteal Creek		516.6	359 – 520	475	50	0.1	0.1	
Lower Sikanni	Upper Gutah Creek		806.45	559 – 901	728	62	1.1	3.2	
Lower Sikanni	West Conroy		248.28	638 – 1020	782	50	5.8	24.5	
Lower Sikanni	Conroy Creek	LB	1096.67	417 – 1020	720	50	3.2	15.5	
Lower Sikanni	Gutah Creek	LB	1450.99	380 - 901	645	50	1.4	3.3	
Milligan	Dede Creek		128.35	680 - 740	720	62	0.8	0.8	
Milligan	Flick Creek		203.24	700 – 859	780	62	0.3	0.3	
Milligan	Little Beaverdam Creek		334.14	690 - 854	732	62	0.4	0.4	
Milligan	MILL Unnamed 3		325.52	780 – 962	880	62	4.3	4.7	
Milligan	Milligan Creek		432.38	680 - 941	780	50	0.3	0.3	
Milligan	Upper Milligan Creek		382.2	719 – 941	832	50	13.2	14.5	
Milligan	Milligan Creek - LB	LB	1836.56	619 – 941	758	50	3.6	3.9	
Upper Beatton	Arrow Creek		507.02	661 – 902	783	50	1.1	1.2	
Upper Beatton	Beatton River		1071.09	777 – 1780	984	43	7.0	9.5	
Upper Beatton	Black Creek		666.11	700 – 1022	807	50	6.8	7.7	
Upper Beatton	Grewatsch Creek		269.73	736 – 1103	927	50	5.8	11.1	
Upper Beatton	Holman Creek		150.18	719 – 1080	896	50	10.9	14.6	
Upper Beatton	Jedney Creek		128.76	779 – 1101	952	43	7.9	13.0	
Upper Beatton	La Prise Creek		338.99	717 – 1021	860	50	16.9	16.1	
Upper Beatton	Martin Creek		120.24	700 – 980	830	50	42.3	47.6	
Upper Beatton	McMillan Creek		103.34	659 – 770	736	43	0.2	0.2	
Upper Beatton	Nig Creek		476.81	680 - 920	782	50	22.0	24.2	
Upper Beatton	UBTN Unnamed 9		156.26	677 – 880	757	50	0.4	0.5	
Upper Beatton	Upper Beatton Lrg	LB	2345.63	719 - 1782	924	50	9.1	12.4	
Upper Halfway	Blue Grave Creek		158.63	720 – 1722	960	37	4.4	8.7	
Upper Halfway	Horseshoe Creek		197.41	739 - 1762	1060	37	1.7	6.1	
Upper Halfway	Two Bit Creek		160.23	980 - 1888	1235	37	0.4	0.4	
Upper Halfway	UHAF Unnamed 3		127.86	922 – 1862	1221	37	0.0	0.0	
Upper Halfway	UHAF Unnamed 6		211.34	778 – 1981	976	37	16.5	19.4	
Upper Halfway	Upper Chowade		426.75	925 – 2336	1395	37	5.3	5.8	
Upper Halfway	Upper Cypress		334.89	1099 – 2316	1493	37	0.0	0.0	
Upper Halfway	Upper Halfway River		629.22	1103 – 2590	1235	37	0.0	0.0	
Upper Halfway	Chowade River	LB	988.88	779 - 2331	1475	43	6.6	7.8	
Upper Halfway	Cypress Creek	LB	620.07	840 – 2229	1200	37	2.4	3.2	
Upper Halfway	Upper Halfway River - LB	LB	1096.06	914 – 3057	1241	37	0.1	0.2	
Upper Peace	Coplin Creek		350.04	582-942	773	43	22.3	24.4	
Upper Peace	Farrel Creek		646.01	447-1686	713	43	16.4	24.5	
Upper Peace	North Cache Creek		187.89	548-909	759	43	15.6	17.6	
Upper Peace	Red Creek		239.85	446-919	753	43	14.0	16.4	

OCTOBER 4TH, 2017

Watershed Group	Watershed Name	Class	Size (km2)	Elevation range (m)	H60 Elevation (m)	Baseline Threshold PFI	PFI Current State 2017	PFI 2025
Upper Prophet	Besa Creek		515.61	1136 – 2993	1568	43	0.0	0.0
Upper Prophet	Minaker River		170.31	859 – 1742	1060	43	1.3	1.3
Upper Prophet	Nevis Creek		182.43	1019 – 2102	1422	37	0.0	0.0
Upper Prophet	Pocketknife Creek		235.85	860 - 1884	1110	43	0.5	0.7
Upper Prophet	Upper Prophet River		269.62	1137 – 2920	1683	37	0.0	0.0
Upper Prophet	Minaker River - Residual	LB	555.08	819 – 1820	1070	43	0.8	1.0
Upper Prophet	Upper Prophet	LB	1177.85	1020 - 2993	1569	37	0.0	0.0
Upper Sikanni	Boat Creek		391.83	455 – 1081	719	50	0.0	0.0
Upper Sikanni	Buckinghorse River		389.18	840 – 1936	1119	43	1.0	1.6
Upper Sikanni	Coal Creek		214.49	637 – 1079	900	43	12.7	16.1
Upper Sikanni	Daniels Creek		223.39	758 – 1263	1041	43	3.3	4.5
Upper Sikanni	Donnie Creek		122.16	520 – 1043	822	50	10.4	16.8
Upper Sikanni	Loranger Creek		132.18	1025 – 2018	1390	43	0.0	0.0
Upper Sikanni	Medana Creek		138.68	702 – 1183	1000	43	0.2	2.0
Upper Sikanni	Middle Fork Creek		207.97	857 – 1269	1060	43	2.3	2.4
Upper Sikanni	Sidenius Creek		460.87	1119 – 2619	1489	43	2.6	2.8
Upper Sikanni	Sikanni Chief		470.52	1119 – 2739	1488	43	0.0	0.0
Upper Sikanni	Temple Creek		216.19	458 – 901	760	43	5.0	16.6
Upper Sikanni	Trimble Creek		160.27	1082 – 2122	1439	43	0.0	0.0
Upper Sikanni	Trutch Creek		858.44	491 – 1262	781	43	5.0	8.5
Upper Sikanni	Buckinghorse River - Residual	LB	1239.18	618 - 1936	1029	43	1.5	2.5
Upper Sikanni	Sikanni Chief - Residual	LB	2902	618 – 2739	1143	43	1.7	2.2

The analysis indicates that all watersheds (105 of 105 or 100%) are within the target threshold for peak flow upon completion of all harvest activities proposed in FOS# 3.

Therefore FOS# 3 is consistent with the Peak Flow indicator.

#### 3.5 Visual Quality Management Strategy Indicator

Visual Quality Objectives (SFMP Section 6.44)

Target Statement– Pilot participants forest operations will be consistent with the established Visual Quality Objectives (VQO's).

A variance to the requirement for consistency with established VQO's, where approved by the District Manager, is permitted on a site-specific basis, where required to address risks to resource values or safety issues (e.g. fire salvage, sanitation harvesting for forest pest control), as identified in a SLP. A rationale will be prepared by a professional forester, and must specify the reasons for the variance and the measures that will be implemented to address the resource value at risk and mitigate impacts on the visual resource.

Participants have committed to achieving VQO objectives post-harvest in visually inventoried areas along the Alaska Highway, and in the Graham River IRM Area. In identified scenic areas without established objectives, block design techniques will be

used to mitigate the impact of timber harvesting in scenic areas. The FOS maps show the visual quality polygons, and Table 17 identifies the blocks located in these visually sensitive areas, as well as the predominate visual quality objective for the portions of the block that falls within a VQO polygon.

The visual landscape inventory contains known scenic areas and associated Visual Quality Objectives and is located on the BC Government's Land and Resource Data Warehouse. The inventory current at the time of submission of this SFMP for approval, has been referred to during the development of FOS# 2, and blocks that may impact the achievement of VQO's are noted in the Table 17 of the FOS, and tracked by the Participants.

If deemed necessary by the Participants, pre-harvest visual impact assessments and landscape design processes may be done to assist in block design to achieve VQO's.

Where variances are required to allow harvesting to meet other resource management objectives (e.g. forest health), the Participants will document and retain a rationale for the variance, the measures that will be implemented to address the resource value at risk, and the measures to be used to mitigate impacts on the visual resource to the extent practicable. Approval from the District Manager will be sought for all VQO achievement variances. The Participants will notify the Ministry of Natural Resource Operations regarding proposed variances at the time of submitting harvest authorization requests.

Therefore FOS #3 is consistent with the visual quality indicator.

## 3.6 Range and Forage Management Strategy Indicator

#### Range Actions Plans (SFMP Section 6.41)

# Target: Operations 100% consistent with the resultant range action plans from consultative processes.

Information regarding the FOS will be made available for comment during the 60-day review period. Range tenure holders will be advised by letter of specific blocks proposed for their tenured areas. Opportunities to meet with range tenure holders and community pasture associations have been and will continue to be pursued.

Forestry planning staff will provide the opportunity for range tenure holders to meet, or otherwise provide comments on forestry activities proposed for their tenure area in the FOS, PMP or other operational plans that are made available for review and comment. Where issues are identified during the referral of these plans, potential actions to resolve the issues will be discussed with the range tenure holder, and any subsequent mutually agreed action plan will have completion dates and responsibilities identified.

Prior to the commencement of harvesting on deciduous blocks, forestry staff will also offer to engage range tenure holders in discussions to formulate a mutually agreed timber range action plan (TRAP) to address issues for all or part of the proposed forestry activities on their tenure area, as identified in the most current Forest Operations Schedule (FOS).

Additionally, if a range tenure holder identifies an issue related to his tenure during forestry field operations, a mutually agreed action plan may be developed by the two parties to address the concern at that time.

FOS# 3 Appendix D summarizes comments received from range tenure and other stakeholders, and Appendix G documents Public Review and Comment revisions made to the FOS specific to the public review of FOS# 3.

Therefore FOS #3 is consistent with the range action plan indicator.

## 3.7 Forest Health Management Strategy Indicators:

### Forest Types (SFMP Section 6.1)

Target Statement: All forest type groups by landscape unit will meet or exceed the minimum area percentage in Table 9 of the SFMP.

The following table (Table 12) presents the baseline status as of 2017 and the SFMP targets by Forest Type and Landscape Unit. All forty-four Forest Type / Landscape Unit combination targets were found to be above the target minimums, and therefore consistent with the SFMP target.

Table Acceptable variance: A Forest Type's area within a LU may be allowed to decline to 50% of the minimum targeted area of a forest type, provided a plan can demonstrate that projected ingrowth will allow the minimum targeted area to be achieved within ten years.

Targets may be adjusted in the event of large natural disturbances impacting a forest type's area within a landscape unit. The Minimum Target Area in hectares noted in the last column of SFMP Table 12 for each Forest Type and LU must be achieved if the actual percentage falls below the target percentage (e.g. due to changes in the total area of all Forest Types in the LU).

Forest Type groups are the designation of stand types into one of four ecologically significant groups – pure deciduous, deciduous leading mixedwood, conifer leading mixedwood, and pure conifer.

This indicator monitors the change in the proportion of forest type groups (> 20 years old) within each group over time. Stands less than 20 years of age are not included because it is assumed that 0 - 20 year-old stands could exhibit significant fluctuations in tree species composition within that time span as a result of silviculture practices and natural ingress of species in regenerating stands. Considering only stands over 20 years of age will focus the target on the end result of reforestation regimes.

This indicator is important because forest operations can, through harvesting and reforestation practices have a significant influence over the composition of forest types across forested landscapes. This influence increases with the duration and intensity of management of regenerating stands. Since forest operations have a significant influence over the distribution of stand composition groups, it is important to monitor changes over time as harvest and reforestation activities are applied.

Stands with black spruce (*Picea mariana*) and larch (*Larix* spp.) as the leading species are not included in the conifer Forest Type class. Black spruce and larch stands are not typically targeted for timber harvesting in the DFA. There are over 1,145,000 ha of these stands within the DFA. To include them in the conifer Forest Type would overly weight the conifer forest type away from the other species such as white spruce (*Picea glauca*) and pine (*Pinus contorta*), which are targeted by the forest industry, and make this indicator less sensitive to the effects of forest management activities.

Other than harvesting and silviculture practices, this indicator may be affected by large natural disturbances, as well as the addition of young stands ("ingress") to the populations as they reach twenty years. Acceptable variances attempt to mitigate these non harvesting impacts. Changes in proportions may also result from new inventories reclassifying areas as different forest types.

The following table (Table 12) presents the baseline status as of 2017 and the SFMP targets by Forest Type and Landscape Unit. All forty-four Forest Type / Landscape Unit combination targets were found to be above the target minimums, and therefore consistent with the SFMP target.

Landscape Unit	Forest Type	2017 curre	ent status	Min Target Area		
Lanuscape Unit	rolest type	Area (ha)	% of L.U.	%		
	Coniferous Leading	156706	41%	33%		
Dhucheum	Coniferous Mixed	44109	12%	89		
Blueberry	Deciduous Leading	125321	33%	289		
	Deciduous Mixed	54135	14%	119		
Blueberry Total		380270				
-	Coniferous Leading	54310	93%	76		
	Coniferous Mixed	1818	3%	19		
Crying Girl	Deciduous Leading	915	2%	1'		
	Deciduous Mixed	1164	2%	1'		
Crying Girl Total		58207		· · ·		
-,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Coniferous Leading	217145	95%	77'		
	Coniferous Mixed	5227	2%	1		
Graham	Deciduous Leading	3748	2%	1'		
	Deciduous Mixed	3416	1%	1		
Graham Total		229536	170	•		
enanam rotai	Coniferous Leading	91975	73%	62'		
	Coniferous Mixed	8698	7%	3		
Halfway	Deciduous Leading	15426	12%	9		
	Deciduous Mixed	9436	8%	4		
Halfway Total		125535	070	7		
Tialiway Total	Coniferous Leading	95973	40%	29		
	Coniferous Mixed	23186	10%	10		
Kahntah	Deciduous Leading	86178	36%	30		
	Deciduous Leading	34257	14%	10		
Kahntah Total		239594	1470	10		
Nannian Tolai	Coniferous Leading	40457	45%	35		
	Coniferous Mixed	10127	11%	33		
Kobes	Deciduous Leading	29484	33%	28		
	Deciduous Leading	9988	11%	20		
Kobes Total			1170	9		
Rubes Total	Coniforous Londing	90056	1.40/	4.4		
	Coniferous Leading	14040	14% 7%	11		
Lower Beatton	Coniferous Mixed	6784		5 56		
	Deciduous Leading	69195	70%			
Lower Beatton Total	Deciduous Mixed	8519 98538	9%	7		
Lower Deallon Tolar	Coniforous Londing	85504	E09/	45		
	Coniferous Leading Coniferous Mixed		59%	45		
Milligan		9692	7%	6		
	Deciduous Leading	40048	28%	24		
Million Tatal	Deciduous Mixed	9668	7%	5		
Milligan Total	O and tangang to all	144911	050/			
	Coniferous Leading	151088	95%	75		
Sikanni	Coniferous Mixed	3008	2%	1		
	Deciduous Leading	3001	2%	1		
011 1 - 1	Deciduous Mixed	2152	1%	1		
Sikanni Total		159250				
Tommy Lakes	Coniferous Leading	149471	50%	45		
	Coniferous Mixed	29899	10%	89		

Table 12: 2017 Status for Forest Types

Londocono Unit	Foroat Turpa	2017 curre	ent status	Min Target Area
Landscape Unit	Forest Type	Area (ha)	% of L.U.	%
	Deciduous Leading	73617	25%	18%
	Deciduous Mixed	44272	15%	9%
Tommy Lakes Total		297258		
	Coniferous Leading	116855	56%	48%
Trutch	Coniferous Mixed	18389	9%	7%
Truton	Deciduous Leading	47023	23%	17%
	Deciduous Mixed	25408	12%	9%
Trutch Total		207674		
Grand Total		2 020 828		

Grand Total

2,030,828

Reforestation is balanced on the landscape using the mixedwood ledger for the area that is impacted by harvesting which accounts for a small percentage of the landscape unit. Large variances in the forest type areas are due to updated VRI information.

The participants' activities are consistent with the target for this indicator.

#### Forest Health FOS Planning (SFMP Section 6.49)

Approximately 15% of the blocks in FOS 3 are pine leading. Much of the pine leading stands that were identified during planning exercises did not meet merchantibility requirements when reviewed in the field. This is a function of beetle killed pine surpassing its shelf life

#### 3.8 Other SFMP Indicators related to the FOS:

#### Shrubs (SFMP Section 6.8)

Target Statement: Each landscape unit will meet or exceed the baseline target (%) proportion of shrub habitat. Acceptable variance is no more than 20% below the baseline target (e.g. Crying Girl target is 5%, minimum acceptable is 4 %).

Table 13 indicates the 2017 condition of shrub habitat within the DFA upon completion of all harvesting activities proposed in FOS# 3. Targets were established for this indicator by reviewing the amount of naturally occurring shrub areas by landscape unit, as well as forested areas less than 20 years old. Landscape units with low levels of naturally occurring shrubs generally have lower targets than areas with higher levels of shrubs. The targets reflect the same proportionate change as in the 2004 SFMP.

LANDSCAPE UNIT	LU Net Area (ha)	2017 Shrub Area (ha)	2017 Shrub Area % of LU	Future Shrub Area (ha)	Future Shrub Area % of LU	Baseline Target
Blueberry	588013	123191	21%	95089	16%	8%
Crying Girl	67180	7338	11%	4349	6%	8%
Graham	334884	58170	17%	57973	17%	15%
Halfway	196226	28996	15%	25803	13%	6%
Kahntah	749236	185981	25%	184568	25%	21%
Kobes	136697	27328	20%	23475	17%	8%
Lower Beatton	154954	20622	13%	16666	11%	7%
Milligan	454005	75996	17%	74999	17%	13%

#### Table 13: Shrub Habitat Current, FOS Condition and Targets

OCTOBER 4TH, 2017

Sikanni	312129	38257	12%	38257	12%	6%
Tommy Lakes	705760	88772	13%	77247	11%	8%
Trutch	436582	33042	8%	31860	7%	6%
Grand Total	4135665	587694		530287		

Table 13 indicates that the LU shrub habitat targets identified in SFMP# 3 are achieved in all LUs except the Crying Girl, upon the completion of all harvesting activities proposed by FOS# 3. It is expected that natural disturbance (fire) will create additional shrub area in each LU over the course of FOS 3. Shrub area created by natural disturbance is not included in the projection of the future shrub area.

Therefore FOS# 3 is consistent with the shrub habitat indicator in the SFMP.

## Ungulate Winter Ranges, Wildlife Habitat Areas and MKMA (SFMP Section 6.16)

Target Statement: All pilot Participant activities will be consistent with the objectives of the MKMA and the general wildlife measures for Ungulate Winter Ranges and Wildlife Habitat Areas

There are currently 15 approved Wildlife Habitat Area's (WHA's) and 16 Ungulate Winter Range (UWR) areas wholly or partially within the Fort St John TSA. General Wildlife Measures – the legal management regimes that will be required in these areas – have been developed, with input from the Participants and other stakeholders. The Participants will follow the General Wildlife Measures for each specific area when harvesting is proposed within these areas. For the previous FOS, there were no activities conducted within approved WHAs or UWRs.

The spatial datasets identifying the locations of WHA's and UWR's are maintained within the Participants' GIS systems. The Participants will identify any activities proposed near or within WHA's and UWR's. All SLP's within Ungulate Winter Ranges and Wildlife Habitat Areas will ensure consistency with the objectives or general wildlife measures identified for the WHAs and UWRs.

Implementation to ensure consistency with the objectives of the MKMA will be through plans developed through indicator #21 in Section 6.21 (MKMA Harvest).

Discussion regarding WHA's and UWR areas for the Caribou in the North and Eastern portions of the Timber Supply Area was ongoing at the time this FOS was being prepared.

The following table summarizes harvest activities within grand parented blocks within the Muskwa-Kechika Management Area (MKMA) up to March 31, 2017.

Licensee	Licence	Timber Mark	Block ID	Gross Area (ha)	Merch Area (ha)	Harvest Start Date	Harvest Completion Date	System
CANFOR	A18154	EK8335	20007	57.6	52.0	1/19/2005	2/14/2006	CCRES
CANFOR	A18154	EK8335	20008	101.4	88.7	1/19/2005	3/31/2006	CCRES
CANFOR	A18154	EK8335	20060	75.1	68.5	1/5/2005	3/4/2005	CCRES
Total				234.1	209.2			

Table 14: Harvest Activities in the MKMA

The total cumulative area logged to date within blocks in the MKMA is 209.2 ha. All harvesting operations within the MKMA have been consistent with previously approved Forest Development Plans, as well as provisions within the MKMA Act that 'grandparent' previously approved blocks.

Harvesting within the MKMA that is proposed within the Forest Operations Schedule (i.e., to 2017) is currently limited to previously 'grand parented' blocks within the MKMA, and is therefore consistent with the objectives of the MKMA.

The FOS is therefore consistent with this indicator.

### Guides, Trappers and other interests (SFMP Section 6.46)

Target: 100% of operations will be consistent with action plans for guides, trappers and other non-timber commercial interests from consultative processes.

Information on FOS# 3 was made available for comment during the 60-day public review period. Trapline holders and guide tenure holders were advised by letter of specific blocks proposed for their tenured areas, and opportunities to meet with these tenure holders were provided.

Appendix D of the FOS notes comments received from all stakeholders, and Appendix G details the revisions made to the FOS subsequent to the public review of FOS# 3.

Therefore FOS# 3 is consistent with this indicator.

# Number of Known Values and Uses Addressed in Operational Planning (SFMP Section 6.57)

Target Statement - 100% of known traditional site-specific aboriginal values and uses identified will be addressed in operational plans.

Participants will continue with ongoing relationship building processes with First Nations, to encourage meaningful engagement and input during the development of the SFMP, the FOS, and PMP's.

The Participants will encourage First Nations to provide site-specific information about traditional values and uses (subject to confidentiality agreements) at the SFMP, FOS, and PMP stages.

Detailed operational planning will occur following the review and comment periods. Strategies will be implemented in operational plans to address all site specific known values and uses included in the scope of this indicator.

Information provided subsequent to the formal referral review and comment periods will be considered and addressed to the extent Participants are able to do so without unduly disrupting ongoing operations. Ongoing communication with First Nations will also occur during other meetings that provide additional opportunities for First Nations to identify new site-specific information.

The Managing Participants' field staff are trained in the recognition of wildlife habitat and cultural heritage resources features. Standard Work Procedures provide guidance to field staff regarding the requirement to identify and protect various resources features encountered during fieldwork activities. This guidance provides for management of resource features not specifically identified by First Nations via discussion of the Participants plans.

Preliminary FOS maps depicting proposed old Forest Management Areas and Potential Block Development Areas (PDAs) were shared with First Nations in June 2015.

Preliminary maps of conceptual FOS block locations were provided to First Nations in February 2017 prior to the formal publication of the FOS for general public review.

A summary of First Nations information sharing regarding the FOS is included in Appendix F and Appendix G details the revisions made to the FOS subsequent to the First Nations review of FOS# 3.

Therefore FOS# 3 is consistent with this indicator.

### Regulatory Public Review and Comment Process (SFMP Section 6.58)

Target Statement: 100% compliance with the public review and comment processes identified in the FSJ Pilot Project Regulation.

The FSJPPR (*Section's 82 & 83*) outlines the requirements for Public Review and Comment for Forest Operations Schedules. Range tenure holders, guide outfitters, and trapper tenure holders were advised in writing and provided tenure specific maps, of activities within the tenure holders area of operation (Appendix C). First Nations were advised in writing of proposed FOS activities, First Nations information sharing meetings and correspondence is documented in Appendix F. A summary of revisions made to the FOS as a result of the public review is documented in Appendix G of this final FOS.

### Public Inquiries (SFMP Section 6.60)

Target Statement: Respond to 100% of public inquiries regarding Participants' forestry practices, that are additional to the Pilot Public Review and Comment processes, within one month of receipt. Responses will be made to all specific inquiries, providing contact information is provided that allows the participant to reach the person making the inquiry.

This indicator measures the percentage of timely responses provided to public inquiries or concerns regarding the Participants' woodlands activities that effect the environment or other forest resource users. The indicator includes responses to public comments on operational plans (e.g. SFMP's, FOS's, PMP's) as well as unsolicited public comments on operational activities.

The Participants currently solicit feedback from interested stakeholders and the public when preparing public plans. As well, ongoing feedback is often received regarding the practices and management of the forest from interested parties. Relevant information used in decision making is made available to the PAG, general public and affected parties upon request

All inquiries and comments received during the FOS 60 day review period were responded to prior to submission of this final FOS to government. Appendix D of this FOS summarizes comments received to date from the public, including stakeholders, and Appendix G includes a list of FOS# 3 revisions made in response to comments received during the public review. Copies of the Participant's responses to comments received are included in Appendices D and F of this FOS.

### Representative Examples of Ecosystems (SFMP Section 6.17)

Target Statement: 100% of baseline targets for forested stands in an unmanaged condition, by leading species, by NDU will be met. Acceptable Variances: 10 ha or 10% of area, whichever is greater for Leading Species by NDU that have an uncommon distribution (as noted in SFMP Table 21) if required for access purposes.

No acceptable variance for Leading Species by NDU that are not identified as uncommon in SFMP Table 21.

The following is adapted from Bunnell 2002 and Wells et.al. 2003 a, b.

The indicators of, forest type, seral stage, patch size, snags/cavity sites, coarse woody debris, riparian, shrubs, and wildlife tree patches monitor habitat structures and patterns that are important for many species. These are designed as "medium filter" strategies to capture the habitat requirements of many species. There are, however, many more species about which we know little, but that may be restricted to particular ecosystem types or geographic localities. Most species, but especially those for which knowledge is sparse or absent, are best sustained by ensuring that some portion of each distinct ecosystem type is represented in a relatively unmanaged state.

Unmanaged stands also play an important role as a precautionary buffer against errors in efforts intended to sustain species in the managed forest. While we can develop management practices intended to keep many forest-dwelling species in managed forests, we also recognize that we have insufficient knowledge to ensure that proposed practices will meet all species' requirements in managed stands. That is particularly true of the many poorly known, or completely unknown, organisms. Unmanaged stands are an ecological safeguard against the inevitable errors that occur during management.

Poorly understood functions also will be sustained in unmanaged areas. For example, natural disturbances can occur that would otherwise be suppressed or reduced. While some aspects of natural disturbance can be mimicked in managed stands, other aspects cannot be (e.g., large patches of burned snags, or large areas attacked by spruce or balsam bark beetles). Some species benefit from or rely on these features of natural disturbance, so may not be productive in managed landscapes.

A final function of unmanaged areas in the landscape is to provide an ecological baseline against which the effects of human activities can be compared (Arcese and Sinclair 1997). This role as a benchmark is especially critical in the long-term monitoring required to assess effectiveness of forest practices.

It is preferable to conduct this type of representative management analysis based on site series or clusters of site series or plant associations. However, until such time as this type of information is available for the Fort St. John TSA, leading tree species shall be the coarse filter used for ecosystem representativeness. An unmanaged condition for the purposes of this indicator is considered as areas not contributing to the long-term harvest level within the DFA, or non-timber harvesting land base (NHLB).

Table 15 indicates the current status of forest stands by leading species and NDU for the Non-Timber Harvesting Land Base (NHLB). This reflects the stand types that will exist in an unmanaged state. FOS blocks have been identified within the portion of the landbase that is considered as the timber harvesting landbase.

The SFMP requires an assessment of those NDU species combinations highlighted in yellow in the following table to ensure that targets are not compromised.

## Table 15: Proportion of Leading Species by NDU Unmanaged

Current	State	and	Future	State:
---------	-------	-----	--------	--------

			Total		Unma	naged Fore	sts	
Natural Disturbance Unit	Sub NDU	Leading Species	Forested Area	Current Non-THLB	Current % Non- THLB	Future Non THLB	Future % THLB	Baselin e Target %
		AC	24921	15946	64%	15,946	64%	12%
		AT	564457	294148	52%	294,147	52%	12%
		BL	2154	1774	82%	1,774	82%	12%
		EP	62327	51552	83%	51,552	83%	12%
Boreal Plains Upland		LT	42067	41077	98%	41,077	98%	12%
		PL	428736	229106	53%	229,095	53%	12%
		SB	1344989	1216928	90%	1,216,916	90%	12%
		SW	251908	150734	60%	150,731	60%	12%
		SX	136623	55832	41%	55,831	41%	12%
Boreal Plains Up	pland Total	•	2858182	2057096	72%	2,057,069	72%	
		AC	104	93	90%	93	90%	100%
		AT	2974	2431	82%	2,431	82%	12%
		BL	14016	13422	96%	13,422	96%	12%
	Mountain	EP	30	26	86%	26	86%	100%
	Mountain	PL	20627	8933	43%	8,933	43%	12%
		SB	1005	630	63%	630	63%	12%
		SW	109942	73865	67%	73,865	67%	12%
		SX	88	54	61%	54	61%	12%
Boreal Foothills	Mountai	n Total	148785	99452	67%	99,452	67%	
Doreal r ootnins		AC	151	101	67%	101	67%	80%
		AT	2837	2062	73%	2,062	73%	12%
		BL	13	7	53%	7	53%	0%
	Vallass	EP	2	0	0%	0	2%	100%
	Valley	PL	9766	3897	40%	3,897	40%	12%
		SB	1699	1216	72%	1,216	72%	12%
		SW	19930	9687	49%	9,687	49%	12%
		SX	31	17	53%	17	53%	12%
	Valley	Total	34429	16985	49%	16,985	49%	
		AC	203	175	86%	175	86%	70%
		AT	6893	5992	87%	5,992	87%	12%
		BL	11888	10801	91%	10,801	91%	12%
Northern Boreal Mountains		PL	20005	13290	66%	13,290	66%	12%
		SB	2914	2431	83%	2,431	83%	12%
		SW	18688	15095	81%	15,095	81%	12%
		SX	121095	102284	84%	102,284	84%	12%
Northern Boreal Mo	ountains Total		181687	150068	83%	150,068	83%	

FOREST OPERATIONS SC	HEDULE #3				C	OCTOBER 4TH,	2017	
		AC	2	2	100%	2	100%	100%
		AT	528	469	89%	469	89%	50%
	Mountain	BL	17897	17513	98%	17,513	98%	12%
	Wountain	PL	5239	3501	67%	3,501	67%	12%
		SB	271	236	87%	236	87%	12%
		SW	61294	54155	88%	54,155	88%	100%
Omineca	Mountair	ns Total	85230	75876	89%	75,876	89%	
Onnicea		AC	32	30	95%	30	95%	100%
		AT	598	533	89%	533	89%	50%
	Valley	BL	11	11	100%	11	100%	100%
	valley	PL	2700	1784	66%	1784	66%	12%
		SB	351	307	88%	307	88%	12%
		SW	6873	5165	75%	5,165	75%	12%
	Valley	Total	10565	7831	74%	7,831	74%	
Grand T	otal		3,318,877	2,407,309	73%	2,407,281	<b>72%</b>	

The majority of proposed harvesting is to occur in the Boreal Plains NDU. The analysis completed reports on the condition expected as of March 31, 2025 and assumes that all blocks presented in the FOS #3 will be harvested by that date. The results show that the majority of the baseline targets for retention of a representative sample of forest stands in an unmanaged condition are achieved in the NHLB. Several of the species / NDU combinations do not have sufficient area within the NHLB to meet the target. However in none of the cases is there any area identified for harvesting, and therefore a 'managed' designation.

Table 15 indicates that 100% of the baseline targets for retention of a representative sample of forest stands in an unmanaged condition is achieved for all NDUs, including the 'uncommon' associations, either through the identified NHLB area or through avoidance of harvest planning. FOS #3 proposes the harvest of approximately 27 ha of NHLB area, all of this NHLB harvest is within the Boreal Plains NDU. The associated baseline targets are not compromised by FOS# 3, and therefore FOS# 3 is consistent with this indicator.

### Indicator Analysis Summary

The foregoing indicator analyses reveal that the FOS is consistent with each of the pertinent indicators included in the SFMP. Therefore the FOS is consistent with SFMP# 2.

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
01108	PV	01	D	FOS Approved	094A051	AcAt(Sx) 736- 0/16	35.3	1840.7	5312.4	7153.1
01112	A93056	01	D	FOS Approved	94A052	At(Ac) 636- 0/17	162.8	4061.2	31848.8	35910.0
01119	Cd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A053	At 535-0/17	54.2	3993.4	9462.0	13455.4
01123	BCc	01	D	FOS Approved	094A064	AtSx 734-0/17	23.2	2562.5	3701.0	6263.5
01124	BCd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A063	AtSx 735-0/12	7.6	294.3	849.5	1143.9
01125	BCd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A063	AtSx(PI) 733- 0/16	2.6	692.3	849.3	1541.6
01126	BCd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A063	AtSx 732-0/15	8.2	251.2	648.8	900.0
01127	Cd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A063	At(Sx) 626- 0/11	11.7	898.6	2322.3	3220.9
01138	Cc	01	С	FOS Approved	094A063	Sx(PIAt) 842- 0/14	42.3	6136.9	2276.2	8413.0
01140	BCc	01	С	FOS Approved	094A064	SxAt 834-0/9	13.9	2011.3	1290.0	3301.3
01141	BCc	01	С	FOS Approved	094A064	PISx(At) 835- 0/15	24.9	5540.9	967.2	6508.1
01142	LP	01	D	FOS Approved	094A064	At 832-0/14	60.5	1102.0	1682.0	2784.0
01143	LP	01	D	FOS Approved	094A064	AtSx(PI) 635- 0/13	36.0	1976.4	2349.0	4325.4
01145	BCc	01	С	FOS Approved	094A064	SxAt(PI) 835- 0/10	17.5	2578.7	918.0	3496.7
01146	BCd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A064	AtSx 734-0/16	8.9	187.2	1408.9	1596.1
01147	BCc	01	С	FOS Approved	094A064	SxAt 835-0/12	31.8	4642.0	1565.7	6207.7
01148	BCc	01	С	FOS Approved	094A064	PI(At) 835-0/13	8.0	1565.6	262.4	1828.0
01151	BCc	01	D	FOS Approved	094A065	AtPI(Sx) 735- 0/17	14.7	749.6	1713.4	2463.1

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
01152	LP	01	D	FOS Approved	094A064/065	AtPI(Sx) 735- 0/17	32.1	1352.0	1393.6	2745.6
01157	BCc	01	С	FOS Approved	094A053	Sx(PIAt) 844- 0/14	24.5	5479.1	1497.7	6976.8
01168	LP	01	D	FOS Approved	094A053	At(Sx) 735- 0/14	4.5	122.9	982.7	1105.6
01169	CRL	01	С	FOS Approved	094A053	SxAt(PI) 843- 0/17	13.0	2710.4	549.1	3259.5
01170	LP	01	D	FOS Approved	094A053	AtAc 735-0/16	26.0	2536.7	4186.0	6722.7
01173	BCc	01	D	FOS Approved	094A053	SxAt(PI) 735- 0/13	40.2	3272.3	3603.8	6876.1
01184	Cd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A054	AtAcSx 834- 0/17	29.0	840.1	3539.1	4379.2
01187	Cd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A052	At(Sx) 536- 0/18	44.8	3679.7	4825.0	8504.7
01188	Cd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A052	At(Sx) 636- 0/17	68.8	4966.1	10462.0	15428.1
01192	PV	01	D	Authorized	094A052	At(SxAc) 636- 0/18	27.3	886.5	4358.5	5244.9
01193	PV	01	D	FOS Approved	094A052	At(Ac) 636- 0/19	31.3	1213.7	4923.5	6137.2
01197	Сс	01	С	FOS Approved	094A053	SxAc(At) 644- 0/24	6.4	1230.7	730.7	1961.4
01198	LP	01	D	FOS Approved	094A053	AtAc(Sx) 734- 0/17	16.3	759.9	2667.1	3427.0
01208	BCd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A052	AtSx 636-0/18	16.3	779.0	3093.0	3867.0
01216	PV	01	D	Authorized	094A052	At(Ac) 836- 0/17	211.5	9540.3	33730.9	43271.2
01223	PV	01	D	FOS Approved	094A042	At 637-0/18	11.6	439.3	2809.0	3248.3
01224	PV	01	D	FOS Approved	094A042/052	AtAc(Sx) 636- 0/16	65.4	1582.4	17700.9	19283.3
01225	A94087	01	D	FOS Approved	094A042	At 636-0/17	36.1	1340.3	5399.7	6740.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
01226	A94087	01	D	FOS Approved	094A042	AtSx 636-0/18	15.5	1119.1	2206.7	3325.9
01227	A94087	01	С	FOS Approved	094A042	Sx 846-0/16	3.0	929.7	146.5	1076.1
01228	PV	01	D	Authorized	094A042	At 536-0/16	37.4	971.9	6842.5	7814.4
01229	A94087	01	D	FOS Approved	094A042	At(Sx) 637- 0/16	11.8	543.8	1549.7	2093.5
01230	PV	01	D	Authorized	094A042	At(SxPIAc) 736-0/17	25.4	3654.8	4495.1	8150.0
01231	PV	01	D	Authorized	094A042	AtAc(Ep) 636- 0/17	25.3	992.9	3802.6	4795.4
01232	PV	01	D	Authorized	094A042	At(Ac) 636- 0/17	15.5	660.2	3555.8	4216.1
01233	PV	01	D	Authorized	094A042	At(Ac) 636- 0/18	19.2	2268.2	2716.5	4984.7
01235	PV	01	D	Authorized	094A042	At(Ac) 736- 0/16	124.3	15891.1	20796.7	36687.8
01238	PV	01	D	Authorized	094A042	At(Sx) 736- 0/15	53.2	3205.7	8307.5	11513.2
01239	A94087	01	D	FOS Approved	094A042	At 636-0/17	58.5	1900.2	10792.1	12692.2
01240	A92235	01	D	FOS Approved	094A042	At 637-0/17	115.5	2358.2	34315.8	36674.0
01241	A94087	01	D	FOS Approved	094A042	At 637-0/17	17.8	9.3	3108.1	3117.4
01244	BCc	01	С	FOS Approved	094A042	Sx(Sb) 736- 0/13	4.3	1072.1	69.9	1142.0
01245	PV	01	D	Authorized	094A042	At 636-0/19	18.8	2400.3	2440.5	4840.7
01246	BCd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A043	AtAc(Ep) 636- 0/17	18.5	193.2	3229.8	3423.0
01247	BCd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A043	At(AcSx) 636- 0/18	7.1	254.3	1155.7	1410.0
01250	LP	01	D	FOS Approved	094A044	AtAc 736-0/17	73.9	2691.0	11407.5	14098.5
01252	PV	01	D	Authorized	094A042	At 636-0/15	51.9	1384.1	10265.4	11649.5
01254	PV	01	D	Authorized	094A042	Sx(At) 846- 0/16	3.3	330.1	718.0	1048.1

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
01257	DZ	01	С	FOS Approved	094A042	Sx 845-0/16	67.7	12201.4	7833.4	20034.8
01259	DZ	01	С	FOS Approved	094A042	SxAt 746-0/15	43.7	8102.7	5562.0	13664.7
01260	PV	01	D	Authorized	094A042	Sx(At) 746- 0/17	61.7	10357.4	11401.6	21759.0
01262	BCd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A042	AtAc(Sx) 636- 0/17	6.2	148.4	1036.6	1185.0
01263	BCd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A042	At 536-0/17	13.1	347.1	1414.9	1762.0
01265	BCd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A042	At 636-0/16	17.9	478.8	2567.2	3046.0
01266	BCd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A032	At(Ac) 636- 0/17	76.7	1261.2	16269.8	17531.0
01267	BCd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A042	At 636-0/17	41.2	49.4	7427.6	7477.0
01268	Cc	01	С	FOS Approved	094A062	AtSx(Ac) 736- 0/14	145.4	31859.4	10835.1	42694.5
01270	Cd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A062	At(Sx) 536- 0/16	3.9	214.7	622.7	837.4
01274	BCd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A062	At 537-0/17	6.2	45.3	881.7	927.0
01275	BCd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A062	At(Ac) 536- 0/19	10.8	92.8	1628.2	1721.0
01276	BCd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A062	At(Sx) 637- 0/15	9.7	161.6	1292.4	1454.0
01277	BCc	01	С	FOS Approved	094A062	SxPI(At) 846- 0/14	11.0	2895.3	317.7	3213.0
01278	BCd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A062	At 537-0/17	22.3	171.3	2507.7	2679.0
01289	LP	01	D	FOS Approved	094A053	At(Ac) 636- 0/19	21.8	0.0	8763.0	8763.0
01290	Cd	01	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A043	At 426-0/14	157.7	929.0	22199.0	23128.0
01291	Cd	01	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A043	At(Ac) 536- 0/17	565.5	7798.6	70345.6	78144.2

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
01292	Cd	01	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A043	At 336-0/18	77.4	142.0	12335.0	12477.0
01293	Cc	01	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A063	SwAt(PI) 844- 0/15	86.3	24078.9	3099.6	27178.5
01294	Cc	01	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A063	Sx(PIAt) 844- 0/14	62.8	12941.7	2116.7	15058.4
01295	Cc	01	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A064	SxAt 731-0/14	9.0	471.1	194.1	665.2
01296	Cd	01	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A052	At(Sx) 537- 0/16	6.3	546.0	1098.0	1644.0
01297	Cc	01	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A044	Sx 746-0/16	11.7	3237.4	410.1	3647.4
01298	Cd	43	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A044	AtAc 636-0/17	64.0	7103.0	9070.0	16173.0
01299	Cc	01	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A053	SxAt 636-0/16	26.8	5058.6	3543.8	8602.4
01300	Cc	01	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A062	PISx(At) 836- 0/18	26.2	6348.0	706.0	7054.0
01301	Cc	01	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A062	At(Sx) 746- 0/20	21.8	3701.0	2200.0	5901.0
01302	BCd	01	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A062	AtSx(Ac) 536- 0/18	24.6	1244.0	3415.0	4659.0
01303	Cc	01	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A062	SxPlAt(Ac) 843-0/16	105.2	16829.8	7666.0	24495.8
01304	BCd	01	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A052	AtAc 746-0/19	222.9	22941.2	37679.6	60620.7
01305	Cc	01	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A052	SxSb 736-0/14	119.2	22960.0	11984.0	34944.0
01306	Cd	01	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A052	At(Ac) 636- 0/17	77.0	3671.0	11699.9	15370.9
01307	BCc	01	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A052	SxAt 636-0/18	76.0	15388.3	6521.2	21909.5
01308	Cd	01	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A052	AtSx 736-0/16	8.6	880.0	1008.0	1888.0
01309	Cd	01	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A053	At(Sx) 636- 0/19	54.1	2326.1	13285.2	15611.3

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
01310	BCc	01	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A052	SxAt(PIEp) 736-0/14	24.4	4784.1	1656.2	6440.3
01311	BCd	01	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A052	At(SxAc) 636- 0/18	101.1	8972.5	16621.4	25593.9
01312	Cc	01	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A053	PISx(At) 835- 0/14	107.3	28556.0	6125.0	34681.1
01313	Cd	01	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A044	AtAc 437-0/18	138.9	661.1	20907.7	21568.8
01314	Cd	01	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A044	AtAc 437-0/18	76.0	1613.6	8227.4	9841.0
01315	Cd	01	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A053	AtSx(Ac) 436- 0/18	100.6	9740.8	12872.4	22613.2
01317	Cc	01	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A052	SxAt(Ac) 845- 0/15	6.9	1257.1	1033.1	2290.2
01324	BCc	01	С	FOS Approved	094A054	AtSxPI 730- 0/17	36.9	3948.3	2029.5	5977.8
01325	Cc	27	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A054	SwAc(At) 841- 0/17	30.4	5061.3	2741.9	7803.2
01326	Cc	27	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A054	SxAt 845-0/14	8.0	1483.0	891.2	2374.2
01327	Cc	01	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A054	SxAt 845-0/16	5.6	1495.1	556.8	2051.9
01328	Cd	27	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A054	At(SxAc) 636- 0/16	67.2	6689.9	10778.8	17468.7
01329	Сс	27	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A055	Sx(At) 846- 0/14	114.3	19917.3	13661.5	33578.9
01335	Cd	01	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A042	AtSx(Ac) 636- 0/17	54.1	6480.0	7293.0	13773.0
01337	Cd	01	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A042	At(AcSx) 636- 0/17	32.6	3299.0	4269.0	7234.0
01338	Cc	01	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A042	Sx(At) 736- 0/15	26.0	7130.0	2058.0	9188.0
02021	Cc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A063	SxPI(At) 845- 0/15	17.8	3483.8	614.8	4098.6
02024	Cc	02	С	Authorized	094A063	Sx(At) 735- 0/11	39.7	8548.7	2362.0	10910.6

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
02034	Cc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A082	PI 737-0/17	87.1	26726.0	5474.0	32200.0
02035	MPMC	02	С	FOS Approved	094A083	At(AcSx) 745- 0/19	9.9	2455.2	272.8	2728.0
02041	Cc	02	С	Authorized	094A063	Sx(At) 845- 0/14	76.6	13180.1	4327.0	17507.1
02045	Cd	02	D	FOS Approved	094A063	AtSx 435-0/18	115.9	1444.1	5031.7	6475.7
02052	Cc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A073	PISxAt 736- 0/18	43.4	11682.7	1780.6	13463.3
02055	Cc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A083	Sx(At) 843- 0/18	53.7	5865.8	222.4	6088.2
02056	Cd	02	D	FOS Approved	094A083	AtSx(Ac) 844- 0/17	24.8	34.0	100.0	134.0
02066	MPMC	02	С	FOS Approved	094A083	Sx(At) 846- 0/16	51.6	11589.0	1877.1	13466.1
02090	Cc	02	С	Authorized	094A063	Sx(At) 835- 0/13	57.6	12341.1	3724.5	16065.6
02091	BCc	02	С	FOS Approved	94A073	PIAt(Sx) 736- 0/17	74.3	12329.3	6242.7	18572.0
02124	MPMC	02	С	FOS Approved	094A082	PIAt(SbSx) 736-0/15	1.2	268.4	0.0	268.4
02133	Cd	02	D	FOS Approved	094A082	AtPISx 731- 0/16	8.3	387.1	808.1	1195.2
02138	BCd	02	D	FOS Approved	094A082	At 736-0/17	18.9	914.6	2487.4	3402.0
02142	Cd	18	D	FOS Approved	094A093	At 635-0/17	87.2	2026.4	11766.3	13792.7
02144	Cd	02	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A083	At 637-0/19	9.5	271.3	340.5	611.8
02145	Cd	02	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A082/083	At(Sx) 636- 0/18	19.2	0.0	646.1	646.1
02147	MPMC	02	С	FOS Approved	094A083	Sx 644-0/21	25.7	4521.0	2916.9	7437.8
02149	Cd	02	D	FOS Approved	094A083	At 637-0/19	22.6	2446.1	3517.4	5963.6

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
02157	Cd	02	D	FOS Approved	094A063	At(Sx) 734- 0/13	10.1	1181.2	1374.4	2555.6
02158	Cc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A063	AtSx 734-0/13	11.0	1536.4	920.0	2456.4
02159	Сс	02	С	FOS Approved	094A063	AtSx 734-0/15	12.0	2068.0	1320.0	3388.0
02165	Cc	02	С	Authorized	094A062/072	AtSx(Ac) 736- 0/17	121.8	15695.8	15598.5	31294.3
02168	Cc	02	С	Authorized	094A083	At(AcSx) 745- 0/19	34.2	3326.4	3073.9	6400.3
02172	Cd	02	D	FOS Approved	094A083	At(Sx) 744- 0/18	17.4	1251.3	1529.4	2780.7
02173	Cd	02	D	FOS Approved	094A083	At 637-0/19	11.2	0.0	2356.4	2356.4
02174	LP	02	D	Authorized	094A083	At 637-0/19	25.7	776.4	5800.9	6577.3
02176	Cd	02	D	FOS Approved	094A083	At(Sx) 636- 0/18	8.5	261.5	1762.6	2024.1
02177	Cd	02	D	FOS Approved	094A083	At(SxSb) 735- 0/15	17.0	490.8	3143.9	3634.7
02181	BCc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A062	At(SxPI) 736- 0/17	6.6	1126.6	772.4	1899.0
02182	BCc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A062	SxPI(At) 737- 0/14	8.8	2081.2	609.8	2691.0
02183	BCc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A062	SxPI(At) 737- 0/14	8.9	1886.5	380.5	2267.0
02184	BCc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A062	SxPI(At) 737- 0/14	13.9	1816.2	1282.8	3099.0
02185	BCc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A062	SxAt(PISb) 836-0/13	8.9	1530.4	589.6	2120.0
02186	BCc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A062	PISx(Sb) 836- 0/17	16.3	3435.3	348.7	3784.0
02188	LP	02	D	Authorized	094A083/093	AtSx 735-0/15	26.9	2888.7	3461.9	6350.6
02192	LP	02	С	Authorized	094A083	AtSx 736-0/15	104.6	12761.9	12220.9	24982.8
02201	Cc	02	C	FOS Approved	094A083	PISx(Sb) 736- 0/15	63.2	11651.2	6299.2	17950.4
02202	Cc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A083	AtSx(Ac) 736- 0/17	31.4	3526.9	3249.5	6776.4

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
02205	LP	02	D	Authorized	094A093	At 637-0/13	65.5	4264.4	11777.2	16041.6
02209	BCc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A083	SxPl(At) 738- 0/14	23.8	5333.7	944.3	6278.0
02210	BCc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A083	PISx(At) 529- 0/13	9.9	1480.8	244.2	1725.0
02211	Cd	02	D	FOS Approved	094A083	AtSx 836-0/15	22.9	793.6	1035.4	1829.0
02212	Cc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A083	At(Sx) 636- 0/16	6.3	1929.3	182.9	2112.2
02213	Cc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A083	At(Sx) 736- 0/14	16.1	1297.2	524.4	1821.6
02214	Cc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A083	At(Sx) 836- 0/14	33.6	7607.6	1487.2	9094.8
02215	Cd	02	D	FOS Approved	094A072	At(PISx) 737- 0/16	7.1	1203.6	1407.8	2611.4
02216	Cc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A072	AtSxPI(Sb) 736-0/16	65.7	10464.4	8395.1	18859.5
02217	BCd	02	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A072/082	At(Sb) 636- 0/17	80.0	3597.3	10791.9	14389.2
02218	Cd	02	С	FOS Approved	094A072	At(Sb) 736- 0/15	16.1	1840.4	1433.8	3274.2
02219	Cc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A082	AtPI(Sx) 636- 0/17	18.4	1755.6	777.7	2533.3
02220	Cd	02	D	FOS Approved	094A072/082	At(SxSb) 536- 0/16	17.1	1107.6	2385.6	3493.2
02221	BCc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A082	PI 737-0/16	6.7	960.9	26.1	987.0
02222	Cd	02	D	FOS Approved	094A072	At(SxPIAc) 736-0/18	30.6	1193.4	7344.0	8537.4
02223	Cc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A072	SxAt(PI) 846- 0/17	30.6	8568.0	1989.0	10557.0
02224	BCd	02	D	FOS Approved	094A082	AtPI 736-0/17	8.2	213.8	382.2	596.0
02225	BCc	02	D	FOS Approved	094A082	AtPISx635- 0/18	15.1	396.0	704.0	1100.0
02226	BCc	02	D	FOS Approved	094A082	At 736-0/16	27.3	147.8	934.2	1082.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
02227	BCc	02	D	FOS Approved	094A082	AtPI 736-0/17	6.2	254.5	600.5	855.0
02228	Cd	02	D	FOS Approved	094A082	At(Sx) 636- 0/17	12.5	470.6	1884.5	2355.1
02229	LP	02	D	Authorized	094A072/073	AtSx 736-0/15	50.1	3565.6	5823.5	9389.1
02230	BCc	02	D	FOS Approved	094A072	AtSx 736-0/15	29.4	2337.8	4335.2	6673.0
02231	LP	02	D	Authorized	094A072	AtSx 636-0/16	45.1	4335.3	6269.4	10604.8
02232	BCc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A073	SxAt 736-0/15	35.6	2857.6	1416.4	4274.0
02233	LP	02	D	Authorized	094A072	At 636-0/16	21.7	582.8	3547.9	4130.8
02234	Cd	02	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A072/073	AtSx(Ac) 831- 0/16	46.5	1226.7	4906.7	6133.4
02241	A18154	02	С	Authorized	094A072	At(SxPI) 736- 0/14	10.7	1757.4	1112.9	2870.3
02242	LP	02	D	Authorized	094A072	At(Sx) 537- 0/17	39.3	2579.8	6777.9	9357.7
02251	Cc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A071	AtSx(AcPI) 735-0/14	24.7	1717.6	1109.6	2827.2
02253	Cc	02	С	Authorized	094A071	SxPI 845-0/15	20.0	3540.0	877.7	4417.7
02256	Cc	02	С	Authorized	094A071	PI(SxAt) 736- 0/16	43.0	7346.9	5304.9	12651.7
02257	Cc	02	С	Authorized	094A071/072	PISxAt 836- 0/17	52.2	10145.1	5307.5	15452.6
02259	Cd	02	D	FOS Approved	094A071	At(PI) 736-0/17	7.9	350.9	1650.2	2001.1
02260	A94070	02	С	FOS Approved	094A071	SxAtPl 746- 0/17	8.8	2061.6	515.4	2577.0
02265	A94102	02	С	FOS Approved	094A071	SxPI 746-0/18	64.4	17296.7	947.3	18244.0
02266	A94102	02	С	FOS Approved	094A071	SxPI(At) 747- 0/18	30.1	6477.2	969.8	7447.0
02274	Cc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A062	At 736-0/17	20.7	270.0	216.0	486.0
02275	Сс	02	С	FOS Approved	094A062/063	AtSx 835-0/16	105.1	15557.8	5597.9	21155.7

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
02277	A94070	02	С	FOS Approved	094A072	SxPI(At) 846- 0/15	22.6	4483.9	897.1	5381.0
02280	Cd	02	D	FOS Approved	094A062	At 637-0/17	18.5	988.0	3929.2	4917.2
02298	Сс	02	С	FOS Approved	094A083	PI(At) 737-0/17	43.5	3916.2	1155.6	5071.8
02302	BCc	02	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A073	AtSx(PI) 635- 0/15	24.7	1746.0	966.0	2712.0
02303	BCc	02	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A073	SxAt(Sb) 735- 0/14	9.9	730.0	141.0	871.0
02304	BCd	02	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A063	Sx 535-0/18	17.8	1897.0	2088.0	3985.0
02305	BCc	02	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A062	SxPI(At) 737- 0/14	39.6	9832.5	1634.5	11467.1
02306	Сс	02	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A072	PIAt(SbSx) 837-0/17	62.4	14616.0	3886.0	18502.0
02308	Сс	02	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A072	SxPI(At) 846- 0/17	45.8	12000.0	1640.0	13640.0
02309	Cd	02	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A072	At(Sx) 537- 0/18	146.5	13771.0	24905.0	38676.0
02310	BCc	02	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A072	SxSbPl(At) 735-0/13	26.8	5725.0	2125.0	7850.0
02311	Cc	02	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A072	PIAt(Sw) 836- 0/16	42.1	5240.0	4600.0	9840.0
02312	Cd	02	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A073	At(SxPI) 636- 0/16	8.9	549.3	1000.8	1550.2
02313	BCc	02	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A083	SxAt(PI) 636- 0/15	76.9	13505.0	6643.0	20148.0
02314	Сс	02	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A083	SxAt(PI) 846- 0/15	28.6	4966.0	1768.0	6734.0
02315	Cd	02	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A074	At 637-0/19	113.2	5409.6	22742.4	28152.0
02322	Cd	02	D	FOS Approved	094A084	At 636-0/16	92.1	166.4	1523.2	1689.6
02323	Cc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A074	AtSx(PI) 436- 0/20	14.0	3299.0	2345.0	5644.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
02325	Cd	02	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A084	At(Sx) 636- 0/17	31.0	1698.0	6424.1	8122.1
02326	Cc	02	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A093	At(SxPI) 736- 0/17	45.5	5096.0	3731.0	8827.0
02327	Cc	02	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A093	AtSx 636-0/17	47.6	8187.2	3141.6	11328.8
02328	Cc	02	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A083	At(Sx) 635- 0/14	101.1	22227.0	17298.0	39525.0
02329	Cc	02	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A084	SxAt 845-0/14	22.6	5676.0	2794.0	8470.0
02330	Cc	02	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A084	AtSx 746-0/20	11.3	2098.8	356.4	2455.2
02332	Cc	02	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A084	SxAt(PI) 736- 0/15	10.6	1658.3	412.0	2070.3
02333	BCc	02	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A083	SxAt(PI) 746- 0/15	10.4	1560.0	832.0	2392.0
02334	Cc	02	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A073	At(SxPI) 636- 0/13	13.2	1883.2	634.1	2517.2
02335	Cd	02	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A072	At(Sx) 536- 0/16	6.8	412.0	1389.3	1801.3
02336	Cc	29	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A084	SxAt 736-0/15	9.4	2868.9	751.9	3620.8
02337	Cc	02	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A073	AtSwPI 223- 15/15	13.7	1419.0	376.0	1795.0
03034	DZ	03	С	FOS Approved	094G008	PI(Sb) 626- 0/12	47.1	10523.4	487.2	11010.6
03039	A94094	03	D	FOS Approved	94B099	At(Sw) 835- 0/15	28.8	2831.9	6168.1	9000.0
03040	A94094	03	С	FOS Approved	94B099	Sw(AtSb) 846- 0/15	66.3	11963.3	2638.7	14602.0
03082	MPMC	03	С	FOS Approved	094H001	SwAtPISb 637- 0/15	30.2	3355.2	2192.6	5547.8
03083	MPMC	03	С	FOS Approved	094H001	PISb 627-0/12	58.9	7386.1	4199.6	11585.7
03090	DZ	03	С	FOS Approved	094G009	PISb 825-0/10	75.1	2745.0	170.8	2915.8
03091	DZ	03	С	Authorized	094G008/009	PISb 828-0/11	20.2	5018.0	276.8	5294.8

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
03092	Cc	03	С	Authorized	094G009	AtPISw 635- 0/14	46.5	5412.1	4952.1	10364.2
03095	Cc	03	С	Authorized	094G009	SwPl(At) 834- 0/12	90.2	18120.6	3846.3	21966.9
03097	Cd	03	D	FOS Approved	094B100	At 637-0/16	50.1	1292.5	4866.5	6159.0
03099	DZ	03	С	FOS Approved	094G010	Pl(Sb) 637- 0/13	89.0	11973.5	1227.3	13200.8
03101	DZ	03	С	FOS Approved	094A091/094B100	PISw(Sb) 636- 0/15	206.6	2802.6	550.8	3353.4
03110	MPMC	03	С	FOS Approved	094H001	PI(At) 736-0/15	96.7	6181.2	404.0	6585.2
03111	A94392	03	С	FOS Approved	094H001	PI(AtSb) 737- 0/15	165.1	32045.2	6424.8	38470.0
03115	DZ	03	С	FOS Approved	094G008/009/018	PISb 629-0/12	138.0	17608.5	1989.0	19597.5
03116	DZ	03	С	FOS Approved	094A091/094B100	PI(AtSb) 737- 0/15	243.6	948.0	120.0	1068.0
03118	A94068	03	С	FOS Approved	094H001	PISb 627-0/13	89.9	19499.3	1952.7	21452.0
03123	A94392	03	С	FOS Approved	094H001	Pl(Sb) 827- 0/10	139.1	18806.0	3332.0	22138.0
03124	A56771	03	С	FOS Approved	094H001	Pl(Sb) 827- 0/10	165.1	33410.0	3712.0	37122.0
03134	BCc	03	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A091	SwAt(PISb) 637-0/13	74.1	29822.0	3478.0	33300.0
04033	Cc	04	С	FOS Approved	094A061	Sx(At) 846- 0/16	26.6	4321.1	896.5	5217.6
04034	Cc	04	С	FOS Approved	094A061	Sx 846-0/15	4.8	1244.4	150.7	1395.1
04040	Cc	04	С	FOS Approved	094B070	Sx 845-0/16	28.0	4004.0	1904.0	5908.0
04041	Cc	04	С	FOS Approved	094B070/080	Sx 845-0/16	18.0	2194.5	247.5	2442.0
04073	DZ	04	С	FOS Approved	094A061	At(Ac) 747- 0/18	71.4	12592.8	11873.3	24466.2

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
04075	DZ	04	С	Authorized	094A061	PI(SxAt) 837- 0/17	67.6	13915.0	3604.3	17519.3
04077	Dz	04	С	FOS Approved	094A061	SwPI 846-0/14	12.6	3868.2	233.8	4102.0
04078	PV	04	D	Authorized	094A051	At(Ac) 636- 0/17	7.2	0.0	1563.0	1563.0
04086	DZ	04	С	FOS Approved	094A061	PISx(At) 836- 0/18	22.8	6338.4	1596.0	7934.4
04087	DZ	04	С	FOS Approved	094A061	SxPIAt 846- 0/15	15.0	4339.2	1084.8	5424.0
04088	DZ	04	С	Authorized	094A061	SxAt 845-0/15	6.0	1989.8	188.2	2178.0
04089	DZ	04	С	Authorized	094A061	Sx 846-0/15	30.3	7281.0	1149.2	8430.2
04090	LP	04	D	FOS Approved	094A061	At(Sx) 846- 0/18	14.8	1776.2	2846.9	4623.1
04092	PV	04	D	FOS Approved	094A061	At(SxAc) 736- 0/15	50.4	3556.3	9138.7	12695.0
04093	LP	04	D	FOS Approved	094A061	AtSx 735-0/17	5.3	254.2	1309.1	1563.3
04097	PV	04	D	Authorized	094A061/094B070	At 536-0/16	272.7	8597.5	66967.4	75565.0
04099	PV	04	D	Authorized	094B070	At(Ac) 836- 0/17	205.0	6142.3	37993.9	44136.2
04100	PV	04	D	Authorized	094B070	At 637-0/17	39.7	754.8	10218.7	10973.5
04102	PV	04	D	FOS Approved	094A061	At(Ac) 736- 0/16	70.6	5038.8	16855.7	21894.6
04103	PV	04	D	Authorized	094B070	At 737-0/16	194.0	6926.1	36422.6	43348.7
04114	BCd	04	D	FOS Approved	094A061	At 636-0/18	57.6	205.8	8423.2	8629.0
04115	A93053	04	D	FOS Approved	94A061	At(Ac) 746- 0/19	21.4	0.0	5129.0	5129.0
04116	A93053	04	D	FOS Approved	94A061	At 637-0/14	86.0	1651.5	22894.5	24546.0
04117	BCc	04	D	FOS Approved	094B070	At 637-0/14	5.8	55.0	1045.0	1100.0
04118	A93053	04	D	FOS Approved	94A061	AtAc(Sx) 844- 0/19	6.8	367.4	2079.6	2447.0
04120	LP	04	D	FOS Approved	094A061	At 537-0/18	114.0	4983.6	21651.5	26635.2

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
04125	Cc	04	С	Authorized	094A071	AtSx(Ep) 535- 0/17	33.3	7167.8	1644.0	8811.7
04127	Cc	04	С	Authorized	094A071	PISx(At) 833- 0/15	46.7	8747.7	4272.7	13020.4
04130	LP	04	D	FOS Approved	094A071	At(Sx) 334- 0/19	11.0	315.0	1335.0	1650.0
04131	LP	04	D	FOS Approved	094A071	At(Sx) 334- 0/19	5.1	213.0	902.5	1115.5
04136	Cc	04	С	FOS Approved	094A071	SxPI 845-0/14	3.5	798.0	42.0	840.0
04137	Cc	04	С	Authorized	094A071	SxAt(Ac) 843- 0/16	102.4	11363.6	8582.7	19946.2
04143	LP	04	D	FOS Approved	094A071	At(Sx) 736- 0/16	11.2	917.6	1480.0	2397.6
04144	LP	04	D	FOS Approved	094A071	At(Sx) 736- 0/16	5.0	458.8	740.0	1198.8
04145	Cd	04	D	FOS Approved	094A071	Sw(AtAc) 845- 0/13	8.8	905.2	1460.0	2365.2
04146	LP	04	D	FOS Approved	094A071	Ac(Sx) 735- 0/16	14.5	1176.2	1764.4	2940.6
04147	A94065	04	С	FOS Approved	094A071	SxAt 745-0/18	17.2	2115.1	1551.5	3666.6
04148	A94065	04	С	FOS Approved	094A071	SxAt 845-0/13	47.2	10586.8	5356.6	15943.4
04149	BCd	04	D	FOS Approved	094A071	At 735-0/17	19.3	701.5	2547.0	3248.5
04151	Cc	04	С	Authorized	094A071	SxAt(PI) 846- 0/14	42.2	4170.5	3556.2	7726.7
04158	LP	04	D	FOS Approved	094A071	AtAcSx 835- 0/16	23.4	1053.0	4212.1	5265.1
04174	Cc	04	С	FOS Approved	094A071	SxAt 845-0/15	38.7	2763.2	1711.3	4474.5
04175	BCc	04	С	FOS Approved	094A071	SxPI 845-0/15	28.5	6806.0	459.0	7265.0
04177	PV	04	D	Authorized	094A061	PISxAt 736- 0/17	36.6	3758.9	5904.8	9663.7

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
04185	PV	04	D	Authorized	094A061/094B070	At(Ac) 636- 0/16	27.4	2326.8	7086.9	9413.7
04186	PV	04	D	FOS Approved	094A061/094B070	AtAc 845-0/18	18.1	123.1	2185.5	2308.6
04188	PV	04	D	Authorized	094B070	At(AcSx) 636- 0/18	21.4	1848.3	3343.1	5191.3
04191	LP	04	D	FOS Approved	094B080	AtEp(SwAc) 735-0/16	9.6	708.0	936.0	1644.0
04198	BCd	04	D	FOS Approved	094B070	At(Ac) 637- 0/17	9.8	193.0	1488.0	1681.0
04199	BCc	04	D	FOS Approved	094B070	SxAc(At) 845- 0/17	2.1	320.7	344.3	665.0
04200	A93053	04	D	FOS Approved	94B070	At 637-0/14	21.9	303.3	5425.7	5729.0
04201	BCc	04	С	FOS Approved	094B070	Sx 845-0/13	19.0	4751.8	806.2	5558.0
04202	BCc	04	С	FOS Approved	094B070	SxPIAt 836- 0/14	2.0	388.4	111.6	500.0
04203	BCc	04	С	FOS Approved	094B070	Sx 745-0/16	22.3	4096.2	1926.8	6023.0
04204	BCd	04	D	FOS Approved	094A061	At 537-0/16	63.0	552.1	18302.9	18855.0
04205	BCd	04	D	FOS Approved	094A051	At(Sx) 746- 0/18	31.0	570.5	5632.5	6203.0
04206	Cc	04	С	FOS Approved	094A051/052	Sx 846-0/15	61.4	11783.9	2946.0	14729.9
04211	Cc	04	С	FOS Approved	094A052	Sx 746-0/16	188.6	25787.0	24255.2	50042.2
04212	BCd	04	D	FOS Approved	094A051	At(AcSx) 736- 0/16	23.5	570.1	3297.9	3868.0
04223	BCc	04	С	FOS Approved	094A051	Sx(At) 737- 0/14	49.2	8343.6	4048.4	12392.0
04232	A94069	04	С	FOS Approved	094A062	SxPI 846-0/15	63.3	12682.6	2817.4	15500.0
04233	BCc	04	С	FOS Approved	094A061	Sx(At) 846- 0/14	4.5	1241.7	218.3	1460.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
04234	BCc	04	D	FOS Approved	094A061	At(Sx) 736- 0/18	21.9	1418.5	3023.5	4442.0
04235	BCd	04	D	FOS Approved	094A061	At(Sx) 636- 0/17	7.7	169.9	1435.1	1605.0
04236	BCc	04	С	FOS Approved	094A061	SxAt 736-0/13	4.6	889.6	255.4	1145.0
04237	BCd	04	D	FOS Approved	094A062	At(Sx) 635- 0/19	7.8	187.1	1466.9	1654.0
04238	BCd	04	D	FOS Approved	094A062	At 536-0/18	15.8	627.7	6934.3	7562.0
04239	BCd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A062	At 536-0/18	13.1	221.5	4208.5	4430.0
04240	BCd	04	D	FOS Approved	094A061	AtSx(Ac) 636- 0/17	18.3	819.8	2474.2	3294.0
04241	DZ	04	С	Authorized	094A061	Sx 846-0/15	17.9	3739.8	637.7	4377.6
04242	LP	04	D	FOS Approved	094A061	AtAc 536-0/21	40.0	151.9	10632.1	10784.0
04243	PV	04	D	FOS Approved	094A061	At(Ac) 536- 0/19	11.0	129.9	1550.0	1679.9
04257	BCd	04	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A051	At(Ac) 536- 0/20	42.5	85.0	291.0	376.0
04258	BCc	04	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A051	Sx 746-0/16	17.9	6068.1	465.4	6533.5
04259	BCd	04	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A051	AtSx 636-0/16	52.3	6452.7	7206.3	13659.0
04260	A18154	04	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A061	SxAt 836-0/14	106.6	15531.6	15802.5	31334.1
04261	Cd	04	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B070	SxPIAt 836- 0/14	77.0	10811.6	14435.6	25247.2
04262	Cc	04	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A061	Sx(At) 836- 0/13	99.2	31049.6	8828.8	39878.4
04265	Cc	04	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B070	Sx(At) 846- 0/15	37.5	9670.5	3402.0	13072.5
04266	Cc	04	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A061	Sx(At) 537- 0/18	128.1	40991.0	2633.5	43624.5
04267	Cc	04	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A061	AtSw(PI) 846- 0/17	64.2	6912.0	4512.0	11424.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
04268	Cc	04	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A061	Sx(At) 846- 0/16	75.8	24938.2	8034.8	32973.0
04269	Cc	04	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A061	SxPI(At) 846- 0/14	49.8	9922.4	1224.6	11147.0
04270	Cc	04	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A061	At(AcSx) 845- 0/18	30.2	7036.6	932.0	7968.6
04271	Cc	04	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A061	Sx(At) 846- 0/16	66.8	23698.2	2861.2	26559.4
04272	BCc	04	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A071	SxAt 845-0/15	46.4	7537.0	1953.0	9490.0
04274	BCc	04	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A061	SwAt 846-0/17	29.6	6426.0	1931.0	8357.0
04276	Cc	04	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A061	SxPI(At) 836- 0/12	50.5	11445.2	5440.5	16885.7
04277	BCd	04	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B070	At 636-0/17	82.2	3665.4	13799.4	17464.7
04278	Cd	04	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A061	Sw(At) 844- 0/17	102.1	25273.5	4669.0	29942.5
04279	Сс	04	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B070	AtSxPI 736- 0/17	49.2	12810.0	5994.0	18804.0
04280	Cc	04	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A061	Sx(AtPI) 836- 0/13	51.4	9806.0	1426.0	11232.0
05027	A94061	05	С	FOS Approved	094B060	PI(At) 637-0/17	17.9	5715.1	1405.9	7121.0
05028	A94062	05	С	FOS Approved	094B060	PIAt 637-0/16	5.9	761.3	299.7	1061.1
05029	A94061	05	С	FOS Approved	094B060	PIAt 637-0/16	25.8	5455.6	1999.4	7455.0
05030	A94061	05	С	FOS Approved	094B070	PIAt 636-0/17	80.3	10705.6	7399.4	18105.0
05031	A94061	05	С	FOS Approved	094B060	PI 637-0/14	6.2	1688.5	131.5	1820.0
05034	BCc	05	С	FOS Approved	094B070	PI 437-0/20	3.8	647.9	34.1	682.0
05035	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094A051	PI(At) 736-0/18	29.4	2457.0	135.0	2592.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
05036	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094A051	SxPl(At) 846- 0/16	41.2	3407.5	1527.5	4935.0
05037	BCc	05	D	FOS Approved	094A051	SxAt(Ep) 636- 0/16	42.5	3270.5	4699.5	7970.0
05038	BCd	05	D	FOS Approved	094A051	AtSx(Ep) 635- 0/17	16.5	1727.8	2127.2	3855.0
05039	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094B060	PI(Sx) 636- 0/14	13.5	2820.0	140.0	2960.0
05040	LP	05	С	FOS Approved	094B060	AtSx(Ac) 736- 0/15	23.9	3920.0	2800.0	6720.0
05041	BCc	05	С	FOS Approved	094A051	PISx(At) 736- 0/16	3.8	717.5	196.5	914.0
05042	BCc	05	С	FOS Approved	094A051	PIAt(Sx) 736- 0/16	17.7	3170.1	977.9	4148.0
05043	BCc	05	С	FOS Approved	094A051	PIAt(Sx) 736- 0/16	16.9	2387.1	1341.9	3729.0
05044	LP	05	D	FOS Approved	094A051	AtAc 746-0/18	15.6	873.6	4071.6	4945.2
05045	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094A051	PISx(At) 737- 0/17	48.3	11738.2	1304.2	13042.4
05046	LP	05	D	FOS Approved	094A051	At(PISx) 636- 0/17	20.2	1098.0	4554.0	5652.0
05047	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094A051	AtSxPI 736- 0/18	32.6	5661.0	3034.5	8695.5
05048	Cc	05	С	FOS Approved	094A051	PISx(At) 737- 0/17	80.9	10920.0	2604.0	13524.0
05049	LP	05	D	FOS Approved	094B060	At 736-0/15	10.4	56.0	992.0	1048.0
05050	LP	05	D	FOS Approved	094A051/094B060	AtSx(Ep) 636- 0/15	4.4	52.8	959.2	1012.0
05051	LP	05	D	FOS Approved	094A051	At 646-0/20	15.4	92.4	4127.2	4219.6
05053	A94061	05	С	FOS Approved	094B070	SxPI 836-0/13	3.1	566.0	0.0	566.0
05054	A94063	05	С	FOS Approved	094B070	Pl(Sx) 537- 0/16	27.4	10100.0	1277.0	11377.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
05056	A94079	05	D	FOS Approved	094B060	AtSx 636-0/16	12.2	1310.7	1524.3	2835.0
05057	A94062	05	С	FOS Approved	094B060	AtSx(PI) 636- 0/14	50.4	7432.6	2326.4	9759.0
05061	A94079	05	D	FOS Approved	094B060	At 737-0/15	35.7	1873.2	4235.8	6109.0
05062	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094B060	PISb(Sx) 736- 0/15	14.8	4487.5	0.0	4487.5
05063	Cd	05	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A051	At 536-0/18	61.9	2104.6	20798.4	22903.0
05064	A94091	05	D	FOS Approved	094A051	At 536-0/18	91.2	925.3	16574.7	17500.0
05065	LP	05	D	FOS Approved	094A051	At(Sx) 536- 0/17	17.9	627.8	3834.5	4462.3
05066	BCc	05	С	FOS Approved	094A051	Sx 846-0/15	9.7	2646.7	382.3	3029.0
05067	BCc	05	С	FOS Approved	094A051	Sx(AtPI) 747- 0/15	75.7	16151.3	4271.7	20423.0
05068	LP	05	D	FOS Approved	094A051	At(AcSx) 736- 0/18	18.0	0.0	5138.6	5138.6
05069	Cd	05	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A051	At 537-0/16	192.3	5189.3	45406.7	50596.0
05070	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094A051	AtSx 737-0/17	11.4	2328.0	328.3	2656.3
05071	LP	05	D	FOS Approved	094B060	At 637-0/16	48.0	3395.0	9240.0	12635.0
05072	LP	05	D	FOS Approved	094A051	At 536-0/17	71.2	2669.6	11479.4	14149.0
05073	LP	05	D	FOS Approved	094A051	AtAc(Sx) 646- 0/20	56.6	0.0	10420.0	10420.0
05074	DZ	05	D	FOS Approved	094A051	Sx(AcAtSb) 636-0/15	5.4	348.0	864.0	1212.0
05077	LP	05	D	FOS Approved	094B069	At 735-0/16	10.9	233.6	2927.6	3161.2
05078	LP	05	D	FOS Approved	094B079	At(Sb) 736- 0/14	63.9	3819.2	8357.3	12176.4

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
05079	A94059	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	SxPIAt 846- 0/15	93.5	6816.8	2106.2	8923.0
05081	LP	05	D	FOS Approved	094B069	AtSxAc(PI) 735-0/15	31.5	1455.0	1890.0	3345.0
05082	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	Sx(AtAcPI) 746-0/16	62.7	16786.2	5207.6	21993.8
05083	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	Sx(PI) 845- 0/15	41.0	9792.0	544.0	10336.0
05084	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	Sx(PIAt) 646- 0/19	16.4	2059.2	1161.6	3220.8
05085	A94059	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	Sx(AtPl) 746- 0/15	21.6	1230.7	746.3	1977.0
05087	BCc	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	SxAt 646-0/18	41.4	8691.6	3075.8	11767.4
05088	LP	05	D	FOS Approved	094B069	At(Sx) 836- 0/13	21.1	2972.5	4694.5	7667.0
05089	LP	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	At 736-0/14	49.3	5800.0	928.0	6728.0
05090	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	Sx 845-0/16	56.3	15186.4	0.0	15186.4
05091	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	Sx(PI) 846- 0/14	99.5	24840.0	450.0	25290.0
05092	BCc	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	SxPI 846-0/11	40.6	11289.0	1492.0	12781.0
05093	BCc	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	PISx 836-0/15	10.7	3052.9	143.1	3196.0
05094	BCc	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	SxPI(BI) 844- 0/12	36.5	10333.3	54.7	10388.0
05095	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	Sx(PI) 836- 0/13	77.3	18717.3	0.0	18717.3
05096	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	PISx 834-0/15	4.7	1135.0	66.7	1201.7
05097	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	PIAt(Sx) 833- 0/16	3.9	762.7	190.7	953.4
05098	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	PIAt(Sx) 735- 0/15	4.9	644.8	177.0	821.8

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
05099	BCd	05	D	FOS Approved	094B069	At(PI) 836-0/16	39.7	1779.2	6883.8	8663.0
05100	LP	05	D	FOS Approved	094B069	AtPI(Sx) 736- 0/15	29.9	906.5	4949.0	5855.5
05101	A94093	05	С	FOS Approved	094B068	Sx(At) 736- 0/15	195.6	38558.7	9806.6	48365.3
05102	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	Sx 844-0/12	23.2	4808.6	98.1	4906.7
05103	LP	05	D	FOS Approved	094B059/069	At 736-0/15	38.8	392.5	7456.5	7849.0
05104	BCc	05	С	FOS Approved	094B059	SxPI 746-0/16	61.4	11124.1	3603.9	14728.0
05105	BCc	05	С	FOS Approved	094B059	PISx 835-0/17	32.1	7856.0	1685.0	9541.0
05106	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094B059	SxPI(At) 845- 0/13	38.5	2940.0	80.0	3020.0
05109	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094B059	Sx(At) 846- 0/15	39.0	8603.9	175.6	8779.5
05110	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094B059	Sx(At) 736- 0/14	22.2	2990.3	1993.5	4983.8
05111	BCc	05	С	FOS Approved	094B059	SxAt 745-0/20	26.6	5177.4	2411.6	7589.0
05112	BCc	05	С	FOS Approved	094B059	PIAt 736-0/19	22.2	4094.6	2229.4	6324.0
05113	BCd	05	D	FOS Approved	094B059	AtPI(SwAc) 845-0/18	8.2	945.9	1297.1	2243.0
05114	BCc	05	D	FOS Approved	094B059	AtPI(SwAc) 845-0/18	34.3	3369.3	5123.7	8493.0
05115	BCc	05	D	FOS Approved	094B059	AtPI(SwAc) 845-0/18	6.5	673.8	934.2	1608.0
05116	BCd	05	D	FOS Approved	094B059	At(PI) 746-0/18	5.6	194.0	1127.0	1321.0
05117	BCc	05	С	FOS Approved	094B059	PISx(At) 736- 0/19	15.7	3094.8	1292.2	4387.0
05118	BCd	05	D	FOS Approved	094B059	At(PI) 746-0/18	17.6	557.1	3612.9	4170.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
05119	BCd	05	D	FOS Approved	094B059	At 736-0/18	24.0	924.7	4463.3	5388.0
05122	BCd	05	D	FOS Approved	094B059	At(Ac) 736- 0/15	27.8	276.8	4730.2	5007.0
05123	PV	05	D	Authorized	094B060	AtSx 837-0/16	46.4	4859.3	7035.9	11895.1
05124	BCc	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	PISx 834-0/14	13.3	2825.2	194.8	3020.0
05125	BCd	05	D	FOS Approved	094B069	At 635-0/16	43.6	813.3	5657.7	6471.0
05126	BCc	05	D	FOS Approved	094B069	SxPI(At) 845- 0/13	12.6	1113.4	1240.6	2354.0
05127	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	SxPI(Sb) 746- 0/16	41.9	13373.2	0.0	13373.2
05128	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	SxPI(At) 845- 0/15	25.0	5733.0	741.0	6474.0
05130	LP	05	D	FOS Approved	094A051	At 746-0/18	8.2	0.0	1235.0	1235.0
05131	LP	05	D	FOS Approved	094A051	At(Ac) 746- 0/18	8.5	0.0	1277.7	1277.7
05134	Cc	05	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B060	SxPI(At) 737- 0/15	10.0	2535.0	313.0	2848.0
05135	Cc	05	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B060	SxAtPl 636- 0/13	21.0	2726.0	1748.0	4474.0
05136	BCd	05	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B060	SxAt 636-0/15	41.4	3816.0	3863.0	7679.0
05137	Cc	05	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B060	Sw(At) 732- 10/14	78.5	5749.0	1153.0	6902.0
05138	Cd	05	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B060	At 537-0/15	96.4	4384.6	14284.8	18669.4
05139	Cc	05	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B060	Sw 633-0/15	13.4	1442.0	0.0	1442.0
05140	Cd	05	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B060	At(PI) 636-0/15	27.1	907.0	3311.0	4218.0
05141	Cc	05	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B060	Sw 841-15/13	47.7	6406.0	8.0	6414.0
05142	Cd	05	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B069	At 437-0/18	168.7	7103.0	18734.0	25837.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
05143	A18154	05	С	FOS Approved	094B070	Sx 436-0/22	20.7	3582.6	604.9	4187.5
05144	A18154	05	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B070	PISx 536-0/19	16.5	2624.2	1633.0	4257.3
05145	A18154	05	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B070	Sx(PI) 747- 0/16	18.0	3616.3	1003.7	4620.0
05146	Cc	05	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B070	PISx 637-0/17	4.4	1198.0	52.0	1250.0
05147	Cc	05	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B070	SxAt 835-0/13	6.0	682.0	128.0	810.0
05148	Cc	05	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B070	PI(Sx) 637- 0/17	3.7	692.0	47.0	739.0
05149	Cc	05	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B069	SxPI(At) 745- 0/16	5.6	1574.2	269.1	1843.2
05150	Cd	05	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A051	At 536-0/18	198.3	5096.0	35868.0	40964.0
05151	BCc	05	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B079	Sx(SbAt) 846- 0/13	81.3	15556.6	7333.4	22890.0
05152	BCd	05	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B069	At(PI) 536-0/17	24.0	1793.5	4802.9	6596.4
05153	BCd	05	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B069	AtSx(PIAc) 734-0/15	71.9	6116.6	6397.4	12513.9
05154	BCd	05	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B068	At 636-0/16	79.5	5847.7	11695.5	17543.2
05155	A18154	05	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B070	At(Ac) 436- 0/17	26.7	3492.8	1943.2	5436.0
06024	Cc	06	С	FOS Approved	094B099	SwPISb 835- 0/11	114.0	34416.2	650.1	35066.3
06032	A94089	06	D	FOS Approved	094B090	At 536-0/16	57.1	2114.4	8839.6	10954.0
06034	PV	06	С	FOS Approved	094B070/080	AtSx(Ac) 736- 0/17	329.6	42383.3	40838.9	83222.2
06035	PV	06	D	Authorized	094B079	At 537-0/16	614.9	30963.7	99105.7	130069.5
06036	Сс	06	С	FOS Approved	094B079	SxSb 836-0/9	51.7	9172.4	3057.5	12229.9
06037	PV	06	D	Authorized	094B079/080	At 735-0/14	119.9	3478.5	14214.4	17692.9

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
06038	A94075	06	D	FOS Approved	094B079	At 736-0/14	193.9	9959.8	36950.2	46910.0
06040	BCc	06	С	FOS Approved	094B090	SxPI 843-0/13	100.9	19711.7	10061.3	29773.0
06043	BCc	06	С	FOS Approved	094B090	SxAt 845-0/18	67.6	12973.2	4776.8	17750.0
06044	PV	06	D	Authorized	094B079/089	At(Sx) 436- 0/16	371.6	18530.7	46436.2	64966.9
06045	PV	06	D	Authorized	094B088	AtSxAc 735- 0/17	22.8	327.3	2901.4	3228.7
06048	A93672	06	С	FOS Approved	094B090	Sx(PI) 745- 0/16	31.5	9869.8	1003.2	10873.0
06049	A93059	06	D	FOS Approved	94B089	SxAt 742-0/17	116.1	6128.3	19057.7	25186.0
06054	A93672	06	С	FOS Approved	094B090	SxAt(PI) 635- 0/17	24.9	4268.6	3664.4	7933.0
06055	A94064	06	С	FOS Approved	094B090	PI(Sb) 826- 0/10	93.4	21945.4	1954.6	23900.0
06056	Cc	06	С	Authorized	094B088	AtPI(Sx) 736- 0/17	30.8	5433.8	1032.0	6465.8
06058	LP	06	С	FOS Approved	094B090	At(Sx) 636- 0/18	90.5	15837.5	6787.5	22625.0
06059	A94071	06	С	FOS Approved	094B089	SxBI 833-0/10	18.6	4515.6	728.4	5244.0
06061	A94088	06	D	FOS Approved	094B090	At(Sx) 636- 0/17	53.3	6433.3	10822.7	17256.0
06062	LP	06	D	Authorized	094B088/098	At 538-0/17	136.6	3238.9	18924.5	22163.4
06065	A93672	06	С	FOS Approved	094B090	SxAt(PI) 734- 0/14	113.9	27169.2	7940.8	35110.0
06066	Сс	06	С	FOS Approved	094B100	PIAt 734-0/14	16.3	2000.0	240.0	2240.0
06070	A94071	06	С	FOS Approved	094B099	SwPl 846-0/16	42.5	15307.9	770.1	16078.0
06073	LP	06	D	Authorized	094B098/099	At 738-0/16	54.6	685.0	7103.7	7788.7
06075	A93671	06	С	FOS Approved	094B099	SwPIAt(Sb) 836-0/11	33.9	6153.8	1145.2	7299.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
06076	Cc	06	С	FOS Approved	094B098	SwAtSb 834- 0/11	3.4	773.9	142.6	916.4
06077	Cc	06	С	FOS Approved	094B098	AtSw(Sb) 733- 0/14	18.1	1985.9	1724.7	3710.6
06079	LP	06	D	FOS Approved	094B098	AtSw(Sb) 735- 0/15	97.6	6350.9	10817.1	17168.0
06084	LP	06	D	FOS Approved	094B099	AtSw(PI) 736- 0/15	53.8	1553.2	2508.7	4061.9
06085	Cc	06	С	FOS Approved	094B098/094G008	SwPI 836-0/13	41.5	8762.9	952.3	9715.2
06086	Cd	06	D	FOS Approved	094B097/098/094G007	At 524-0/13	422.7	12194.7	23651.7	35846.4
06087	BCc	06	С	FOS Approved	094G008	Sw(PIAtSb) 837-0/12	80.3	13013.7	3443.3	16457.0
06091	Cd	06	D	FOS Approved	094B070	SxAtPI 746- 0/17	66.1	4948.1	11545.5	16493.6
06092	PV	06	D	Authorized	094B079	At(Ac) 636- 0/17	143.3	9830.9	23128.2	32959.1
06098	Cd	06	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B079	At 631-0/14	14.3	128.7	2845.7	2974.4
06099	Cd	06	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B079	At 436-0/22	74.7	1140.0	18360.0	19500.0
06100	Cc	06	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B079	Sx(At) 743- 0/20	199.5	33706.0	12240.6	45946.6
06101	Cd	06	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B079	At(Ac) 536- 0/19	160.2	8436.0	40404.0	48840.0
06102	Cd	06	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B080	Pli(AtSw) 535- 0/18	38.7	423.9	3799.4	4223.3
06103	Cd	06	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B080	At 537-0/18	87.3	800.7	13564.8	14365.5
06104	A60049	06	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B080	At 535-0/17	99.8	820.4	13009.2	13829.6
06105	BCd	04	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B080	SwAt(Pli) 844- 0/17	54.2	5978.0	6654.0	12632.0
06106	Cc	06	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B080	Sw(At) 636- 0/15	26.1	5150.4	843.6	5994.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
06107	Cd	06	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B080	At(Sw) 636- 0/18	116.3	8443.4	18027.8	26471.2
06108	PV	06	D	Authorized	094B079	At 537-0/15	136.3	3908.0	25762.2	29670.1
06109	Cd	06	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B079	At 637-0/17	13.4	1092.0	2158.0	3250.0
06110	Cc	06	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B079	At 636-0/18	40.8	3408.0	1872.0	5280.0
06111	Cd	06	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B089	At 633-0/17	88.0	7040.0	11264.0	18304.0
06113	BCc	06	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B089	Sx(At) 645- 0/17	16.3	2616.0	216.0	2832.0
06114	BCc	06	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B089	SxAt 435-0/19	9.8	940.0	579.0	1519.0
06115	BCc	06	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B089	Sx 742-0/15	87.4	20424.0	4095.0	24519.0
06116	Cd	06	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B098	AtSw(PISb) 736-0/17	21.2	1344.0	3318.0	4662.0
06117	Cc	06	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B098	SwPI(Sb) 836- 0/13	50.7	10868.0	9064.0	19932.0
06118	Cd	06	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B099	At(Sw) 836- 0/16	92.8	1645.6	19971.6	21617.2
06119	BCc	06	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B100	SwPIAt 537- 0/16	131.2	20874.5	6727.3	27601.7
06120	Cc	06	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B100	At 437-0/20	66.7	12698.2	1284.5	13982.7
06121	Cd	06	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B099	At(Sw) 636- 0/13	21.0	0.0	2940.0	2940.0
06122	Cc	06	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B097	PI(Sw) 835- 0/15	22.1	6796.2	155.7	6951.9
06123	Cc	06	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B097	SwAt(Ac) 835- 0/11	16.2	2956.6	1089.3	4045.8
06124	Cd	06	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B080	At(Pli) 537- 0/18	18.8	1163.1	3697.0	4860.1
06125	Cd	06	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B079	AtAc 436-0/21	26.5	69.2	8465.8	8535.1
06126	Cd	06	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B079	At 635-0/16	22.0	13.9	4840.4	4854.2

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
06127	Cc	06	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B089	PI(Sx) 835- 0/18	38.6	5401.0	4698.0	10099.0
06128	Cc	06	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B089	Sx 842-0/17	25.7	2895.0	1402.0	4297.0
06129	BCd	06	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B089	AtSx 636-0/16	26.6	1561.0	3510.0	5071.0
06130	LP	06	С	FOS Approved	094B090	Sx(At) 844- 0/17	10.4	3554.0	374.0	3929.0
07024	Cd	07	D	FOS Approved	094G080	At(AcEpSw) 836-0/16	80.4	683.1	12978.1	13661.2
07026	MPMC	07	D	FOS Approved	094H041	PIAtSb 736- 0/17	202.5	62100.0	62100.0	124200.0
07027	Cd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H041/051/052	At(PISw) 836- 0/12	55.2	47.1	8682.0	8729.1
07028	BCd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H052	At 737-0/14	113.9	3923.1	14814.9	18738.0
07029	Cd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H042/052	AtSwPI(Ep) 736-0/17	47.7	3912.0	4259.8	8171.8
07030	BCd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H052	AtSw(SbEp) 847-0/17	82.6	9629.8	13395.2	23025.0
07031	Cd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H052	AtPI 637-0/17	67.7	2941.4	9621.7	12563.1
07032	MPMC	07	С	FOS Approved	094H051	PISb(AtSw) 836-0/14	121.3	26619.0	2005.3	28624.3
07033	Cd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H051/052	SwAt 646-0/21	369.4	28498.2	53882.0	82380.2
07034	Cd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H052/062	Sw 846-0/11	1334.5	96255.0	127650.0	223905.0
07035	Cd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H052	At 736-0/14	151.0	14161.0	18640.5	32801.5
07037	Cd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H051	AtEpSb(PI) 736-0/15	74.0	752.7	7387.7	8140.4
07038	Cd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H051/052	At 736-0/15	11.7	88.7	2199.8	2288.5
07039	Cc	07	D	FOS Approved	094H052	PI(SwAt) 736- 0/15	106.9	8798.6	11165.6	19964.2

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
07040	Cd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H052	PIAt(Sw) 837- 0/15	224.6	21965.0	26740.0	48705.0
07041	MPMC	07	С	FOS Approved	094H051/061	PISb 737-0/14	74.4	9285.6	1664.4	10950.0
07042	Cd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H051	At 637-0/15	73.0	280.0	8760.0	9040.0
07043	Cd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H051	EpSb(AtSw) 636-0/13	42.0	633.0	7625.7	8258.7
07044	Cd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H051/052	AtPI 536-0/17	112.0	3801.5	13553.8	17355.3
07045	Cc	07	С	FOS Approved	094H052	PI(SwAt) 836- 0/15	567.0	69849.5	38853.8	108703.3
07046	BCc	07	С	FOS Approved	094H062	PIAt(Sw) 637- 0/14	394.5	102291.8	43903.2	146195.0
07047	MPMC	07	С	FOS Approved	094H061	PI(SbSw) 737- 0/15	261.1	28441.8	2980.8	31422.6
07048	BCd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H061	At(Ep) 637- 0/17	51.6	934.1	6419.9	7354.0
07049	BCc	07	С	FOS Approved	094H061	PISb 527-0/13	69.4	7829.0	1330.0	9159.0
07050	BCd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H061	At(Ep) 736- 0/17	34.0	310.5	4274.5	4585.0
07051	Cd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H062	At 637-0/16	228.3	0.0	28776.0	28776.0
07052	Cc	07	С	FOS Approved	094G070	AtSw(Sb) 836- 0/17	78.6	10322.8	2810.1	13132.9
07053	Cc	07	С	FOS Approved	094G070/094H061	PI(Sb) 726- 0/11	233.0	18921.0	476.0	19397.0
07054	BCc	07	С	FOS Approved	094H061	Sw(PIAt) 846- 0/14	89.5	22648.7	2026.3	24675.0
07055	MPMC	07	С	FOS Approved	094H061	PI(Sw) 737- 0/14	122.4	25857.0	2873.0	28730.0
07056	Cd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H062	PISwAt 836- 0/14	210.6	1496.0	6562.0	8058.0
07057	Cd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H062	At 737-0/14	210.7	1380.0	38088.0	39468.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
07058	BCd	07	D	FOS Approved	094G080	At 835-0/16	128.9	5345.0	17577.0	22922.0
07059	BCc	07	С	FOS Approved	094H071	Sw(BI) 836- 0/11	74.3	11855.5	4108.5	15964.0
07060	Cd	08	D	FOS Approved	094H071	At(PIEpSwAc) 636-0/15	93.4	3953.9	11415.9	15369.8
07061	Cc	07	С	FOS Approved	094H062/072	PI(Sb) 725- 0/11	239.8	51513.2	5521.6	57034.8
07062	BCc	08	D	FOS Approved	094H072	SwAt(EpSb) 637-0/16	122.9	11015.6	13550.4	24566.0
07064	Cd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H052	At(Sw) 737- 0/16	210.4	14015.6	23864.4	37880.0
07066	Cd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H052/062	PI(AtSw) 737- 0/14	323.3	25349.8	38024.8	63374.6
07067	Cc	07	С	FOS Approved	094H062	Sw(PI) 846- 0/13	163.2	24677.4	12764.6	37442.0
07070	Cd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H052	PIAt(Sb) 537- 0/15	14.6	1126.0	2393.0	3519.0
07071	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G070	SwAt 735-0/14	44.1	4560.0	2800.0	7360.0
07072	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G070	AtSw(Sb) 735- 0/16	35.0	6080.0	2528.0	8608.0
07073	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H061	SwAtPI 847- 0/13	8.9	1495.0	390.0	1885.0
07074	Cd	07	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H072	At 837-0/16	9.7	24.7	2045.4	2070.1
07080	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G070	Sw 845-0/13	31.5	9510.0	0.0	9510.0
07081	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G070	Sw 735-0/13	52.9	12411.0	613.0	13024.0
07082	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G070	At 536-0/16	91.9	11355.0	8439.0	19794.0
07083	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H061	PI(Sb) 838- 0/13	162.8	25107.0	9677.0	34784.0
07084	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H061	Sw(EpBI) 737- 0/13	70.5	19012.0	1694.0	20706.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
07085	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G070	SwPI(At) 846- 0/14	120.0	37836.0	6148.0	43984.0
07086	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H061	SwPI(AtSb) 836-0/11	117.7	25454.0	4857.0	30311.0
07087	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H061	PIAtSw 836- 0/17	159.7	40311.0	8116.0	48427.0
07088	Сс	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H061	Sw(PISb) 837- 0/12	13.5	4211.0	1.0	4212.0
07089	Сс	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H061	SwPI(At) 836- 0/13	35.3	10644.0	526.0	11170.0
07090	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H061	AtSw(Ep) 836- 0/16	74.6	11948.0	7237.0	19185.0
07092	BCd	07	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H061	At(SbPl) 637- 0/15	142.1	10607.0	21349.0	31956.0
07093	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G070	SwSb(AtPI) 835-0/12	68.1	11622.0	4971.0	16593.0
07094	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G070	At(Ep) 733- 0/16	134.0	17337.0	10782.0	28119.0
07095	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G060	Sw(At) 836- 0/12	121.0	29314.0	5379.0	34693.0
07096	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H051	Sw(Ac) 636- 0/16	45.4	9494.0	894.0	10388.0
07097	Cd	07	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H071	AtSb(Sw) 636- 0/16	55.1	3393.0	8443.0	11836.0
07098	Cd	07	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H071	AtSb(SwPI) 736-0/15	107.3	8415.0	13863.0	22278.0
07099	Cd	07	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H071	At(SwEp) 846- 0/17	54.5	1468.8	10756.8	12225.6
07100	Сс	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H061	At 736-0/15	86.0	12157.2	10622.2	22779.4
07101	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H061	PISwAt 836- 0/15	191.5	34464.0	11833.0	46297.0
07102	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H061	SwAtPI(Sb) 737-0/13	12.3	3157.0	895.0	4052.0
07103	Cd	07	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H061	At(SwEpSb) 636-0/16	180.0	8892.0	19988.0	28880.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
07104	Cd	07	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H071	At(Sw) 836- 0/16	51.4	1579.0	9202.0	10781.0
07105	Cd	07	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H061	AtSw 737-0/15	115.0	13497.6	17054.4	30552.0
07106	Cd	07	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H062	At(Sw) 736- 0/15	314.3	14184.0	52592.0	66776.0
07107	Cd	07	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H062	At 737-0/15	57.3	702.0	11839.0	12541.0
07108	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H072	AtSw(SbEp) 736-0/16	155.4	15066.0	0.0	15066.0
07109	Cc	08	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H072	Sw 846-0/12	148.8	24467.1	12434.1	36901.2
07110	Cc	08	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H072	SwPIAt 846- 0/12	150.4	29328.0	21056.0	50384.0
07111	Cd	07	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H072	At(Ep) 637- 0/17	224.5	17922.0	36979.0	54901.0
07112	Cd	07	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H062	At(Sw) 736- 0/15	120.1	7760.0	19782.0	27542.0
07113	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H041	AtPI 536-0/21	70.7	14060.0	11375.0	25435.0
07114	BCd	07	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H052	At(Ep) 845- 0/17	27.9	611.0	4691.0	5302.0
07115	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H052	PI(At) 737-0/16	33.8	8881.0	979.0	9860.0
07116	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H052	SwAt 847-0/15	77.2	16386.0	5691.0	22077.0
07117	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H052	PISw(Sb) 836- 0/14	189.4	32337.0	10516.0	42853.0
07118	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H052	SwPI(At) 637- 0/16	36.4	9070.0	1189.0	10259.0
07119	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H052	AtSwPI 736- 0/15	61.7	8435.0	4255.0	12690.0
07120	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H052	PIAt(Sw) 637- 0/14	68.7	14267.0	3253.0	17520.0
07121	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H052	PISb 737-0/15	94.4	22866.0	2911.0	25777.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
07122	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H052	PIAt(Sw) 737- 0/15	116.3	26262.0	9574.0	35836.0
07123	Cd	07	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H052	AtPI 746-0/19	88.8	4795.0	13926.0	18721.0
07124	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H042	PISb(Sw) 837- 0/14	34.7	7137.0	0.0	7137.0
07125	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H042	PI 627-0/12	67.8	10017.0	121.0	10138.0
07126	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H042	PIAtEp 637- 0/15	56.0	9454.0	4994.0	14448.0
07127	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H042	PISwAt 836- 0/17	15.9	4109.0	494.0	4603.0
07128	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H052	SwAt(Ep) 846- 0/14	32.4	7578.0	1796.0	9374.0
07129	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H042	AtPI(Ep) 636- 0/19	90.0	11090.0	7082.0	18172.0
07130	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H042	SwPIEp(Sb) 736-0/14	20.9	4648.0	1051.0	5699.0
07131	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H042	PISw(Sb) 727- 0/12	22.9	5776.0	197.0	5973.0
07132	BCd	07	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H052	AtSwPI(Ep) 636-0/18	49.6	2828.0	8304.0	11132.0
07133	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H052	Sw 846-0/16	143.7	37287.6	12969.6	50257.2
07134	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H052	SwAtPI(BI) 737-0/12	130.5	23261.0	10819.0	34080.0
08046	Cc	08	С	FOS Approved	094H081	Sw 846-0/14	69.8	16587.5	434.2	17021.7
08047	Cc	08	С	FOS Approved	094H081	Sw 736-0/14	219.3	60066.8	8256.0	68322.8
08048	Cc	08	С	FOS Approved	094H081	Sw 735-0/12	1.9	563.1	11.6	574.7
08049	Cc	08	С	FOS Approved	094H081/091	Sw 636-0/13	61.2	14229.0	826.2	15055.2
08050	Cc	08	С	FOS Approved	094H081/091	Sw(Ac) 845- 0/13	34.0	6745.6	1006.4	7752.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
08052	BCc	08	D	FOS Approved	094H072	AtEpSw 636- 0/16	227.5	11029.0	12881.0	23910.0
08053	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G070	SwPIAt 737- 0/13	34.5	8720.3	1911.1	10631.4
08054	Сс	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G070	Sw 837-0/13	54.6	12083.7	3723.4	15807.1
08055	BCc	08	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G080	SwAtAc(Ep) 735-0/13	114.9	20370.3	5351.1	25721.4
08056	Cd	08	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094G080	AtAc(SwEp) 735-0/16	32.8	2159.9	3646.1	5805.9
08057	Cd	08	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H081	At 736-0/17	64.1	4425.0	7847.0	12272.0
08058	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G070	Sw 845-0/14	47.2	12854.5	416.9	13271.3
08059	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G070	Sw 735-0/14	14.3	4670.5	141.3	4811.7
08060	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G070	Sw 834-0/14	14.7	3182.5	82.2	3264.8
09021	DZ	09	С	FOS Approved	094B050	Sx(At) 746- 0/17	122.8	22271.0	11994.5	34265.5
09023	DZ	09	С	FOS Approved	094B050	AtSx 647-0/21	65.4	19507.4	2656.6	22164.0
09034	Cc	09	С	Authorized	094B048/049	Sx 745-0/18	82.8	18986.6	3363.2	22349.7
09069	PV	09	С	Authorized	094B050	At(SxAcPI) 537-0/18	122.9	23233.7	21914.0	45147.7
09070	Cc	09	С	Authorized	094B050	Sx 745-0/19	8.5	2879.1	0.0	2879.1
09075	BCc	09	С	FOS Approved	094B050	AtSx 744-0/18	49.1	6957.1	3968.9	10926.0
09078	Сс	09	С	Authorized	094B049	At(Sx) 636- 0/17	7.8	1707.0	1302.5	3009.6
09079	BCc	09	С	FOS Approved	094B049	PI 436-0/19	25.7	5034.0	682.0	5716.0
09084	PV	09	D	Authorized	094B049/050	At(Sx) 636- 0/19	382.8	29668.1	91948.2	121616.4
09085	PV	09	D	Authorized	094B049/050	At(Sx) 637- 0/19	314.9	16479.3	60873.4	77352.7

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
09086	Cc	09	С	Authorized	094B050	AtSx(Ac) 536- 0/16	62.0	6470.6	5344.8	11815.4
09089	PV	09	С	Authorized	094B050	At(SxPI) 536- 0/17	11.6	1599.6	1336.7	2936.3
09090	PV	09	D	Authorized	094B050	At(SxPI) 536- 0/17	9.5	1257.9	1716.9	2974.8
09091	PV	09	D	FOS Approved	094B050	AtSx 536-0/17	95.8	10560.9	12907.7	23468.6
09092	PV	09	D	FOS Approved	094B050	AtSx(Sb) 536- 0/16	5.6	175.3	1001.5	1176.8
09093	PV	09	D	FOS Approved	094B050	AtSx 536-0/17	43.5	4984.3	6091.9	11076.2
09094	PV	09	D	FOS Approved	094B050	AtSx 536-0/17	13.8	1206.6	2235.0	3441.6
09096	BCd	09	D	FOS Approved	094B049	At 637-0/17	167.2	6941.6	30365.4	37307.0
09097	BCc	09	С	FOS Approved	094B049	PISxAt 637- 0/18	10.9	1431.0	1424.0	2855.0
09098	BCc	09	С	FOS Approved	094B039	Pl(Sw) 736- 0/16	27.1	6497.7	658.3	7156.0
09099	BCc	09	С	FOS Approved	094B040	PISb 436-0/18	21.7	4409.0	210.0	4619.0
09106	BCc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B038	PISw(At) 835- 0/16	55.0	11126.4	548.4	11674.9
09107	BCc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B038	SwPI 835-0/12	49.6	11463.0	64.0	11527.0
09108	Cc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B039	SwAt(PIAc) 834-0/12	78.9	9881.0	2484.0	12365.0
09109	Сс	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B039	AtSxAc(PI) 736-0/15	15.5	2213.3	1283.3	3496.5
09110	Cc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B039	Sb(PI) 826-0/7	11.7	791.0	0.0	791.0
09111	Cd	09	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B039	AtAcPI(Sw) 736-0/16	32.9	2544.0	3471.0	6015.0
09112	Сс	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B039	Pl(AtSw) 736- 0/17	20.1	4029.0	485.0	4514.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
09113	Cc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B040	Sx(AtPI) 745- 0/16	112.2	23699.0	5694.9	29393.9
09114	Cd	09	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B040	At 745-0/24	45.2	5448.3	11237.6	16686.0
09115	Cc	45	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A031	AtSx(Ac) 846- 0/19	39.8	4604.0	3218.0	7822.0
09116	BCc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B040	SxAt 745-0/16	44.8	5595.0	1100.0	6695.0
09117	BCc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B040	AtSx(PI) 635- 0/18	21.3	1546.0	995.0	2541.0
09118	BCc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B040	AtSxPI(Sb) 845-0/20	25.7	2808.0	1607.0	4415.0
09119	BCc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B040	Sx 744-0/17	48.3	4327.4	266.9	4594.3
09120	Cc	45	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B040	PIAtSx 636- 0/18	68.2	10201.2	2652.6	12853.8
09121	BCc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B049	SxAt(AcPI) 637-0/16	65.4	18965.8	7009.1	25974.9
09122	BCd	09	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B049	AtSx 746-0/20	25.0	2326.5	5405.0	7731.5
09123	BCc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B039	PISw(At) 836- 0/16	14.5	3491.5	309.2	3800.7
09124	BCc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B039	PISw 736-0/16	47.3	12290.2	375.3	12665.5
09125	Cc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B039	SwPl(Ac) 844- 0/14	125.8	22259.0	1421.0	23680.0
09126	Cc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B050	AtSx(PI) 637- 0/16	124.7	21830.3	16604.6	38435.0
09127	Cd	09	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B050	AtSx(PI) 637- 0/16	40.2	4144.0	10138.0	14282.0
09128	BCd	09	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B040	AtSx 736-0/18	9.9	714.0	1389.0	2103.0
09129	BCd	09	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B050	SxAt(Ac) 646- 0/17	70.3	8424.9	9687.1	18112.0
09130	Cc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B050	Sx(At) 745- 0/18	62.4	18078.1	1295.7	19373.8

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
09131	BCc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B050	PISx(At) 537- 0/19	47.7	14581.0	1932.0	16513.0
09132	Сс	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B050	PISx(At) 537- 0/19	99.0	25332.9	5874.8	31207.7
09133	Cc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B050	SxAt 646-0/21	62.1	16260.0	4440.0	20700.0
09134	Cc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B050	SxAt 646-0/21	68.7	20411.8	5317.1	25728.9
09135	BCc	45	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B040	Sx 844-0/15	27.3	4058.9	102.0	4160.9
09136	BCc	45	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B040	Sx(PI) 735- 0/15	9.5	1105.9	360.3	1466.2
09137	Cc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B049	Sx(AtPI) 744- 0/17	145.7	32480.0	11600.0	44080.0
09138	Cc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B039	SxPIAt 836- 0/13	20.6	4346.6	206.0	4552.6
09140	Cc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A031	At(Sx) 845- 0/17	97.8	13365.0	9315.0	22680.0
9141	A95218	09	С	FOS Approved	094B040	PI735-0/17	175.0	86074	129	86203
09142	BCc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B048	AtPI(Sw) 637- 0/13	259.1	33429.0	23734.0	57163.0
09144	Cc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B048	PIAt(Sw) 837- 0/17	68.6	14102.0	4379.0	18481.0
09145	Cc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B040	PISx(At) 736- 0/18	48.4	15830.0	1019.0	16849.0
09146	Cc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B040	PISx 636-0/17	38.2	12730.0	27.0	12757.0
10023	DZ	10	С	FOS Approved	094B049/059	Sw 635-0/15	140.1	22736.8	1196.7	23933.5
10025	DZ	10	С	FOS Approved	094B048	SwPIBI 846- 0/12	77.8	25597.2	253.1	25850.3
10028	DZ	10	С	FOS Approved	094B048	Sw(PISb) 836- 0/12	63.1	15681.6	2135.6	17817.2
10029	DZ	10	С	FOS Approved	094B048	Sw(Pl) 836- 0/11	28.8	9920.4	471.3	10391.7

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
10038	Cc	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B059	PI 836-0/16	102.4	19968.0	8601.6	28569.6
10039	Сс	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B059	At(PI) 636-0/15	24.6	4034.4	3616.2	7650.6
10040	Cd	10	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B049	At 737-0/18	591.2	84680.0	114260.0	198940.0
10041	BCd	10	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B049	At(PISx) 436- 0/23	33.9	813.6	7458.0	8271.6
10042	Cd	10	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B049	At 437-0/19	124.5	2241.0	26518.5	28759.5
10043	Cd	10	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B049	AtAc 535-0/19	32.4	2365.2	7873.2	10238.4
10044	BCd	10	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B049	AtAc 536-0/18	30.8	924.0	6652.8	7576.8
10045	A18154	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B048	Sw(Ac) 834- 0/10	40.0	9680.0	280.0	9960.0
10046	Cc	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B048	Sw(Ac) 834- 0/10	24.9	11877.3	0.0	11877.3
10048	BCd	10	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B048	AtPI(Sw) 537- 0/16	65.4	7435.6	12884.1	20319.7
10050	Cc	10	С	FOS Approved	094B048	SwAt(PI)835- 0/12	148.3	20002.7	13335.0	33337.8
10051	Cc	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B048	SwAc(At) 846- 0/16	20.7	2670.3	848.7	3519.0
10052	BCc	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B058	SwPl(EpAt) 836-0/12	64.2	13209.8	102.8	13312.6
10053	Cc	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B057	PISw(At) 837- 0/13	99.1	13653.0	307.5	13960.5
10055	Сс	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B057	Sw 837-0/13	43.6	12325.0	783.0	13108.0
10056	Сс	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B057	Sw(PI) 737- 0/12	46.7	9434.6	0.0	9434.6
10057	BCc	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B047	Sw 834-0/12	103.0	30694.0	1030.0	31724.0
10058	BCc	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B048	AtPI(Sw) 636- 0/18	18.0	2034.9	1224.0	3258.9

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
10059	BCc	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B048	SwAc 846-0/15	35.4	5405.3	2518.7	7924.0
10060	Cd	10	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B048	AtSw 537-0/16	79.9	4308.1	10676.9	14985.0
10061	BCc	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B048	SwAtPI 747- 0/17	53.1	11926.2	6310.4	18236.6
10062	BCc	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B038	SwPI 836-0/10	69.9	18924.5	0.0	18924.5
10064	BCc	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B057	SwAt 837-0/11	62.8	15539.4	640.8	16180.2
10065	BCc	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B057	Sw 837-0/11	14.4	4548.0	129.0	4677.0
10067	A95219	10	С	FOS Approved	094A073	PISw(Sb)735- 0/13	313.0	77406.5	2189.1	79596
10068	A95219	10	D	FOS Approved	094A073	SwPl842-0/13	111.6	37062	1291	39353
10069	Cc	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B058	PIAt(Sw) 836- 0/14	246.6	24809.0	14295.0	39104.0
10070	Сс	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B058	At 736-0/14	113.8	17303.0	15078.0	32381.0
10071	BCc	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B057	Sw(PI) 847- 0/14	9.6	3143.0	109.0	3252.0
10072	Cc	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B057	SwPI(At) 836- 0/11	42.9	5628.0	804.0	6432.0
10073	Cd	10	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B057	At(SwPI) 836- 0/16	65.3	6870.0	10207.0	17077.0
11058	A18154	11	С	FOS Approved	094B027/037	Sw 935-0/6	201.6	78445.9	213.1	78659.1
11065	Cc	11	С	FOS Approved	094B046/047	PI(Sw) 727- 0/10	14.3	2834.3	165.2	2999.5
11066	Cc	11	С	FOS Approved	094B046	SwPI 736-0/12	39.0	8843.1	546.1	9389.2
11074	DZ	11	С	FOS Approved	094B037	PISw 736-0/12	126.9	21177.1	5122.2	26299.3
11075	A56771	11	С	FOS Approved	094B037	SwPI(At) 835- 0/11	70.0	22964.7	2223.2	25187.9

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
11079	A80056	11	С	FOS Approved	94B037	PI 835-0/16	83.3	19540.7	144.2	19684.9
11080	A80056	11	С	FOS Approved	94B037	SwPl 834-0/11	60.7	20090.4	0.0	20090.4
11081	A80056	11	С	FOS Approved	94B037	PI 735-0/13	58.5	18001.8	14.0	18015.8
11082	A80056	11	С	FOS Approved	94B037	Sw 835-0/12	23.6	4649.0	70.9	4719.9
11083	A80056	11	С	FOS Approved	94B037	SwPI 735-0/10	69.3	14970.9	51.8	15022.8
11084	A80056	11	С	FOS Approved	94B037	SwBI 835-0/7	31.5	10071.7	0.0	10071.7
11085	BCc	11	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B037	Sw(PI) 836- 0/10	54.5	16100.0	0.0	16100.0
12010	Cc	12	С	FOS Approved	094B067	Sw(PI) 846- 0/12	145.5	29917.1	896.4	30813.5
12011	Cc	12	С	FOS Approved	094B057/067	PISw 834-0/12	128.1	12275.2	755.9	13031.1
12012	BCc	12	С	FOS Approved	094B067	SwPISb 736- 0/9	82.7	14817.1	885.9	15703.0
12013	BCc	12	С	FOS Approved	094B067	PI(Sb) 627- 0/11	148.9	24662.7	2121.3	26784.0
12014	Cc	12	С	FOS Approved	094B067	SwAc 845-0/21	34.6	6015.5	329.4	6344.9
12015	Cc	12	С	FOS Approved	094B067/068	PI 637-0/13	149.8	32532.5	800.8	33333.3
12016	BCc	12	С	FOS Approved	094B068	SxPI(AcEpAt) 736-0/14	150.0	28994.0	6793.0	35787.0
12017	LP	12	D	FOS Approved	094B067/068	At 734-0/14	174.0	4969.6	19878.4	24848.0
12019	BCd	12	D	FOS Approved	094B068	AtPI(AcSx) 835-0/16	122.5	9063.1	18157.9	27221.0
12024	BCc	12	С	FOS Approved	094B067	PI(Sb) 736- 0/14	200.6	51437.2	3280.8	54718.0
12026	LP	12	D	FOS Approved	094B068	At(PISx) 636- 0/14	141.4	7140.0	16800.0	23940.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
12027	LP	12	D	FOS Approved	094B067/068	At(PI) 634-0/16	87.7	3344.2	13376.8	16721.0
12028	Cc	12	С	FOS Approved	094B067	PISb 737-0/13	441.5	76274.0	4014.4	80288.4
12029	Сс	12	С	FOS Approved	094B076	SwBI 836-0/8	39.2	6848.7	0.0	6848.7
12030	BCc	12	С	FOS Approved	094B067	PISw 734-0/13	111.2	14173.7	1493.3	15667.0
12031	LP	12	D	FOS Approved	094B067/068	At 625-0/12	137.0	986.4	9370.8	10357.2
12032	Сс	12	С	FOS Approved	094B067/068	PISb(Sw) 736- 0/12	113.3	17204.0	1496.0	18700.0
12033	Сс	12	С	FOS Approved	094B077	SwPl 836-0/12	104.2	29574.0	1209.0	30783.0
12034	LP	12	С	FOS Approved	094B068	At(SxEp) 535- 0/17	171.3	5484.0	21936.0	27420.0
12037	Cc	12	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B068	SxPI 836-0/13	154.5	35137.0	1235.0	36372.0
12038	Cc	12	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B068	PI(AtSxSb) 837-0/13	34.1	7880.0	609.0	8489.0
12041	Сс	12	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B068	PIAt 837-0/16	88.1	14885.0	12272.0	27157.0
12043	BCc	12	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B077	Sw 835-0/11	23.2	6083.0	0.0	6083.0
12044	Cd	12	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B077	At(Sw) 535- 0/15	75.5	5405.0	5817.0	11222.0
12045	Cd	12	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B077	AtSw 636-0/16	38.3	1448.0	5021.0	6469.0
12046	Cd	12	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B077	At(SwPI) 636- 0/16	52.3	3075.0	6250.0	9325.0
12047	BCc	12	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B077	SwBI(At) 836- 0/11	23.8	3697.0	547.0	4244.0
12048	BCc	12	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B076	PISw(BISb) 737-0/14	93.8	22342.0	55.0	22397.0
14014	Cd	14	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H057	At 536-0/18	11.9	275.1	2887.5	3162.6

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
14015	Cd	14	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H057	AtPI(Sx) 536- 0/18	106.4	15405.4	15857.4	31262.8
14016	Cc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H057	At(SxPI) 537- 0/16	152.6	15303.0	14012.0	29315.0
14017	Cc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H047	At(SxPI) 536- 0/18	313.3	36637.0	11526.0	48163.0
14018	Cd	14	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H048	At(Sx) 637- 0/16	62.4	5808.0	9817.9	15625.8
14019	Cc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H048	SxAtPI 736- 0/13	186.6	32861.0	11726.0	44587.0
14020	Cd	14	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H058	At(Sx) 746- 0/18	42.8	1979.2	10449.1	12428.3
14021	Cc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H048	Sx(At) 745- 0/17	77.4	11137.7	8741.6	19879.3
14022	Cc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H048	SxPl 845-0/14	51.7	5400.0	3648.0	9048.0
14023	Cc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H048	Sx(At) 746- 0/18	208.2	42369.5	20768.4	63137.8
14024	Cc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H058	Sx(EpAt) 746- 0/18	331.2	53091.0	23778.0	76869.0
14025	Cc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H058	SxAt(Ep) 645- 0/21	83.6	10578.0	6347.0	16925.0
14026	Сс	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H058	Sx(Ep) 746- 0/19	22.8	3980.0	1699.0	5679.0
14027	Cc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H058	SxAt 646-0/19	8.6	2395.0	512.0	2907.0
14028	Cc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H048	Sx(Ep) 735- 0/15	54.4	8077.4	3440.6	11518.0
14029	Cc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H058	SxAt 845-0/16	15.4	2757.0	1431.0	4188.0
14031	Cc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H059	Sx(AcAt) 846- 0/13	7.1	2237.1	482.5	2719.7
14033	Cc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H059	Sx(AtEp) 845- 0/13	9.2	2187.0	554.0	2741.0
14034	Cd	14	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H059	AtSxPI 736- 0/16	13.2	1383.0	1403.0	2786.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
14035	Cd	14	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H047	At(Sx) 536- 0/19	104.0	6567.0	20178.0	26745.0
14037	Cc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H048	Sx 934-0/7	69.3	9966.9	2809.8	12776.7
14038	Cc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H059	AtSxSb 845- 0/17	10.1	1605.0	1117.0	2722.0
14039	Cd	14	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H059	AtSx 846-0/17	18.7	1449.9	3978.6	5428.6
14040	Cd	14	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H059	AtSx 846-0/17	25.9	2873.0	3030.0	5903.0
14041	Cd	14	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H059	AtSx(PI) 846- 0/17	4.6	729.3	768.4	1497.7
14042	BCd	14	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H059	AtSxAc 436- 0/22	61.8	4577.0	7096.0	11673.0
14043	Cd	14	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H059	AtSx 536-0/20	111.4	14452.1	14871.1	29323.2
14044	Cd	14	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H059	At 737-0/15	141.4	10137.6	18204.6	28342.2
14048	BCc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H060	Sx 845-0/12	52.2	10878.0	458.0	11336.0
14049	BCc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H060	Sx(At) 735- 0/12	34.4	3786.0	1529.0	5315.0
14051	BCc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H070	AtPISx 537- 0/20	64.3	11880.6	7787.4	19668.0
14052	BCc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H070	Sw(At) 636- 0/14	16.0	1884.0	1150.0	3034.0
14053	BCc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H070	Sw(At) 636- 0/14	8.1	1371.0	245.0	1616.0
14054	BCc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H070	Sw(At) 636- 0/16	114.1	20543.0	4533.0	25076.0
14055	BCd	14	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H069	At(SxAc) 746- 0/18	115.4	4995.0	19315.0	24310.0
14056	BCd	14	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H070	At 537-0/19	46.1	2051.0	9254.0	11305.0
14057	BCc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H070	PI(SwAt) 637- 0/20	33.0	11469.0	1213.0	12682.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
14058	BCc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H070	AtSw(PI) 636- 0/17	21.9	2567.0	2228.0	4795.0
14059	BCc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H069	Sx(At) 846- 0/15	94.1	15305.0	6170.0	21475.0
14060	BCc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H068	Sx 845-0/15	27.9	4699.0	1187.0	5886.0
14061	BCd	14	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H068	At 637-0/17	134.7	7830.0	28446.7	36276.7
14062	BCc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H068	AtSx(PI) 637- 0/16	83.8	15261.4	9597.2	24858.6
14063	Cd	14	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H058	SxAt 846-0/16	58.4	8250.5	11672.9	19923.3
16009	Cc	16	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H085	Sw 846-0/16	64.1	20941.4	535.7	21477.1
16010	Cd	16	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H085	At 646-0/20	622.3	6349.0	180280.0	186629.0
16011	Cd	16	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H095	At 637-0/20	107.3	6069.9	28246.2	34316.1
16012	BCc	16	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H095	SwAt 647-0/18	67.6	16911.0	12856.9	29767.9
16014	BCd	16	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H095	At 747-0/20	135.0	4113.3	39200.4	43313.7
16015	BCd	16	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H095	At 547-0/26	63.5	89.0	27399.0	27488.0
17001	Cd	17	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H092	AtSw(Sb) 736- 0/17	94.8	12486.0	13570.7	26056.7
17002	Cc	17	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H092	At(SwSb) 847- 0/18	70.3	9429.6	5465.5	14895.1
17003	Cd	17	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H092	AtSw(Sb) 736- 0/18	234.6	24414.6	29556.5	53971.2
17004	Cd	17	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H092	AtSw 847-0/17	126.2	9863.7	19565.9	29429.7
17005	Cc	17	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H092	SwAtPI 736- 0/12	142.6	13392.8	9708.3	23101.0
17006	Cc	17	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H092	SwPI(At) 735- 0/13	32.3	5318.4	455.3	5773.7

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
17007	Cc	17	С	FOS#3 Proposed	0941002	SwSb 835-0/11	54.3	6247.7	2205.6	8453.3
17008	Cd	17	D	FOS#3 Proposed	0941002	At(Ep) 537- 0/20	22.9	361.2	4467.0	4828.3
18031	Cd	18	D	FOS Approved	094H004	At(PI) 735-0/13	8.9	373.8	631.0	1004.8
18032	BCd	18	D	FOS Approved	094A093	AtSx(PI) 635- 0/15	22.6	1628.8	2656.2	4285.0
18037	Cc	18	С	FOS Approved	094H004	Sb 726-0/8	66.8	3708.0	2430.0	6138.0
18038	Cc	18	С	FOS Approved	094H004	PIAtSb(Sx) 635-0/18	260.5	28895.6	4906.8	33802.4
18045	BCc	18	С	FOS Approved	094H014	SxAt 636-0/12	40.3	4032.0	1764.0	5796.0
18048	BCc	18	С	FOS Approved	094H014	SxAt 735-0/11	16.6	1597.6	1216.4	2814.0
18049	BCc	18	С	FOS Approved	094H014	AtSx(PI) 734- 0/12	15.3	2228.8	1100.2	3329.0
18052	MPMC	18	С	Authorized	094H013	PI(At) 735-0/17	44.7	8517.3	2420.6	10937.9
18053	MPMC	18	С	Authorized	094H013	PISx(At) 735- 0/13	98.1	19695.9	4759.9	24455.8
18054	MPMC	18	С	Authorized	094H012/022	At(SwSb) 536- 0/16	82.6	7556.4	6687.7	14244.1
18055	MPMC	18	С	Authorized	094H013/023	PISb 736-0/18	176.5	28867.9	17775.4	46643.3
18056	MPMC	18	С	Authorized	094H023	AtPI(Sx) 636- 0/16	52.8	6574.8	3190.5	9765.3
18057	MPMC	18	С	Authorized	094H023	PIAt(SxSb) 636-0/16	109.3	18979.8	5331.7	24311.5
18058	A92244	18	С	FOS Approved	094H023/094H.013	PIAt(Sx) 836- 0/18	114.2	21447.6	6286.4	27734.0
18059	Cc	18	С	FOS Approved	094H022	AtSw 736-0/16	116.1	14337.2	8863.2	23200.4
18060	A92243	18	С	FOS Approved	094H023	SwPl(AtSb) 736-0/13	113.6	22574.4	2462.6	25037.0
18061	A92243	18	С	FOS Approved	094H024	PISb(AtSx) 636-0/15	68.6	6482.4	1088.6	7571.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
18064	Cc	18	С	FOS Approved	094H003	Pl(AtSb) 636- 0/14	74.2	6152.3	2434.6	8586.9
18069	Cc	18	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H012	SwSb 837-0/12	105.8	24665.8	440.0	25105.8
18074	Сс	18	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H012	PISw 737-0/16	29.0	7726.4	1142.2	8868.6
18075	Cd	18	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H023	AtPI(Sb) 736- 0/17	21.7	3033.8	3016.5	6050.3
18076	Cd	18	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H023	AtPI(Sb) 736- 0/16	13.5	1970.8	2187.5	4158.3
18080	Cc	18	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H023	PI(SbAt) 735- 0/13	13.8	3054.8	149.4	3204.2
18081	Cc	18	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H023	PIAt(Sb) 734- 0/13	14.0	2188.6	527.8	2716.4
18082	Сс	18	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H023	PISb 735-0/15	14.0	3381.0	262.9	3643.9
18083	Сс	18	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H023	Sw(AtSb) 736- 0/12	42.7	9796.9	3095.1	12892.0
18086	Сс	18	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H014	PI(At) 734-0/14	14.7	2659.3	293.4	2952.7
18087	BCc	18	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H014	SxAt 634-0/13	19.1	3018.0	618.0	3636.0
18088	BCc	18	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H004	AtPI(Sb) 735- 0/14	11.5	1545.4	1356.1	2901.5
18089	BCc	18	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H014	AtSx(Ac) 635- 0/18	55.9	13486.4	6129.7	19616.1
18090	BCd	18	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H003	At(SxAc) 537- 0/19	65.7	2812.7	12913.9	15726.6
18091	Cd	18	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H012	At 736-0/17	19.0	575.2	3178.9	3754.1
18093	BCc	18	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H014	Sx(At) 734- 0/11	7.5	1185.2	156.0	1341.2
19021	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094g040	PISb 835-0/14	34.2	8040.0	0.0	8040.0
19022	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094g040	Pl(SbSw) 835- 0/18	39.8	9648.0	0.0	9648.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
19023	CRL	19	С	FOS Approved	094G040	Sw(At) 833- 0/12	29.7	10435.3	2259.5	12694.8
19024	CRL	19	С	FOS Approved	094G040	Sw 833-0/12	89.2	6385.5	297.0	6682.5
19027	Сс	19	С	FOS Approved	094G040/050	Sw 835-0/13	33.9	7893.3	1940.7	9834.0
19028	Cc	19	С	FOS Approved	094G040/050	Sw 844-0/13	50.8	11413.1	2248.7	13661.7
19029	Cc	19	С	FOS Approved	094G040/050	Sw 844-0/13	128.8	27340.0	8204.7	35544.8
19030	MPMC	19	С	FOS Approved	094G040	PISwSb(At) 833-0/18	75.7	5306.4	1188.0	6494.4
19032	MPMC	19	С	FOS Approved	094G040	PIAt(Sb) 835- 0/15	40.3	9188.4	2297.1	11485.5
19034	MPMC	19	С	FOS Approved	094H031	PISb 836-0/14	18.0	2736.0	0.0	2736.0
19035	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094h031	PISw 836-0/13	4.8	800.0	0.0	800.0
19036	Cc	19	С	FOS Approved	094H031	PI 835-0/15	11.6	1762.8	427.0	2189.8
19037	CRL	19	С	FOS Approved	094H031	PI 837-0/15	22.2	3416.3	887.3	4303.6
19038	CRL	19	D	FOS Approved	094H031	PI 836-0/13	26.0	28.0	569.0	597.0
19039	MPMC	19	С	FOS Approved	094H031	SwPl(At) 836- 0/11	138.1	31460.0	2730.0	34190.0
19040	MPMC	19	С	FOS Approved	094H031	SwPIAt 836- 0/10	12.4	3003.0	99.0	3102.0
19045	DZ	19	С	FOS Approved	094H031	PISb 627-0/13	32.8	3861.2	0.0	3861.2
19046	DZ	19	С	FOS Approved	094H041	PISb 836-0/13	10.3	1629.7	181.1	1810.8
19056	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094H032	SwPIAt 836- 0/10	41.0	8572.9	1877.1	10450.0
19057	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094H032	SwAtPI 836-0/9	16.8	2791.6	1058.4	3850.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
19058	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094H032	SwPI(AtEp) 835-0/13	18.8	2039.4	1160.6	3200.0
19059	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094H032	SwPI(SbAt) 836-0/10	65.2	12396.5	878.5	13275.0
19060	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094H032	PISwAt 835- 0/14	51.4	10060.2	2439.8	12500.0
19061	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094H032	PIAt(Sw) 836- 0/17	55.2	8401.1	3598.9	12000.0
19062	A92981	19	С	FOS Approved	094H032	SwAt(PI) 836- 0/9	16.5	2741.0	759.0	3500.0
19063	A92981	19	С	FOS Approved	094H032	SwAt(Sb) 836- 0/8	32.5	4537.1	2962.9	7500.0
19064	A92981	19	С	FOS Approved	094H032	SwPI(AtSb) 836-0/10	15.3	2770.0	480.0	3250.0
19065	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094H032	PI(AtSb) 826- 0/10	4.2	680.9	119.1	800.0
19066	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094H032	PI(AtSb) 826- 0/10	4.3	680.0	120.0	800.0
19067	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094H032	PI(AtSb) 826- 0/10	5.0	858.1	141.9	1000.0
19068	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094H032	PI(Sb) 837- 0/13	2.6	380.5	19.5	400.0
19069	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094H032	PI(At) 836-0/13	31.3	6380.3	1119.7	7500.0
19071	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094G050	PI(Sb) 736- 0/13	262.9	59768.1	5650.9	65419.0
19073	Cc	19	С	FOS Approved	094G040	PISb 626-0/11	33.5	7053.0	144.0	7197.0
19074	Сс	19	С	FOS Approved	094G040	SwPI(AtSb) 737-0/11	128.5	20561.0	4513.0	25074.0
19075	Cc	19	С	FOS Approved	094H031	AtSw 635-0/19	39.9	9234.8	1139.4	10374.2
19077	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094H022	PISw(AtSb) 837-0/14	122.6	21876.9	9007.1	30884.0
19080	Cc	19	С	FOS Approved	094G040	PI(SwAt) 835- 0/15	62.4	10938.4	1086.1	12024.5

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
19082	Cd	19	D	FOS Approved	094H031	At(Sw) 545- 0/21	86.2	1672.8	1713.6	3386.4
19083	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094H032	PIAt(Sw) 837- 0/15	80.1	12412.6	3676.4	16089.0
19084	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094H032	SwAt(PI) 836- 0/10	60.7	11739.8	3917.2	15657.0
19085	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094H032	AtPISw(Sb) 836-0/16	119.4	22011.8	10288.2	32300.0
19086	BCd	19	D	FOS Approved	094H032	AtAcSw(PI) 735-0/16	123.8	12583.3	8201.7	20785.0
19087	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094H032	SwAt 835-0/10	104.8	12175.2	6014.8	18190.0
19088	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094G050	PISw 834-0/17	59.3	12202.8	337.2	12540.0
19089	Cc	19	С	FOS Approved	094H042	PI(Sb) 827- 0/11	76.8	2389.5	189.0	2578.5
19091	Cc	19	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G050	At 835-0/15	18.9	3420.9	2740.5	6161.4
19092	Cc	19	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G050	PI(At) 836-0/16	22.9	1680.0	1640.0	3320.0
19093	Cd	19	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H031	AtPI 646-0/19	38.7	4884.0	6993.0	11877.0
19094	BCc	19	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H032	AtPISb 835- 0/15	37.4	3772.6	2388.5	6161.2
19095	BCc	38	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H033	AtPI(SbSx) 736-0/18	162.1	31947.9	15250.7	47198.6
19096	BCc	38	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H033	AtSbPI 736- 0/15	19.8	3186.2	1648.5	4834.7
19097	BCc	38	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H033	SwAt 634-0/13	39.5	5900.7	2533.7	8434.4
19100	Cc	19	С	FOS Approved	094G040	SwAt(PI) 844- 0/14	7.1	1532.8	40.2	1573.0
20018	A77878	20	С	FOS Approved	094B086	PISw 727-0/11	46.2	10514.4	0.0	10514.4
20019	A77877	20	С	FOS Approved	094B086	Sw(BI) 836-0/8	47.3	10990.0	22.0	11012.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
20020	A77877	20	С	FOS Approved	094B086	SwPI(BI) 836- 0/5	26.0	5206.0	0.0	5206.0
20021	A77877	20	С	FOS Approved	094B086	Sw 835-0/6	62.2	17046.2	0.0	17046.2
20022	A77878	20	С	FOS Approved	094B086	SwPI 837-0/6	38.1	10550.2	54.1	10604.3
20026	A77876	20	С	FOS Approved	094B086	SwPI 837-0/7	23.8	6942.7	0.0	6942.7
20027	A77876	20	С	FOS Approved	094B086	SwPI 837-0/7	49.6	15849.4	532.7	16382.1
20035	A77876	20	С	FOS Approved	094B086	SwPI 837-0/7	54.0	16040.3	309.7	16350.0
20036	A77877	20	С	FOS Approved	094B086	SwPI(BI) 727- 0/7	13.6	2220.0	0.0	2220.0
20037	A77877	20	С	FOS Approved	094B086	SwPI(BI) 836- 0/5	14.5	2920.0	0.0	2920.0
20038	A77878	20	С	FOS Approved	094B086	Sw 836-0/7	29.8	9856.0	0.0	9856.0
20063	A80057	20	С	FOS Approved	94B097	S(P)8316-14	102.0	18155.0	3204.0	21358.0
20064	BCc	20	С	FOS Approved	94B097	SP7316-13	12.0	2274.0	0.0	2274.0
20065	A80057	20	С	FOS Approved	94B097	PI 536-0/16	139.0	34985.1	298.9	35284.0
20067	A80058	20	С	FOS Approved	094B.097	PISw 836-0/13	74.9	19380.5	1053.5	20434.0
20068	A80058	20	С	FOS Approved	094B.097	PISw 826-0/11	132.9	31382.0	1900.0	33282.0
20069	A80058	20	С	FOS Approved	094B.096	PISb(Sw) 835- 0/13	24.9	4858.1	266.9	5125.0
20070	A80058	20	С	FOS Approved	094B.096	PISw(Sb) 835- 0/13	67.5	17176.1	292.9	17469.0
20071	A80058	20	С	FOS Approved	094B.097	PISw(At) 835- 0/13	22.0	3087.9	408.1	3496.0
20072	BCc	20	С	FOS Approved	094B086	SwPI 737-0/9	75.0	8693.4	147.6	8841.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
20073	BCc	20	С	FOS Approved	094B087	PI(AtSw) 736- 0/15	59.6	17823.5	1776.5	19600.0
20074	BCc	20	С	FOS Approved	094B087	PISw 737-0/17	71.4	20607.0	928.0	21535.0
20075	BCc	12	С	FOS Approved	094B077	PIAtSw 726- 0/11	121.5	17494.6	7094.4	24589.0
20076	Cc	20	С	FOS Approved	094B096	PISb 837-0/12	22.2	5034.3	0.0	5034.3
20077	PV	20	D	FOS Approved	094B087/097	At 636-0/16	71.1	609.7	4314.8	4924.5
20078	Сс	20	С	FOS Approved	094B086/096	PISw 737-0/12	73.2	19544.4	219.6	19764.0
20079	Сс	20	С	FOS Approved	094B096	PI 736-0/12	49.6	7178.2	0.0	7178.2
20080	Cc	20	С	FOS Approved	094B096	PISw(Sb) 827- 0/10	30.1	7417.8	0.0	7417.8
20081	Cc	20	С	FOS Approved	094B096	SwPI 825-0/6	92.2	12303.1	0.0	12303.1
20083	Cc	20	С	FOS Approved	094B096	PISb 626-0/12	53.0	6041.3	0.0	6041.3
20085	Cc	20	С	FOS Approved	094B096	Sw(PI) 826-0/7	99.1	12514.6	255.4	12770.0
20086	Cc	20	С	FOS Approved	094B096/096	PISw 637-0/14	37.1	6230.7	0.0	6230.7
20088	BCc	20	С	FOS Approved	094B086	PI(Sw) 727- 0/10	99.6	27099.3	104.7	27204.0
20089	A80057	20	С	FOS Approved	94B096	PISb 726-0/9	99.1	21604.0	42.0	21646.0
20090	A80057	20	С	FOS Approved	94B097	PI 836-0/14	2.6	401.4	20.6	422.0
20091	A94058	20	С	FOS Approved	94B097	SwPISb 836- 0/8	22.2	5086.4	112.6	5199.0
20093	BCc	20	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B086	SwPI 737-0/11	39.9	9659.0	173.0	9832.0
20098	Сс	20	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B096	Sw 835-0/10	22.2	5097.0	0.0	5097.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
20099	Cc	20	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B096	PISb 836-0/12	25.3	7349.0	0.0	7349.0
20101	Cd	20	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B087	At 636-0/16	67.3	4877.0	10329.0	15206.0
20102	Cd	20	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B087	At 736-0/12	34.1	197.0	5327.0	5524.0
20104	Cc	20	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B086	AtSw(PI) 536- 0/13	16.5	2373.0	1410.0	3783.0
21018	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G078	Sw 846-0/14	165.9	29591.1	6906.9	36498.0
21019	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G079	Sw(At) 845- 0/12	39.8	6445.8	2122.2	8568.0
21020	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G078	SbSw(PIEp) 836-0/10	38.2	6951.3	1451.7	8403.0
21021	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G068	Sw 835-0/12	52.4	8864.4	2048.6	10913.0
21022	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G068	Sw 834-0/11	50.1	9959.8	862.2	10822.0
21023	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G069	Sw(Sb) 834- 0/9	61.6	12286.9	280.1	12567.0
21024	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G079	Sw(Pl) 846- 0/13	146.9	27499.7	1697.3	29197.0
21025	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G079	Sw(Pl) 847- 0/13	79.8	22054.3	1537.7	23592.0
21026	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G079	SbSw 626-0/9	79.4	12827.6	571.4	13399.0
21027	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G069	Sw 835-0/11	42.3	6407.8	730.2	7138.0
21028	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G069	SwSb(PI) 736- 0/12	30.8	4432.2	311.8	4744.0
21029	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G069	Sw 734-0/12	73.4	12865.7	750.3	13616.0
21030	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G069	Sw 835-0/10	44.3	9150.1	417.9	9568.0
21039	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G047	PI 736-0/14	141.1	19772.4	789.6	20562.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
21040	Cc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G048	SwPI 834-0/11	60.3	10435.3	0.0	10435.3
21042	Сс	21	С	FOS Approved	094G048	PI 826-0/11	117.1	20682.5	375.4	21057.9
21043	Сс	21	С	FOS Approved	094G048	PIAt(SwSb) 837-0/13	190.0	28414.6	5716.3	34130.9
21044	Сс	21	С	FOS Approved	094G059	SwAt 834-0/12	97.8	14177.6	6080.6	20258.2
21045	Сс	21	С	FOS Approved	094G059/060	SwAt(Sb) 834- 0/9	76.9	8524.1	2131.0	10655.1
21046	Сс	21	С	FOS Approved	094G047	PISbSw 834- 0/15	128.9	20093.9	0.0	20093.9
21047	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G048	PI(Sw) 835- 0/12	114.2	21259.9	986.1	22246.0
21048	Сс	21	С	FOS Approved	094G058	Sw(Sb) 836- 0/11	16.9	3101.5	0.0	3101.5
21049	Cd	21	D	FOS Approved	094G059	AtSb 735-0/14	71.1	2591.2	4763.5	7354.7
21050	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G058	SwSb 836-0/7	109.8	13829.0	2631.0	16460.0
21051	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G057	SwPl 846-0/13	38.3	4307.1	149.9	4457.0
21052	Cc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G068	Sw 845-0/11	52.7	11583.2	102.7	11685.9
21053	Cc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G058/068	Sw(Ep) 646- 0/19	209.6	31269.5	638.7	31908.2
21054	Cc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G067	SwSb 836-0/8	70.4	9656.9	197.1	9854.0
21055	Cc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G068	Sw(SbPI) 835- 0/10	85.0	11182.4	333.9	11516.3
21056	Cc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G068	Sw(Sb) 836- 0/9	111.1	9778.6	296.2	10074.8
21057	BCd	21	D	FOS Approved	094G068	At 636-0/17	122.1	3367.0	15660.0	19027.0
21058	Cd	21	D	FOS Approved	094G067	At(Sw) 636- 0/16	92.2	2181.1	9007.8	11188.9

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
21059	Cd	21	D	FOS Approved	094G067	At 637-0/18	102.5	1649.7	18534.3	20184.0
21060	Cd	21	D	FOS Approved	094G077	AtSwSb 535- 0/15	26.3	622.1	1482.6	2104.7
21061	Cd	21	D	FOS Approved	094G077	At 536-0/15	37.8	614.0	2297.7	2911.7
21062	Cd	21	D	FOS Approved	094G077	At 637-0/14	86.7	930.5	4865.4	5795.9
21063	Cc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G076	PIAt(Sw) 725- 0/9	196.7	29925.0	7019.5	36944.5
21064	BCd	21	D	FOS Approved	094G078	At 536-0/15	331.0	5328.9	35852.1	41181.0
21065	BCd	21	D	FOS Approved	094G078	At(SwAc) 536- 0/16	153.2	4576.5	10812.5	15389.0
21066	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G079	SwPI(Sb) 737- 0/12	92.4	6759.9	999.1	7759.0
21067	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G076	Sw(At)834-0/9	96.4	15511.7	3180.3	18692.0
21068	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G076	Sw(At) 634- 0/12	87.4	14148.5	1574.5	15723.0
21069	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G078	Sw(At) 835- 0/13	33.1	3722.4	974.6	4697.0
21070	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G079	SwPI(At) 845- 0/13	66.2	11228.8	6636.2	17865.0
21071	Cd	21	D	FOS Approved	094G077	At 637-0/15	32.7	341.8	1948.4	2290.2
21072	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G047	PISb 735-0/16	114.2	21333.3	261.7	21595.0
21073	BCc	21	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G079	Sw 846-0/14	53.1	9685.0	1153.0	10838.0
21074	BCc	21	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G079	Sw(At) 846- 0/15	72.9	14159.0	1516.0	15675.0
21075	BCd	21	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094G079	AtPI(Sw) 737- 0/15	81.5	6816.0	8995.0	15811.0
21076	Cc	21	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G079	SwAt(Ac) 637- 0/17	17.1	2328.0	1580.0	3908.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
21077	Cc	21	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G080	SwAc 845-0/16	37.1	7042.0	2201.0	9243.0
21078	Cd	21	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094G080	AcSw 744-0/20	15.0	1068.0	2011.0	3079.0
21079	Cc	21	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G066	SwSb(PI) 836- 0/10	56.7	11325.3	1379.9	12705.2
23023	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B088	At 536-0/16	145.2	781.7	25525.2	26306.9
23024	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B088	At(Sx) 845- 0/17	13.9	400.2	1600.7	2000.9
23025	Cc	23	С	Authorized	094B078/088	AtSx 636-0/17	27.0	4103.3	2727.0	6830.2
23027	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B088	At(Sx) 837- 0/16	10.9	627.2	2028.6	2655.8
23028	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B088	SxAt 845-0/16	7.5	393.3	561.8	955.1
23029	Cc	23	С	FOS Approved	094B088	Sx(At) 846- 0/14	75.5	19765.9	1108.7	20874.6
23030	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B088	At(PISx) 537- 0/16	6.5	174.8	986.1	1160.9
23031	Cc	23	С	FOS Approved	094B088	PI(Sx) 836- 0/15	8.6	2236.4	120.4	2356.8
23034	LP	23	D	Authorized	094B078	At 327-0/14	1.6	43.1	371.3	414.4
23035	Cc	23	С	FOS Approved	094B088	SxPI(At) 844- 0/13	12.3	3520.0	1441.0	4961.0
23036	BCd	23	D	FOS Approved	094B088	At 536-0/16	20.9	300.0	2626.0	2926.0
23038	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B088	At 837-0/16	6.5	381.1	889.2	1270.3
23039	A94073	23	С	FOS Approved	094B079	At(Ac) 635- 0/18	13.0	1131.2	1679.8	2811.1
23040	A94073	23	D	FOS Approved	094B079	At(Ac) 745- 0/19	26.6	1174.8	4805.7	5980.5
23041	A94073	23	С	FOS Approved	094B079	SxBI(PI) 835- 0/13	54.2	11549.8	1864.8	13414.6
23042	A94073	23	D	FOS Approved	094B079	At(Sx) 634- 0/16	21.9	1456.5	1694.7	3151.3

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
23043	A94073	23	D	FOS Approved	094B079	AtAc(Sx) 535- 0/18	13.9	246.6	1084.1	1330.7
23044	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B079	At 735-0/16	233.5	11887.1	26648.0	38535.2
23046	Сс	23	С	FOS Approved	094B078/079	PI(SxSbAt) 836-0/15	68.0	15430.3	843.7	16273.9
23047	A94092	23	D	FOS Approved	094B079	At 846-0/17	11.9	497.3	2333.3	2830.6
23048	A94092	23	С	FOS Approved	094B078	PI 537-0/16	134.9	15737.1	4482.7	20219.8
23049	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B069	At 835-0/16	162.2	9006.6	21247.3	30253.9
23052	BCd	23	D	FOS Approved	094B068	At(PIAc) 835- 0/17	64.3	2319.2	10394.8	12714.0
23053	A94090	23	D	FOS Approved	094B068	At 736-0/14	221.9	2696.2	34224.9	36921.1
23054	BCc	23	С	FOS Approved	094B068	At(PI) 735-0/16	20.1	1254.0	2943.0	4197.0
23055	Cc	23	С	FOS Approved	094B078	Sx(AcAt) 844- 0/15	10.0	1276.4	319.1	1595.5
23056	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B068/078	AtAc(Sx) 735- 0/16	31.3	2112.1	4928.2	7040.3
23057	Cd	23	D	FOS Approved	094B078	At(Ac) 835- 0/16	186.0	10314.2	16082.0	26396.2
23062	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B068/078	AcAtSx 846- 0/19	10.0	519.7	2096.8	2616.5
23063	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B078	AtAc 735-0/16	11.3	432.6	1692.4	2125.0
23064	Сс	23	С	FOS Approved	094B068/078	SxAtAc 845- 0/15	19.7	3672.7	192.9	3865.6
23064	Cc	23	С	FOS Approved	094B068/078	SxAtAc 845- 0/15	19.7	3672.7	192.9	3865.6
23065	LP	23	С	FOS Approved	094B068/078	PI(Sx) 836- 0/15	9.6	1628.3	165.2	1793.5
23066	BCc	23	С	FOS Approved	094B069	PI(Sx) 736- 0/15	5.0	1254.0	0.0	1254.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
23067	BCc	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	PISx 835-0/15	11.7	2902.4	147.6	3050.0
23068	A94077	23	С	FOS Approved	094B088	SxPI(AtSb) 836-0/13	5.1	1545.7	244.3	1790.0
23069	A94077	23	С	FOS Approved	094B088	SxPIAt 736- 0/14	31.2	11712.9	4465.1	16178.0
23070	Сс	23	С	Authorized	094B088	AtPI(Sx) 836- 0/16	100.8	14357.8	6008.2	20366.0
23073	Сс	23	С	FOS Approved	094B088	PI 735-0/16	23.0	2404.7	1660.8	4065.5
23074	LP	23	С	FOS Approved	094B088	At 634-0/18	10.5	1098.1	758.4	1856.5
23076	LP	23	С	FOS Approved	094B088	PISxAt 734- 0/15	34.6	3780.8	2611.2	6392.0
23078	Сс	23	С	FOS Approved	094B088	PISx 835-0/15	12.8	3173.8	170.2	3344.0
23079	BCd	23	D	FOS Approved	094B088	AtSw 738-0/13	51.2	2270.4	5117.0	7387.4
23080	BCd	23	С	FOS Approved	094B088	PIAt(Sx) 735- 0/15	43.0	5096.8	2813.2	7910.0
23081	BCc	23	С	FOS Approved	094B088	PIAt(Sx) 735- 0/15	6.3	861.1	338.9	1200.0
23082	BCd	23	D	FOS Approved	094B088	At(PI) 734-0/14	8.1	186.8	1036.9	1223.7
23083	BCd	23	D	FOS Approved	094B088	At(PI) 734-0/14	10.3	304.5	1091.8	1396.3
23084	BCd	23	D	FOS Approved	094B088	At 835-0/16	9.3	123.4	1548.0	1671.4
23085	BCc	23	D	FOS Approved	094B088	AtSb 736-0/14	3.0	168.9	320.9	489.8
23089	LP	23	D	Authorized	094B088	At(Sx) 734- 0/18	2.9	0.0	821.5	821.5
23090	LP	23	D	Authorized	094B088	At(Sx) 734- 0/18	5.6	352.0	435.0	787.0
23091	LP	23	D	Authorized	094B088	At 736-0/15	7.5	126.8	1496.8	1623.6
23092	LP	23	D	Authorized	094B088	At 536-0/17	6.4	50.4	836.0	886.4

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
23093	BCd	23	D	FOS Approved	094B088	At 637-0/14	15.8	252.5	1772.5	2025.0
23094	LP	23	D	Authorized	094B088	At(Sx) 836- 0/16	15.1	1166.1	1972.4	3138.5
23095	Cc	23	С	FOS Approved	094B088	PI(Sx) 836- 0/15	1.9	550.6	0.0	550.6
23096	Cc	23	С	FOS Approved	094B088	Sx(PI) 846- 0/11	6.5	1995.1	639.0	2634.1
23097	Cc	23	С	FOS Approved	094B088	PI(AtSb) 737- 0/13	5.4	398.4	260.2	658.6
23099	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B088	At(SxPI) 735- 0/17	14.5	745.0	2197.4	2942.4
23100	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B088	AtEp(Sx) 735- 0/16	10.7	0.0	1924.2	1924.2
23101	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B088	At 736-0/15	3.3	0.0	1204.1	1204.1
23102	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B078	At(SxAc) 736- 0/15	27.7	796.1	1841.4	2637.5
23103	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B078	At(Sx) 835- 0/16	21.4	733.2	2933.0	3666.2
23104	A94077	23	С	FOS Approved	094B088	PI(Sx) 835- 0/17	7.3	2671.1	101.9	2773.0
23105	BCd	23	D	FOS Approved	094B088	At(PI) 635-0/16	49.3	1086.8	5372.2	6459.0
23106	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B078	AtSx 636-0/17	23.3	1689.8	2534.7	4224.5
23107	A94076	23	D	FOS Approved	094B078	At 536-0/17	68.5	1001.3	9587.9	10589.2
23108	LP	23	D	Authorized	094B078	PI 836-0/16	139.9	12392.4	13677.3	26069.6
23109	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B078	At 734-0/16	34.8	443.2	3988.6	4431.8
23110	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B078	At(Sx) 745- 0/18	29.8	1796.9	4165.0	5961.9
23111	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B078	At(Sx) 736- 0/17	35.4	1355.6	4066.7	5422.3
23112	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B078	At(PISxAc) 735-0/17	11.8	232.7	2093.9	2326.6

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
23113	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B078	AtAcSx 734- 0/16	53.6	5128.4	6268.0	11396.4
23115	Cc	23	С	FOS Approved	094B078	PI 837-0/14	15.2	3557.4	103.4	3660.8
23116	Cd	23	D	FOS Approved	094B078/079	PIAt 736-0/16	9.8	765.3	1178.6	1943.9
23120	Cc	23	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B078	Sx(At) 846- 0/14	29.5	4944.0	600.0	5544.0
23121	BCd	23	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B078	PI 836-0/15	171.7	17490.0	19635.0	37125.0
23122	Cc	23	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B078	PIAt(SxSb) 736-0/13	35.4	5664.0	640.0	6304.0
23126	Cc	23	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B078	PI(Sx) 835- 0/17	19.8	3222.0	0.0	3222.0
23129	Cd	23	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B088	At(Sx) 736- 0/11	23.8	50.0	2970.0	3020.0
23189	Cd	23	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B068	AtPI 436-0/16	56.2	3896.4	6797.9	10694.3
23190	Cd	23	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B078	At 436-0/18	9.2	134.3	1525.5	1659.8
23191	Cd	23	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B068	At(Ac) 736- 0/15	27.2	1022.3	6160.7	7183.0
24009	MPMC	24	С	FOS Approved	094G010	Sw(PI) 836- 0/10	12.4	2666.0	446.4	3112.4
24010	MPMC	24	С	FOS Approved	094G010	At(Sw) 630- 0/14	7.4	910.2	303.4	1213.6
24015	MPMC	24	D	FOS Approved	094G020	Sb 627-0/6	16.9	261.0	2376.0	2637.0
24016	MPMC	24	С	FOS Approved	094G020	SwAt(PI) 736- 0/13	15.0	3891.8	268.4	4160.2
24017	MPMC	24	С	FOS Approved	094G010	PI 627-0/12	90.0	11356.8	0.0	11356.8
24018	MPMC	24	С	FOS Approved	094G010/094H001	PIAt 627-0/12	53.1	9410.7	2095.5	11506.2
24021	DZ	24	С	FOS Approved	094G020/094H011	SwPl(At) 736- 0/14	45.3	13001.1	1313.7	14314.8

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
24022	DZ	24	С	FOS Approved	094G020/094H011	SwAt(PI) 736- 0/12	20.3	5773.9	0.0	5773.9
24023	DZ	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011	PI 636-0/16	29.3	1465.2	0.0	1465.2
24024	DZ	24	D	FOS Approved	094H011	AtPI(Sw) 636- 0/15	65.4	4384.5	10143.2	14527.7
24025	DZ	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011	AtPI 536-0/18	8.9	2504.2	26.6	2530.8
24026	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H011	AtPI(Sw) 636- 0/14	30.9	3491.7	6303.6	9795.3
24027	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H011	At(PI) 535-0/18	35.5	445.2	11543.4	11988.6
24029	DZ	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011	PISb 837-0/12	101.5	35999.6	1978.0	37977.6
24030	DZ	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011	PI(AtSwSb) 637-0/15	17.8	2563.2	640.8	3204.0
24031	DZ	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011	PIAtSb 637- 0/15	126.7	20160.0	2170.0	22330.0
24032	DZ	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011	PI(AtSb) 737- 0/15	55.6	8854.0	532.0	9386.0
24034	DZ	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011	PIAt(Sb) 737- 0/17	35.5	12862.5	480.2	13342.7
24037	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	Sw(AtPI) 846- 0/16	103.4	18203.6	2834.3	21037.9
24043	CRL	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	PISwEp(At) 837-0/12	5.5	1363.7	151.5	1515.2
24044	CRL	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	PISwEp(At) 826-0/10	7.5	1866.2	207.4	2073.6
24047	DZ	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	PISb 836-0/16	50.1	10018.0	0.0	10018.0
24048	DZ	24	С	FOS Approved	094G029	Sw(PI) 834- 0/11	15.3	3140.6	313.6	3454.2
24049	DZ	24	С	FOS Approved	094G029	PISw(At) 835- 0/16	67.3	11187.4	5416.9	16604.3
24050	DZ	24	С	FOS Approved	094G029	AtPI(Sw) 735- 0/12	35.6	10435.9	3747.4	14183.3

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
24058	A94080	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	PI(Sb) 836- 0/17	38.1	11597.9	495.1	12093.0
24059	A94223	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	PI(At) 836-0/18	33.4	7746.9	1175.1	8922.0
24061	DZ	24	С	Authorized	094H021	PISw(At) 836- 0/16	63.1	13908.3	700.8	14609.2
24062	DZ	24	С	Authorized	094H021	PI(Sw) 837- 0/14	81.7	14439.2	964.7	15403.9
24063	DZ	24	С	FOS Approved	94H021	PI(Sw) 836- 0/17	107.0	25638.0	1400.0	27038.0
24064	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	94H021	SwPl836-0/17	68.0	14751.0	4109.0	18860.0
24065	DZ	24	С	Authorized	094H021	SbSw 827-0/6	15.7	3074.1	191.9	3266.1
24066	DZ	24	С	Authorized	094H022	SwPI 847-0/18	10.4	3851.4	47.2	3898.6
24067	DZ	24	С	FOS Approved	94H021/22	SwAtPI 837- 0/12	113.0	28317.0	3715.0	32032.0
24170	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G010/020	At(PI) 534-0/15	53.6	1712.5	6850.1	8562.6
24171	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G010/020	SwPl(At) 835- 0/10	21.6	2745.9	1434.4	4180.3
24172	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G020	At(PI) 735-0/14	12.7	324.1	1397.6	1721.7
24173	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G010/020	At(PISw) 635- 0/17	126.3	12797.6	3952.2	16749.8
24174	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G010	At(PISw) 635- 0/17	2.1	206.5	304.9	511.4
24175	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G010	PISb(Sw) 637- 0/13	4.6	1618.8	101.7	1720.5
24176	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G010	At(PISw) 635- 0/17	4.1	481.9	391.0	872.9
24177	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G010	PISb(Sw) 637- 0/13	6.0	1814.8	170.2	1985.0
24178	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G010	PIAt(SwSb) 736-0/14	14.7	3673.4	1030.9	4704.3
24179	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G010	AtSb 737-0/16	5.3	115.5	1460.8	1576.3

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
24180	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G010	PI(Sw) 737- 0/12	11.5	1697.4	0.0	1697.4
24182	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G010	SwPI 636-0/15	11.0	2935.0	154.5	3089.5
24183	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G010	PISb(At) 637- 0/15	14.3	2470.1	806.9	3277.0
24184	BCd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G010	At(SwSb) 634- 0/16	10.8	374.9	1508.1	1883.0
24185	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G020	AtSw(PI) 736- 0/15	16.2	1425.6	3515.4	4941.0
24186	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G020	PI(Sw) 737- 0/14	96.6	15489.6	9129.4	24619.0
24187	BCd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G020	AtSw(PI) 736- 0/16	6.9	746.4	1036.6	1783.0
24189	Сс	24	С	FOS Approved	094G020	Sw(PI) 736- 0/13	14.2	4786.0	0.0	4786.0
24193	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G019	PI 735-0/15	26.6	4751.5	1471.5	6223.0
24194	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G019	PIAt 825-0/11	14.0	1444.8	939.2	2384.0
24195	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G019	PISw(At) 835- 0/15	8.4	1891.7	262.3	2154.0
24196	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G019	PIAt 825-0/11	13.3	2303.2	335.8	2639.0
24197	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G020	AtSw(PI) 735- 0/17	113.7	21240.0	7646.4	28886.4
24198	BCd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G020	At(PISbSw) 736-0/15	23.8	2729.1	2702.9	5432.0
24199	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G020	At(PISbSw) 736-0/15	31.7	2786.8	2914.2	5701.0
24200	BCd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G020	At 732-0/15	12.1	194.5	1232.5	1427.0
24201	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G020	At(PI) 731-0/16	25.7	560.6	3026.4	3587.0
24206	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011	PIAt(Sb) 637- 0/14	11.3	891.8	938.2	1830.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
24207	A92975	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011	PI(AtSw) 635- 0/16	89.1	12028.1	4741.9	16770.0
24208	BCd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G020	AtPI 532-0/18	24.7	450.1	1792.9	2243.0
24212	Сс	24	С	FOS Approved	094G020	PISw 736-0/13	11.7	2825.0	0.0	2825.0
24214	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G020	PISw(Sb) 737- 0/13	2.9	706.0	8.0	714.0
24215	BCd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G020	AtSw(PI) 735- 0/16	9.7	912.1	1188.9	2101.0
24216	A94165	24	С	FOS Approved	094G020	PI(Sb) 727- 0/12	14.0	4106.1	824.1	4930.2
24217	Сс	24	С	FOS Approved	094G020	PI(Sw) 837- 0/12	5.2	1056.0	117.0	1173.0
24218	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G020	SwAt(PI) 745- 0/16	10.6	1764.5	1095.5	2860.0
24219	BCd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G020	AtSw(PI) 736- 0/17	9.4	1342.4	1298.6	2641.0
24220	BCd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G020	AtPI(Sw) 735- 0/15	3.7	240.4	541.6	782.0
24221	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G020	PISb(AtSw) 737-0/12	36.1	7041.1	3214.9	10256.0
24222	A94165	24	С	FOS Approved	094G020	PI(At) 637-0/13	53.0	13017.2	1161.2	14178.4
24228	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	PISwSb 736- 0/16	9.0	2183.3	229.7	2413.0
24229	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	PISw(Ep) 736- 0/16	7.2	1308.9	263.1	1572.0
24230	A94165	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	PISb 636-0/15	19.3	3985.5	630.9	4616.3
24231	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	EpSwAt(PI) 835-0/14	12.0	645.7	967.3	1613.0
24232	A90854	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	PIEp(Sb) 837- 0/14	67.8	13620.0	3169.0	16789.0
24233	Сс	24	С	FOS Approved	094G029	Sw 834-0/13	24.1	5976.9	303.2	6280.1

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
24234	A94080	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021/94G030	PIAt 835-0/15	17.5	4774.8	850.2	5625.0
24235	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G020/094H011	At(Sw) 635- 0/17	14.6	524.0	2098.0	2622.0
24236	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G020/094H011	At(Sw) 635- 0/17	33.9	4694.0	3130.0	7824.0
24237	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	PI(Sw) 828-0/8	13.5	1734.9	177.3	1912.2
24238	A94164	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	PI(EpSw) 737- 0/12	37.1	7378.6	639.4	8018.0
24239	A94164	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	PISw(At) 837- 0/15	5.8	995.2	89.8	1085.0
24241	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	Pl(Sb) 837- 0/16	29.1	9107.9	521.5	9629.4
24242	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	PISw(At) 837- 0/17	12.9	3008.3	538.7	3547.0
24243	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	PIAtSw 737- 0/13	22.5	2487.4	716.7	3204.1
24244	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	PIAtEp 826- 0/11	7.6	403.7	326.3	730.0
24245	A94164	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	PISb 837-0/15	32.3	7099.5	645.5	7745.0
24246	A94166	24	С	FOS Approved	094G040	PI(Sw) 836- 0/14	24.1	4481.4	227.0	4708.4
24247	A94166	24	С	FOS Approved	094G040	PISw(SbAt) 833-0/15	40.4	7531.9	824.1	8356.0
24250	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H021	PIAt 836-0/18	4.8	357.8	1020.8	1378.6
24251	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H021	AtPI 837-0/15	5.1	130.0	740.0	870.0
24253	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	94G030/94H021	PISw(At) 836- 0/18	111.9	34409.0	3823.0	38232.0
24254	Сс	24	С	FOS Approved	094G029	AtSwPI 834- 0/14	8.6	1353.2	580.0	1933.2
24255	A92977	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	PI(At) 836-0/15	74.7	13458.8	2175.2	15634.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
24256	BCd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H021	AtSb(PI) 835- 0/11	5.6	301.8	534.2	836.0
24257	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	SwPI(SbAt) 836-0/9	7.8	1267.3	292.7	1560.0
24258	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	SbPI(SwAt) 835-0/11	3.2	669.1	73.9	743.0
24259	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	SbPI(SwAt) 835-0/11	4.1	634.3	69.7	704.0
24260	A94166	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	PI(At) 826-0/10	19.3	4023.2	573.4	4596.6
24262	A94166	24	С	FOS Approved	094G040	PI(AtSw) 835- 0/14	36.1	6929.5	1168.5	8098.0
24263	A94166	24	С	FOS Approved	094G040	PI(AtSb) 833- 0/18	25.6	5799.4	985.6	6785.0
24264	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G029	PI 837-0/16	13.5	3206.1	133.7	3339.8
24265	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	AtPI(Sw) 734- 0/13	1.6	0.0	243.1	243.1
24266	Сс	24	С	FOS Approved	094G029	PI 834-0/18	15.1	3300.6	0.0	3300.6
24267	Cc	24	С	Authorized	094G029	PI(AtSb) 836- 0/15	32.2	6307.3	1184.0	7491.3
24268	Сс	24	С	FOS Approved	094G029	PI 834-0/15	15.1	4623.7	835.2	5458.9
24271	A94080	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	PI(Sb) 836- 0/17	7.5	1961.2	54.8	2016.0
24272	A94223	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	PISw 837-0/16	37.4	9174.1	915.9	10090.0
24273	A94164	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	PI(AtEp) 837- 0/15	29.5	6596.9	2002.1	8599.0
24274	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G030	At(SwPI) 834- 0/15	7.7	458.9	458.9	917.8
24275	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G030	At(SwPI) 834- 0/15	2.5	147.0	147.0	294.0
24276	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G030	At(SwPI) 834- 0/15	31.4	2000.1	2000.2	4000.3

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
24277	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G029/030	SwAc 834-0/13	21.0	3114.9	2076.6	5191.5
24278	Сс	24	С	FOS Approved	094G029	SwAc 834-0/13	5.3	788.3	525.6	1313.9
24279	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	At 835-0/16	19.6	450.4	5606.1	6056.5
24280	A94557	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	PISw 827-0/11	17.5	3090.0	350.0	3440.0
24281	A94557	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	SwAtPI(Ep) 836-0/11	17.3	3456.2	1213.8	4670.0
24283	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	Sb 827-0/8	4.0	522.0	0.0	522.0
24284	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	Sb 826-0/8	3.0	442.0	0.0	442.0
24285	Cc	24	С	Authorized	094H022	Sw(At) 847- 0/14	42.8	9487.7	2320.3	11808.1
24286	Cc	24	С	Authorized	094H021/022	EpPI(SwAt) 737-0/14	18.2	3738.8	552.9	4291.7
24287	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	94H021	SwPl 836-0/11	78.0	18980.0	2109.0	21089.0
24288	Cc	24	С	Authorized	094H021	PIAt(Sw) 846- 0/21	18.5	4242.0	491.3	4733.3
24291	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H021	At 547-0/21	11.1	200.3	1780.2	1980.5
24295	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	PISb(Sw) 836- 0/14	5.3	700.0	0.0	1700.0
24296	A94223	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	PIAtSw 835- 0/14	34.5	4447.7	1764.7	6212.4
24297	A94223	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	PI(S) 837- 16/16	7.1	1704.5	88.5	1793.0
24298	A94223	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	PISw(At) 836- 0/13	39.6	9655.8	676.2	10332.0
24301	Сс	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	SwAt(PI) 834- 0/9	34.9	5021.0	1255.3	6276.3
24303	Сс	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	AtPI 536-0/19	161.3	26968.6	11608.5	38577.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
24308	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	PI 737-0/16	38.9	5786.7	3231.7	9018.4
24310	A18154	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	PISw(At) 837- 0/14	52.6	10136.4	1806.8	11943.2
24311	Cc	24	С	Authorized	094H021	PIAt(Ep) 836- 0/16	21.4	3185.9	930.3	4116.2
24312	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H011	AtPI 637-0/13	9.8	685.2	1027.8	1713.0
24313	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011	AtPI 637-0/13	17.6	2464.6	616.2	3080.8
24317	Cc	24	С	Authorized	094H011	AtSw(PI) 635- 0/17	221.8	30371.0	21876.3	52247.3
24325	LP	24	D	Authorized	094H011	AtSwPI(Sb) 636-0/17	178.9	20084.7	22623.9	42708.6
24327	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011/021	At(SwPI) 646- 0/19	59.5	9699.8	3511.7	13211.5
24333	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011/021	At(Sw) 636- 0/18	208.7	26241.4	20152.6	46393.9
24338	BCd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H021	At(SwPI) 646- 0/19	123.0	7241.3	18450.7	25692.0
24339	BCd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H021	At(Sw) 835- 0/14	106.4	9879.6	18415.4	28295.0
24340	BCd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H021	At(SwPI) 835- 0/12	6.3	357.5	1393.5	1751.0
24341	BCd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H021	AtSw(PI) 836- 0/14	11.2	1263.4	1145.6	2409.0
24351	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H011	At(PI) 535-0/18	1.2	53.3	159.9	213.2
24352	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H011	At(PI) 535-0/18	4.5	403.2	1241.2	1644.4
24353	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H011	At 536-0/17	6.5	0.0	2666.7	2666.7
24354	Сс	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011	PIAt(Sw) 636- 0/15	27.7	10638.0	2916.0	13554.0
24356	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011	PISw 637-0/14	44.1	11447.9	2.1	11450.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
24357	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011	PIAt(Sb) 637- 0/16	71.2	12735.9	3274.1	16010.0
24358	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011	PIAtSb 637- 0/15	17.3	2263.2	496.8	2760.0
24359	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011	PI(At) 737-0/14	17.7	4089.0	1982.0	6071.0
24360	Cd	24	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094G010	At 634-0/15	32.2	555.9	3338.2	3894.1
24361	Cc	24	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G020	PI 737-0/15	25.8	5479.8	175.7	5655.5
25011	BCc	25	С	FOS Approved	094A049	PISx(At) 736- 0/17	106.4	23166.3	6093.7	29260.0
25017	BCc	25	С	FOS Approved	094A050	PIAt(Sb) 736- 0/18	40.0	8576.9	5423.1	14000.0
25066	Cd	25	D	Authorized	094A059	At 636-0/17	12.3	549.8	1446.6	1996.5
25072	Cc	25	С	Authorized	094A059	PIAt(Sx) 836- 0/18	3.8	472.6	185.4	658.1
27004	A94642	26	С	FOS Approved	94A.065	SxAt 845-0/17	49.0	9655.3	5456.7	15112.0
27005	A94642	26	С	FOS Approved	94A.065	Sx(AtAc) 844- 0/13	69.7	7717.0	2306.0	10023.0
27034	Cc	27	С	Authorized	094A055	AtSx(PI) 737- 0/17	227.7	33428.4	24004.4	57432.9
27043	MPMC	27	С	Authorized	094A055	PISx(At) 636- 0/19	11.7	2486.4	1232.7	3719.1
27045	MPMC	27	С	Authorized	094A055	SxAc(EpAt) 745-0/16	4.4	677.5	272.5	950.1
29017	BCc	29	С	FOS Approved	94A094	SxPIAt 847- 0/15	342.0	82561.5	10616.5	93178.0
29101	BCd	29	D	FOS Approved	094A083	At 735-0/14	3.5	25.1	416.9	442.0
29102	BCd	29	D	FOS Approved	094A084	At(Sb) 635- 0/16	5.8	149.1	580.9	730.0
29107	BCd	29	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A094	AtSx(PI) 637- 0/17	11.4	1171.2	2092.8	3264.0
29108	BCc	29	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A094	SxAt 737-0/14	45.3	3672.0	952.0	4624.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
29109	Cc	29	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A094	PISx(At) 737- 0/19	97.9	13706.0	2643.3	16349.3
29110	Cc	29	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A094	SxPI 847-0/12	66.8	7616.0	2496.0	10112.0
29111	Сс	29	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A094	Sx 842-0/14	53.8	18974.4	9487.2	28461.6
29112	Cd	29	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A093	At(Sx) 635- 0/17	24.7	428.4	3284.4	3712.8
33001	BCc	33	С	FOS Approved	094H015	Sx(At) 835- 0/12	171.9	24428.4	4782.6	29211.0
33002	BCc	33	С	FOS Approved	094H015	SxPI(SbAt) 735-0/14	18.0	5093.3	564.7	5658.0
33003	BCc	33	С	FOS Approved	094H015	SxSb 735-0/11	17.1	3295.1	202.9	3498.0
36040	Сс	36	С	FOS Approved	094G018/019	PI 736-0/14	160.2	40858.7	5127.4	45986.1
36041	Cc	36	С	FOS Approved	094G018	SwPI(BI) 736- 0/13	38.1	8580.6	0.0	8580.6
36042	Cc	36	С	FOS Approved	094G018/028	SwBI 736-0/13	49.6	13303.6	842.0	14145.6
36043	Сс	36	С	FOS Approved	094G017/027	SwPI 736-0/13	115.4	13920.0	600.0	14520.0
36044	Cc	36	С	FOS Approved	094G017/027	SwSbPI 836- 0/10	83.2	8096.0	640.0	8736.0
36045	Сс	36	С	FOS Approved	094G017/027	PI(Sw) 837- 0/16	53.6	8208.0	1044.0	9252.0
36046	BCc	36	С	FOS Approved	094G017	PISw(Sb) 724- 0/12	29.3	4818.5	1.5	4820.0
36050	Сс	36	С	FOS Approved	094G028	PISwAt 837- 0/17	19.7	2831.7	707.9	3539.6
36051	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G028	AtPI(Sw) 635- 0/14	55.1	816.0	6000.0	6816.0
36052	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G028	AtPI 635-0/15	51.3	896.4	5245.6	6142.0
36053	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G028	At 636-0/15	19.4	497.8	2135.3	2633.1

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
36054	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G028	AtPI 834-0/11	98.9	5672.4	5721.3	11393.7
36055	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G028	AtSw(PI) 736- 0/16	9.0	620.5	1447.8	2068.3
36056	Cc	36	С	FOS Approved	094G028	PISw 826-0/11	2.9	571.1	0.0	571.1
36057	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G028	At(PI) 635-0/13	36.2	521.0	4688.9	5209.9
36058	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G028	At(PI) 634-0/13	8.6	16.5	533.6	550.1
36060	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G028	At(Sw) 636- 0/17	17.2	0.0	1335.6	1335.6
36061	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G028	At(Sw) 636- 0/17	64.6	921.3	2930.4	3851.7
36062	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G028	AtSw(Sb) 733- 0/17	6.0	96.0	864.0	960.0
36063	Cc	36	С	FOS Approved	094G028	PISw(At) 835- 0/12	11.2	2272.0	0.0	2272.0
36064	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G018/028	AtPI(Sw) 636- 0/14	14.1	775.2	1162.8	1938.0
36065	Cc	36	С	FOS Approved	094G028	SwPl 836-0/13	10.0	2554.7	0.0	2554.7
36066	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G028	At(Sw) 537- 0/16	19.6	1945.3	2377.5	4322.8
36067	Cc	36	С	FOS Approved	094G028	PISw(At) 836- 0/14	3.9	1053.8	32.6	1086.4
36068	Cc	36	С	FOS Approved	094G028	SwPl 836-0/11	7.9	2172.9	47.6	2220.5
36069	Cc	36	С	FOS Approved	094G028	SwPl 836-0/11	11.7	3240.2	281.8	3522.0
36070	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G028	At(Sw) 537- 0/16	10.6	293.7	1664.3	1958.0
36071	Cc	36	С	FOS Approved	094G028	Sw(SbBI) 846- 0/13	55.6	17558.1	357.8	17915.9
36072	BCd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G028	AtPI(Sw) 633- 0/14	11.6	643.8	924.2	1568.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
36073	BCc	36	С	FOS Approved	094G028	PIAt 836-0/16	139.8	25110.8	9458.2	34569.0
36074	BCd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G028	At(Sw) 737- 0/17	6.0	552.4	913.6	1466.0
36075	BCd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G028	AtPI(Sw) 836- 0/17	9.4	1117.1	1566.9	2684.0
36076	BCc	36	С	FOS Approved	094G028	PI(SwAt) 836- 0/17	18.6	3269.6	1184.4	4454.0
36077	BCd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G028	AtPI(Sw) 736- 0/16	32.7	4060.5	3454.5	7515.0
36078	Cc	36	С	FOS Approved	094G017	PI 624-0/13	41.7	10615.9	0.0	10615.9
36079	Cc	36	С	FOS Approved	094G017	PI 624-0/13	63.5	13915.0	550.0	14465.0
36080	Cc	36	С	FOS Approved	094G017	PISw 825-0/11	39.2	8632.6	1902.7	10535.3
36081	Cc	36	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G018	Sw(Pl) 836- 0/13	22.9	6486.2	446.0	6932.2
36082	BCc	36	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G028	PISw 736-0/17	14.1	5633.3	89.3	5722.6
36083	BCc	36	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G029	At(PISb) 536- 0/15	26.3	4237.4	2011.5	6249.0
36084	BCc	36	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G029	PI(Sw) 834- 0/18	22.0	5572.1	146.0	5718.2
36085	Сс	36	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G018	SwPI(AtSb) 836-0/10	56.5	13368.1	696.4	14064.6
36086	Сс	36	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G018	SwPISb 837- 0/13	6.3	1673.2	0.0	1673.2
36087	Cc	36	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G017	SwPI(Sb) 836- 0/12	19.4	5422.9	200.7	5623.6
36088	Cc	36	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G017	SwPISb 837- 0/13	31.0	8761.1	0.0	8761.1
37034	BCc	37	С	FOS Approved	094G007	PIAtSw 535- 0/18	177.0	23301.1	5350.9	28652.0
37036	BCc	37	С	FOS Approved	094G007	PI 727-0/12	151.8	22489.4	560.6	23050.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
37037	BCc	37	С	FOS Approved	094G017	PISb 827-0/9	136.2	25084.7	777.3	25862.0
37038	BCc	37	С	FOS Approved	094G017	SwPI(At) 835- 0/10	118.6	24948.1	1124.9	26073.0
37039	BCc	37	С	FOS Approved	094G017	PI 637-0/14	75.1	10928.1	325.9	11254.0
37040	BCc	37	С	FOS Approved	094G017	PI(Sw) 724- 0/12	29.5	3537.0	0.0	3537.0
37043	BCc	37	С	FOS Approved	094G017	BISwSb 837- 0/10	39.4	8213.3	11.7	8225.0
38005	BCc	38	С	FOS Approved	94H024	PIAt(SbSx) 836-0/12	53.2	4855.4	1234.6	6090.0
38006	BCc	38	С	FOS Approved	94H024	PISbAt(Sx) 735-0/14	34.5	4850.2	825.8	5676.0
38007	BCc	38	С	FOS Approved	94H024	AtSxPI(Sb) 736-0/17	22.8	4465.7	2203.3	6669.0
38008	BCc	38	С	FOS Approved	94H024	PISb 526-0/11	34.7	7472.3	389.7	7862.0
38009	BCc	38	С	FOS Approved	94H024	Pl(Sb) 735- 0/12	25.7	6364.0	378.0	6742.0
38010	BCc	38	С	FOS Approved	94H024	Pl(AtSb) 726- 0/12	10.6	2418.1	394.9	2813.0
38011	BCc	38	С	FOS Approved	94H024	PIAt(Sb) 735- 0/12	14.2	2450.0	758.0	3208.0
38012	BCc	38	С	FOS Approved	94H024	Pl(Sb) 825- 0/10	12.1	3173.9	147.1	3321.0
38013	BCc	38	С	FOS Approved	94H024	PI(At) 725-0/11	12.9	1792.2	281.8	2074.0
38014	BCc	38	С	FOS Approved	94H024	PIAt(Sb) 635- 0/17	19.8	4258.4	1443.6	5702.0
38015	A92981	38	С	FOS Approved	94H033	SwAt(PI) 745- 0/17	44.7	9653.1	2818.9	12472.0
38016	A92982	38	С	FOS Approved	94H033	PI(SbAt) 836- 0/13	44.5	8749.2	1396.8	10146.0
38017	A92982	38	С	FOS Approved	94H033	SwSb(AtPI) 735-0/12	43.4	6308.9	647.1	6956.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
38018	A92982	38	С	FOS Approved	94H023	PISxSb(At) 835-0/12	20.2	3848.5	342.5	4191.0
38019	BCc	38	С	FOS Approved	94H033	SxAt(PI) 747- 0/16	36.9	6814.6	3545.4	10360.0
38030	A92981	38	С	FOS Approved	094H033	PIAt 738-0/17	41.5	1537.3	604.4	2141.7
38031	BCc	38	С	FOS Approved	094H034	SwAt 745-0/16	55.4	8624.0	3164.0	11788.0
38032	BCc	38	С	FOS Approved	094H034	PI(SbAt) 735- 0/16	61.1	7683.7	1143.3	8827.0
38033	BCc	07	С	FOS Approved	094H052	Sw(AtPI) 845- 0/12	205.3	40118.0	11059.0	51177.0
38034	BCc	38	С	FOS Approved	094H043	PI(AtSb) 636- 0/15	121.4	6606.8	1129.2	7736.0
38035	BCd	38	D	FOS Approved	094H033	AtSwSb 635- 0/17	298.3	9629.3	10949.7	20579.0
38036	Cc	38	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H043	SwEpBl 845- 0/15	53.7	10784.5	4053.6	14838.1
38037	Cc	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H053	SxAtSb(PI) 835-0/12	69.0	10684.5	4001.5	14686.1
41005	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	94H053	Sx(PIAtSb) 835-0/12	88.7	18496.5	3261.6	21758.1
41006	A76791	41	С	FOS Approved	94H053	PISb(AtSx) 834-0/17	34.1	7256.6	1391.7	8648.3
41008	A76794	41	С	FOS Approved	94H053	PISx(AtSb) 835-0/16	90.8	19437.6	3525.9	22963.5
41009	A76794	41	С	FOS Approved	94H053	PIAt 836-0/18	85.2	20134.2	3821.5	23955.7
41011	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	94H053	Sx(Sb) 845- 0/13	192.1	45116.4	2770.6	47887.0
41012	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	94H053	SbSx 835-0/9	23.1	9693.5	289.5	9983.0
41013	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	94H053	SbSx 726-0/9	6.8	1458.5	71.5	1530.0
41014	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	94H063	SwAt(PI) 535- 0/17	5.9	1134.1	519.9	1654.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
41015	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	94H063	SwAt(PI) 536- 0/17	4.1	681.5	469.5	1151.0
41016	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	94H063	AtSw(PI) 746- 0/19	398.1	65757.5	45909.5	111667.0
41017	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	94H063	SwPI(At) 735- 0/14	6.8	885.1	614.9	1500.0
41018	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	94H063	At(SwPISb) 735-0/18	9.0	735.2	1249.8	1985.0
41019	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	94H063	At(SwPI) 746- 0/19	187.2	10534.2	30743.8	41278.0
41020	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	94H063	SwAt(Ac) 736- 0/15	251.3	24577.8	13117.2	37695.0
41021	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	94H063	SbPI(AtSwEp) 726-0/6	3.7	667.4	214.6	882.0
41022	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	94H063	SwAt(PI) 745- 0/17	37.6	6292.1	2674.9	8967.0
41023	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	94H063	SwAtPI 746- 0/16	40.8	7354.3	2376.7	9731.0
41030	Cd	41	D	FOS Approved	094H055	AtSb(Sx) 536- 0/19	25.7	609.6	5486.6	6096.2
41031	Сс	41	С	FOS Approved	094H043	AtSbSx(Ep) 645-0/19	68.0	8469.3	4028.0	12497.3
41032	Cc	41	С	FOS Approved	094H053	PI(SbAt) 736- 0/15	113.5	18597.7	4369.1	22966.8
41034	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	094H053	AtPISb(Sx) 635-0/14	94.9	10230.3	6020.7	16251.0
41037	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	094H053	SxAt(PISb) 745-0/15	91.2	19670.6	7656.4	27327.0
41039	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	094H054	PIAt(Sb) 536- 0/16	43.2	1198.8	465.2	1664.0
41040	BCd	41	D	FOS Approved	094H054	AtPI(Sx) 646- 0/21	266.4	10547.2	12420.8	22968.0
41044	BCd	41	D	FOS Approved	094H064	PI(At) 537-0/17	245.6	15228.2	5411.8	20640.0
41046	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	094H064	PISb(Sw) 537- 0/17	171.9	4346.4	808.6	5155.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
41048	BCd	41	D	FOS Approved	094H064	At(PISw) 746- 0/21	53.1	2465.6	4274.4	6740.0
41050	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	094H064	PISb 437-0/17	64.0	11339.5	1139.5	12479.0
41053	BCd	41	D	FOS Approved	094H063	EpAt 436-0/16	112.9	5434.2	6221.8	11656.0
41054	BCd	41	D	FOS Approved	094H064	Ep 437-0/16	80.9	1878.7	6771.3	8650.0
41058	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	094H073	At(SwPI) 737- 0/17	386.1	14923.9	10256.1	25180.0
41061	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	094H074	Pl(Sb) 427- 0/15	92.8	2442.4	683.6	3126.0
41065	BCd	41	D	FOS Approved	094H053	AtEpSx(Sb) 643-0/21	65.1	4816.3	6129.7	10946.0
41066	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	094H064	AtSw(Ac) 744- 0/20	313.6	5190.6	5779.4	10970.0
41067	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	094H064	At 537-0/17	291.9	6518.7	7696.3	14215.0
41070	BCd	41	D	FOS Approved	094H064	At 746-0/18	136.8	3936.0	14886.0	18822.0
41071	Сс	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H073	Sw 837-0/13	37.3	8846.0	1809.0	10655.0
41072	Cd	41	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H073	At(Sw) 546- 0/21	179.3	20140.0	31387.0	51527.0
41073	Сс	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H073	Sw(At) 637- 0/16	13.6	3838.0	434.0	4272.0
41074	Cd	41	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H073	At(Sw) 537- 0/20	42.3	2258.0	8639.0	10897.0
41075	Сс	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H063	PIAt(Sw) 536- 0/16	13.6	3054.0	654.0	3708.0
41076	Cc	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H073	AtPI 537-0/21	105.1	17219.0	14166.0	31385.0
41077	Сс	16	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H073	Sw 845-0/15	37.1	11129.0	1102.0	12231.0
41078	Сс	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H073	Sw(Bl) 837- 0/13	44.9	14498.0	10.0	14508.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
41079	Cc	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H073	Sw 837-0/10	87.2	19239.0	4292.0	23531.0
41080	Cc	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H073	PIAt 538-0/18	18.2	4959.0	1521.0	6480.0
41081	Cc	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H073	Sw 837-0/11	39.3	11772.0	583.0	12355.0
41082	Cc	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H073	Sw 837-0/11	18.1	4661.0	9.0	4670.0
41083	Cc	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H073	Sw 836-0/9	209.8	59308.0	1744.0	61052.0
41084	BCd	41	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H064	At(Ep) 736- 0/18	51.2	6413.0	8443.0	14856.0
41085	BCd	41	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H074	At(Sw) 636- 0/17	64.4	8045.0	9804.0	17849.0
41086	BCc	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H074	PI 637-0/17	54.3	14973.0	573.0	15546.0
41087	Cd	41	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H074	At(PI) 537-0/18	169.0	19909.0	27516.0	47425.0
41088	Cd	41	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H064	At(PISw) 746- 0/19	80.6	12313.0	13425.0	25738.0
41089	BCc	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H064	PI(AtSb) 536- 0/18	29.4	8351.0	1245.0	9596.0
41090	BCc	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H054	PI(Sb) 536- 0/14	58.9	11828.0	398.0	12226.0
41091	BCc	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H054	PIAtSx(Sb) 636-0/18	68.6	15437.0	4985.0	20422.0
41092	BCc	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H054	PIAtSxSb 636- 0/18	63.1	12141.0	5929.0	18070.0
41093	BCd	41	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H053	AtPI(SxSb) 536-0/16	59.8	4191.0	8719.0	12910.0
41094	BCc	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H053	SxSbPl(At) 836-0/13	389.2	79502.0	11299.0	90801.0
41095	Сс	33	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H055	SxAt(Ep) 644- 0/19	73.3	12205.0	7085.0	19290.0
41096	Cd	41	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H055	AtSx 636-0/17	20.9	1641.0	4657.0	6298.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
41097	Cc	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H055	SxAt(Ep) 535- 0/15	48.2	7282.0	3838.0	11120.0
41098	Cc	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H055	SxAt 736-0/14	16.1	4314.0	847.0	5161.0
41099	Cc	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H055	SxAt 736-0/14	8.2	1912.0	663.0	2575.0
41100	Cc	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H055	Sx(AtSb) 735- 0/14	23.5	5108.0	790.0	5898.0
42002	MPMC	42	С	FOS Approved	094H098	SwAt 645-0/18	91.3	23816.7	4311.2	28128.0
42006	MPMC	42	С	FOS Approved	094H097	Sw(Ep) 536- 0/16	6.7	4167.8	93.5	4261.3
42008	MPMC	42	С	FOS Approved	094H097	Sw(EpAc) 636- 0/18	43.8	4729.4	700.0	5429.4
42010	MPMC	42	С	FOS Approved	094H097	Sw(EpAt) 746- 0/16	6.1	4140.2	1141.9	5282.1
42011	MPMC	42	С	FOS Approved	094H097	SbEpSw 734- 0/12	9.7	3005.8	165.0	3170.8
42012	MPMC	42	С	FOS Approved	094H097	SwSb 745-0/17	9.6	3938.4	92.1	4030.5
42019	MPMC	42	С	FOS Approved	0941017/018	SwAt(Ac) 845- 0/15	72.5	23557.2	2410.5	25967.7
42020	MPMC	42	С	FOS Approved	0941017/018	SwSb 735-0/14	21.3	5781.0	161.7	5942.7
42021	A84602	42	С	FOS Approved	94101700	Sw(AtSb) 845- 0/15	232.7	47635.7	9522.3	57158.0
42022	A84602	42	С	FOS Approved	94101700	Sw(Sb) 745- 0/16	130.4	27898.2	275.8	28174.0
42023	MPMC	42	С	FOS Approved	0941017/018	Sw(AtAcSb) 835-0/11	54.4	16989.7	1102.7	18092.4
42024	Cd	42	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H097	At(Sb) 747- 0/20	60.9	2678.0	14370.0	17048.0
42025	Cc	42	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H097	SwAt 646-0/19	20.8	3655.0	1697.0	5352.0
42026	Cd	42	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H097	At(Sw) 747- 0/20	49.2	2552.0	12915.0	15467.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
42027	Cc	42	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H097	Sw(EpAt) 747- 0/20	51.0	11170.0	7453.0	18623.0
43051	PV	43	D	FOS Approved	094A044	AcAt(Sx) 736- 0/16	41.6	1856.1	6077.9	7934.0
43052	BCc	43	С	FOS Approved	094A044	PISx 637-0/18	119.2	26287.7	2302.3	28590.0
43053	LP	43	D	Authorized	094A044	At(AcSx) 736- 0/16	7.3	1021.9	1125.2	2147.1
43054	LP	43	D	Authorized	094A044	SxPI(At) 736- 0/14	17.6	804.3	3116.6	3920.8
43055	LP	43	D	Authorized	094A044	AtAc 636-0/17	183.6	8008.5	38447.8	46456.3
43056	LP	43	D	Authorized	094A044	At(Ac) 736- 0/16	69.9	1793.1	11925.9	13718.9
43063	PV	43	D	FOS Approved	094A044	At(Ac) 636- 0/17	80.9	1739.8	7404.1	9143.9
43064	PV	43	D	FOS Approved	094A034	AtAc(Sx) 536- 0/16	112.1	6386.9	10672.8	17059.7
43065	Cc	43	С	FOS Approved	094A034/044	Sx(PI) 736- 0/14	14.8	1911.6	283.2	2194.8
43067	Cd	43	D	Authorized	094A035	At(Ac) 535- 0/19	37.4	629.4	6430.8	7060.1
43068	Cd	43	D	Authorized	094A035	AcAt 536-0/16	46.2	3324.6	6152.5	9477.1
43069	Cd	43	D	Authorized	094A035/045	AcAt 536-0/16	9.1	27.1	1594.6	1621.7
43073	BCd	43	D	FOS Approved	094A033	AtAc 535-0/18	22.5	264.4	6109.6	6374.0
43074	BCd	43	D	FOS Approved	094A033	At 636-0/18	48.2	0.0	16302.0	16302.0
43075	BCd	43	D	FOS Approved	094A033	AtAc 536-0/16	35.5	0.0	10481.0	10481.0
43078	BCd	43	D	FOS Approved	094A033	At(Ac) 636- 0/18	16.3	42.0	2594.0	2636.0
43079	BCd	43	D	FOS Approved	094A033	AtAc 635-0/20	69.0	3079.7	19139.4	22219.1
43080	BCd	43	D	FOS Approved	094A033	At(AcSx) 535- 0/17	35.9	460.5	10547.5	11008.0
44043	A92232	44	D	FOS Approved	094A012	At(Ac) 536- 0/16	55.9	581.3	11339.6	11920.9

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
44047	PV	44	D	Authorized	094A031	AtAc 835-0/17	93.2	12092.9	13495.2	25588.1
44048	LP	44	D	Authorized	094A031/032	At 636-0/16	25.1	1259.5	5799.7	7059.2
44050	PV	44	D	Authorized	094A032	At 636-0/15	71.0	6665.3	10036.2	16701.5
44056	LP	44	D	FOS Approved	094A032	At(Sx) 636- 0/16	165.8	8325.5	26453.5	34779.0
44059	LP	44	D	FOS Approved	094A012	AtAc 636-0/16	154.1	0.0	41607.0	41607.0
44063	Cc	44	С	Authorized	094A031	AtAc(Sx) 736- 0/17	183.5	34569.9	19827.1	54396.9
44064	PV	44	D	Authorized	094A031	At 536-0/18	139.1	10275.3	28302.3	38577.6
44068	LP	44	D	Authorized	094A022	AtAc 636-0/17	48.0	4953.8	10717.3	15671.1
44071	Cd	44	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A031	At 746-0/19	66.7	3228.0	20808.0	24036.0
44072	BCc	44	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A032	Sx 846-0/12	28.0	7259.0	261.8	7520.8
44073	BCd	44	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A032	At(PI) 636-0/16	44.4	2375.1	6069.7	8444.8
44074	Cd	44	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A023	At(Ac) 536- 0/18	37.3	3.0	6226.0	6229.0
44075	Cd	44	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A022	At(PI) 536-0/19	84.7	1941.0	12320.0	14261.0
45001	A76796	45	С	FOS Approved	94B.030	AtSx 735-0/18	137.0	15390.5	17790.5	33181.0
45007	A76795	45	D	FOS Approved	94B030	At(Sx) 735- 0/16	36.7	1857.8	3999.8	5857.6
45008	A76795	45	С	FOS Approved	94B030	Sx(At) 745- 0/15	223.3	53906.4	9446.6	63353.0
45009	A76795	45	D	FOS Approved	94B030	At(Sx) 636- 0/17	58.6	1112.6	13357.4	14470.0
45012	BCc	45	С	FOS Approved	94B030	Sx(At) 845- 0/14	72.2	34959.4	4777.6	39737.0
45013	BCd	45	D	FOS Approved	94B030	At 735-0/18	69.5	2568.5	13531.5	16100.0
45014	BCc	45	С	FOS Approved	94B030	SxAt(Ac) 735- 0/15	28.8	5377.1	5639.9	11017.0
45015	BCc	45	С	FOS Approved	94B030	SxAtSb 735- 0/13	16.3	1849.5	1450.5	3300.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
45017	A93384	45	С	FOS Approved	94A.021	Sb(Sx) 627-0/9	52.7	9989.6	4055.4	14045.0
45027	BCc	45	С	FOS Approved	094B020	PIAtSx 636- 0/18	31.6	84947.0	30812.0	115759.0
45028	A92984	45	С	FOS Approved	094B030	SxAt(Pl) 636- 0/15	60.6	14542.0	4697.0	19239.0
45029	A92240	45	D	FOS Approved	094B030	At(AcSx) 834- 0/16	49.0	1930.0	10464.0	12394.0
45030	LP	45	D	FOS Approved	094A011	At(Ac) 635- 0/17	127.7	5203.2	28668.1	33871.3
45032	BCd	45	D	FOS Approved	094B020	AtPI 635-0/14	143.1	16543.6	28128.4	44672.0
45033	BCd	45	D	FOS Approved	094B020	AtSxPI 635- 0/17	61.3	9809.1	22531.9	32341.0
45034	LP	45	D	FOS Approved	094B030	AcAt 534-0/15	63.3	623.1	13726.6	14349.7
45037	Cc	45	С	Authorized	094B030	At(Sx) 745- 0/18	47.8	8287.6	7248.8	15536.4
45041	BCd	45	D	FOS Approved	094B030	At(Sx) 835- 0/17	89.1	5621.0	13423.0	19044.0
45043	LP	45	D	FOS Approved	094A011/021/094B020	At(Ac) 636- 0/15	471.1	32937.5	100231.9	133169.4
45044	PV	45	D	Authorized	094A021	At(AcSx) 736- 0/17	230.0	29967.6	51063.8	81031.5
45045	PV	45	D	FOS Approved	094A021	PIAt 636-0/15	86.3	10674.1	17143.5	27817.6
45046	A93057	45	D	FOS Approved	094A021	At(Ac) 635- 0/17	159.9	7317.3	31496.7	38814.0
45049	LP	45	D	FOS Approved	094B030	AtSw 634-0/17	61.7	1635.2	9898.3	11533.5
45050	A93055	45	D	FOS Approved	094B030	AtSx 646-0/21	38.3	5012.9	6486.1	11499.0
45051	A93054	45	D	FOS Approved	094A011	AcAt 636-0/14	244.4	2095.3	64627.7	66723.0
45053	BCc	45	С	FOS Approved	094B030	Sx 845-0/16	44.4	24094.7	4960.3	29055.0
45054	PV	45	D	Authorized	094A021	At 636-0/16	63.3	4455.2	17845.9	22301.1

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
45055	BCc	45	С	FOS Approved	094B020	PIAt 635-0/15	49.3	10136.7	7658.3	17795.0
45056	LP	45	D	FOS Approved	094B030	At(Ac) 835- 0/17	111.6	9257.4	18342.6	27600.0
45059	Сс	09	С	FOS Approved	094B040	Sx 744-0/16	164.1	48128.8	1467.9	49596.7
45063	A76795	45	D	FOS Approved	094B030	At(Sx) 636- 0/16	27.5	2096.4	2882.6	4979.0
45064	A92236	45	С	FOS Approved	093B030	Sx 745-0/17	29.0	8858.0	1916.0	10774.0
45065	DZ	45	С	Authorized	094B030	PISx(At) 836- 0/16	16.9	3792.9	2675.2	6468.2
45066	DZ	45	С	FOS Approved	094B030	PISx 635-0/18	29.4	7190.4	0.0	7190.4
45067	Cc	45	С	Authorized	094B030	At(SxPI) 635- 0/17	6.7	1061.6	417.3	1478.9
45069	LP	45	D	FOS Approved	094B030	At(Ac) 835- 0/17	27.7	1414.7	4331.5	5746.2
45070	Cd	45	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A021	AtEp(Ac) 535- 0/17	78.1	3033.7	8645.6	11679.3
45071	BCc	45	С	FOS Approved	094A021	SxAt(PI)731- 0/14	40.9	6579.0	1370.0	7949.0
45072	A95220	45	С	FOS Approved	094A021	PI(At)734-0/13	118.9	21933.0	1646.0	23579.0
45073	BCc	45	С	FOS Approved	094A021	At(PI)636-0/15	67.5	8545.0	5698.0	14243.0
45074	A95220	45	С	FOS Approved	094A021	PISx(At)734- 0/15	182.3	38081.0	6239.0	44320.0
45075	BCc	45	С	FOS Approved	094B020	AtPISx(Ac)635- 0/15	91.4	8081.0	11502.0	19583.0
45076	BCc	45	С	FOS Approved	094A021	PISx(At)635- 0/18	47.6	8408.0	3534.0	11942.0
45077	BCc	45	С	FOS Approved	094A021	AtSxPI(Sb)635- 0/14	91.6	11213.0	2825.0	14038.0
45078	A95220	45	С	FOS Approved	094A021	PI734-0/15	33.7	7164.0	902.0	8066.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
45079	A95220	45	С	FOS Approved	094B030	PISx(Sb)635- 0/17	26.5	7664.0	255.0	7919.0
45080	BCc	45	С	FOS Approved	094B030	SxPI736-0/14	38.4	8210.0	3204.0	11414.0
45081	BCc	45	С	FOS Approved	094A021	PI(At)634-0/16	115.1	14652.0	8886.0	23538.0
45082	Cd	45	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A021	At(Ac) 636- 0/16	129.2	6069.0	14631.0	20700.0
45083	Сс	45	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A021	Sx 735-0/14	52.7	9244.0	1894.0	11138.0
45084	Cd	45	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A021	AtAc(SxPI) 735-0/17	129.4	8711.0	11581.0	20292.0
45085	Сс	45	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A021	At(AcPISx) 636-0/15	28.4	4178.0	2480.0	6658.0
45086	Cd	45	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A021	AtAc(SxPI) 636-0/17	108.6	7256.0	11726.0	18982.0
45087	Cc	45	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A021	PISx(SbAt) 737-0/14	38.4	6817.0	1369.0	8186.0
45088	Cc	45	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A021	SxPl(At) 636- 0/15	47.4	12955.0	2413.0	15368.0
45089	Cc	45	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B040	Sx(At) 745- 0/15	24.0	3221.0	1187.0	4408.0
45090	Cc	45	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B030	Sx(At) 745- 0/15	74.8	11544.0	1839.0	13383.0
45091	Cc	45	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B030	Sx 843-0/15	192.4	12675.0	9993.0	22668.0
45092	Cc	45	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B030	AtAcSx 636- 0/17	69.5	10722.0	7051.0	17773.0
45093	Cc	45	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B030	SxAt 646-0/19	185.6	35759.0	9797.0	45556.0
45094	BCd	45	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A031	At 636-0/17	24.5	654.0	4506.0	5160.0
45095	Сс	45	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B030	Sx(At) 843- 0/15	182.4	33523.0	5338.0	38861.0
45096	BCc	45	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B030	SxAt 845-0/15	91.6	10246.0	5483.0	15729.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
45097	BCc	45	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B030	SxAt 845-0/15	150.5	32199.0	7274.0	39473.0
45098	BCd	45	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B020	AtAc(Sx) 646- 0/20	43.8	1021.0	13393.0	14414.0
45099	BCd	45	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B020	AtSx(Ac) 536- 0/20	120.3	8275.0	34939.0	43214.0
45100	BCd	44	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A031	At(Sx) 845- 0/21	31.6	771.0	4436.0	5207.0
45101	BCc	45	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B030	SxAt 735-0/14	22.9	3931.0	728.0	4659.0
46001	Cc	46	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G038	SwPl 835-0/13	74.4	14484.0	386.0	14870.0
47001	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	94G055	SwSb 836-0/12	52.0	10985.5	285.5	11271.0
47002	BCc	47	С	FOS Approved	94G055	PI5310-16	36.0	7650.0	153.0	7803.0
47003	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	94G055	PISwAt 835- 0/11	80.5	14070.8	3377.2	17448.0
50001	Cd	50	D	FOS Approved	094H055	At(Ac) 646- 0/23	76.0	3417.7	15721.5	19139.2
50002	Cd	50	D	FOS Approved	094H055	At(Sx) 536- 0/20	20.9	198.3	4164.1	4362.4
50003	Cd	50	D	FOS Approved	094H055	At(SbSx) 636- 0/17	80.2	721.5	15151.1	15872.6
50004	Cd	50	D	FOS Approved	094H045/055	At(Ac) 636- 0/17	169.8	13548.4	39713.4	53261.8
50005	Cd	50	D	FOS Approved	094H045	At(Sw) 735- 0/15	37.8	3180.6	9323.1	12503.7
50006	BCd	11	D	FOS Approved	094H045	At 736-0/14	14.7	59.3	2255.7	2315.0
50007	BCd	11	D	FOS Approved	094H045	At 736-0/14	38.3	129.5	6585.5	6715.0
50008	BCd	11	D	FOS Approved	094H045	At(Sb) 735- 0/15	25.6	422.1	3945.9	4368.0
50009	BCd	11	D	FOS Approved	094H045	At 735-0/14	17.5	98.2	2576.8	2675.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
50010	Cd	50	D	FOS Approved	094H045/055	AtSw 735-0/16	84.5	3589.7	14359.0	17948.7
50011	Cd	50	D	FOS Approved	094H045	At 636-0/17	4.4	74.9	670.6	745.5
50012	Cd	50	D	FOS Approved	094H045	At 635-0/17	7.6	145.1	1088.0	1233.1
50013	Cd	50	D	FOS Approved	094H046/056	At(Sw) 635- 0/17	57.6	1212.3	10620.9	11833.2
50014	Cd	50	D	FOS Approved	094H045	At(Sb) 735- 0/15	4.7	89.0	796.6	885.6
50015	Cd	50	D	FOS Approved	094H046/056	At(Sb) 736- 0/18	10.7	499.3	2304.3	2803.6
50016	Cd	50	D	FOS Approved	094H046/056	At(SwAc) 645- 0/20	124.0	5798.7	26763.4	32562.1
50017	BCd	11	D	FOS Approved	094H046	At 735-0/17	49.3	656.1	10882.9	11539.0
50018	Cd	50	D	FOS Approved	094H056	At(Sx) 744- 0/18	107.6	2502.9	23088.0	25590.9
50019	Cc	50	С	FOS Approved	094H046	Sw(At) 744- 0/17	313.4	71898.5	8458.7	80357.2
50020	BCd	11	D	FOS Approved	094H046	At 745-0/19	17.5	258.9	4288.1	4547.0
50021	Сс	50	С	FOS Approved	094H055/065	Sx(PI) 734- 0/13	188.4	37398.4	6778.0	44176.4
50022	Cd	50	D	FOS Approved	094H055	At 536-0/18	17.0	322.1	2899.3	3221.4
50023	Cd	50	D	FOS Approved	094H055	At(Sx) 536- 0/18	7.0	140.7	1266.2	1406.9
50024	Cc	50	С	FOS Approved	094H055	Sx(AtSb) 736- 0/15	12.9	2967.8	581.9	3549.7
50025	Cd	50	D	FOS Approved	094H055	At 636-0/17	19.9	992.9	2978.8	3971.7
50026	Cd	50	D	FOS Approved	094H045	At 633-0/17	114.3	1973.8	18276.7	20250.5
50027	BCc	11	С	FOS Approved	094H045	AtSw(EpPI) 635-0/16	20.2	1059.8	2218.2	3278.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
50028	BCc	11	С	FOS Approved	094H045	SwSb(At) 735- 0/14	74.1	9018.4	8017.6	17036.0
50029	Cc	50	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H067	Sx 845-0/14	98.6	24781.8	2036.5	26818.3
50030	Сс	50	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H057	Sx(SbAt) 846- 0/10	6.3	1458.3	101.9	1560.2
50031	Cd	50	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H057	At 736-0/17	20.8	494.9	3872.4	4367.2
50032	Сс	50	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H057	Sx 844-0/12	128.0	18443.0	6289.0	24732.0
50033	Cd	50	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H057	At 746-0/18	160.7	13918.0	15445.0	29363.0
50034	Cd	50	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H057	At(Sx) 537- 0/20	38.2	3078.6	8210.7	11289.3
50035	Cc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H057	PIAt 835-0/15	9.6	1656.5	308.9	1965.4
50036	Сс	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H057	Sx 845-0/16	118.1	25185.6	13074.8	38260.4
50037	Cd	50	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H055	At 536-0/18	43.4	3350.6	5665.4	9016.0
50038	Cd	50	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H055	At(Sx) 536- 0/18	55.2	2336.1	9631.6	11967.7
50039	Cc	50	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H055	Sx(BI) 846- 0/13	251.0	28068.3	16660.3	44728.6
50040	BCc	50	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H055	Sx(At) 836- 0/12	134.2	19431.7	14619.4	34051.1
50041	BCd	50	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H055	At(Sx) 636- 0/17	29.2	2268.4	3288.4	5556.8
50042	BCc	50	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H055	SxSb(At) 736- 0/14	5.3	1105.0	168.8	1273.9
50043	BCd	50	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H055	AtSx 536-0/20	60.2	8363.2	11099.8	19463.1
50044	BCc	50	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H045	At(Sw) 535- 0/19	23.9	3729.7	3340.1	7069.8
50045	BCd	50	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H045	AtSw 635-0/18	22.9	2580.3	3541.7	6122.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
50046	BCc	50	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H045	SwAt(Sb) 644- 0/19	22.0	4802.3	2012.5	6814.7
50047	BCd	50	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H045	At 635-0/19	18.4	1000.6	4113.5	5114.1
51011	BCd	16	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H085	At 646-0/20	58.3	455.0	11771.0	12226.0
51012	BCd	16	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H075	At(Sw) 647- 0/20	38.4	4824.3	6282.0	11106.3
51013	Cd	16	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H085	At 638-0/18	168.5	18394.6	33637.5	52032.1
51014	Cd	16	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H075	SwAt 647-0/20	107.5	13378.0	15267.0	28645.0
51015	BCd	16	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H075	At(Sw) 745- 0/20	116.0	11398.7	17860.7	29259.3
51016	Cc	50	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H065	SwAtSb 536- 0/17	172.0	18623.0	13565.0	32188.0
51017	Cc	51	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H077	Sw(At) 646- 0/21	62.8	23933.0	2986.0	26919.0
51018	Cd	51	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H077	AtSw(PI) 746- 0/22	35.2	5568.0	5657.0	11225.0
51019	Cd	51	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H075	At(Sw) 646- 0/23	45.5	3702.0	9526.0	13228.0
51020	Cc	51	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H077	AtSw(PI) 745- 0/22	8.9	1419.0	1164.0	2583.0
S03003	Cc	03	С	FOS Approved	094H001	AtSw(Sb) 637- 0/15	4.7	897.7	343.1	1240.8
S03004	Cd	03	D	FOS Approved	094H001	At(PISb) 527- 0/12	39.6	4831.2	8276.4	13107.6
S03006	Cd	03	D	FOS Approved	094H001	At(PISb) 537- 0/14	19.0	1193.9	3809.0	5002.9
S03041	Cd	03	D	FOS Approved	094G009	AtPI(Sb) 636- 0/13	13.2	379.1	2500.8	2879.9
S03106	Cd	03	D	FOS Approved	094G010	At 626-0/12	2.6	8.2	162.8	171.0
S03107	Cc	03	С	FOS Approved	094G010	At 626-0/12	3.0	181.8	128.9	310.7

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
S03108	Cd	03	D	FOS Approved	094G010	At 526-0/13	13.3	295.8	1278.7	1574.5
S04025	LP	04	D	FOS Approved	094B080	PliAt(Sw) 327- 0/15	12.0	0.0	2239.6	2239.6
S04043	Cc	04	С	FOS Approved	094B080	PliAt(Sw) 327- 0/16	6.6	420.7	299.5	720.2
S04045	Cc	04	С	FOS Approved	094B080	Sw(Pli) 844- 0/17	3.2	407.6	84.7	492.2
S06090	Cd	06	D	FOS Approved	094B099/100	At 637-0/13	155.4	5719.8	18791.5	24511.3
S18020	Cd	18	D	FOS Approved	094H014	At 536-0/13	180.1	3110.4	10288.3	13398.7
S18021	Cd	18	D	FOS Approved	094H014	At(PI) 535-0/14	6.8	35.0	280.0	315.0
S18023	Cd	18	D	FOS Approved	094H014	At 535-0/16	5.6	174.0	479.0	653.0
S18024	PV	18	D	Authorized	094H014	At(PI) 435-0/19	13.4	310.7	1339.6	1650.3
S18032	Cd	18	D	FOS Approved	094H002	At(PI) 536-0/15	4.2	175.6	511.8	687.4
S18109	Cd	18	D	FOS Approved	094H013/014	At(PI) 425-0/13	25.8	369.0	1290.0	1659.0
S24011	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H011	AtPI(SwSb) 636-0/16	36.2	4032.0	4008.0	8040.0
S24012	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011	SwSbAt(PI) 536-0/20	23.2	5060.0	3400.0	8460.0
S24015	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H011	At 636-0/15	16.0	505.5	2864.6	3370.1
S24017	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H011	At(Sw) 537- 0/16	37.1	385.0	5775.0	6160.0
S24020	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011	PIAt(Sb) 737- 0/17	15.2	3925.0	3050.0	6975.0
S24021	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H001/011	At(PISb) 737- 0/17	19.5	674.7	5778.2	6452.9
S24022	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H011	AtPI 637-0/17	14.8	740.0	3050.0	3790.0
S24023	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011	PIAtSb 737- 0/14	11.4	1205.3	898.2	2103.5

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
S24024	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H011	AtPI 736-0/16	8.2	526.0	1227.3	1753.3
S24025	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H011	PIAt(Sw) 636- 0/17	3.8	322.4	483.7	806.1
S24030	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	PISwEp(At) 837-0/12	3.5	530.9	415.6	946.5
S24031	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	PISwEp(At) 826-0/10	23.1	2924.0	2662.1	5586.1
S24032	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G030	PISwEp(At) 837-0/12	14.1	1638.7	2015.2	3653.9
S24034	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G030	At(PISwEp) 835-0/16	52.5	3862.6	8092.4	11955.0
S24035	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G030	At(SwPI) 834- 0/15	17.4	907.9	2723.7	3631.6
S24061	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	At(PI) 736-0/11	12.9	1867.1	2655.9	4523.0
S24062	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G029	AtSw 631-0/16	12.4	1907.2	1259.1	3166.3
S24063	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	AtPI 734-0/17	37.2	5334.2	5415.6	10749.8
S24064	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G029	PI(At) 836-0/17	2.6	695.5	337.6	1033.1
S24065	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	AtSw 631-0/14	22.4	368.9	5532.2	5901.1
S24066	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	At 835-0/15	9.4	0.0	1965.8	1965.8
S24067	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	At 836-0/16	7.8	154.4	1592.1	1746.5
S24068	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	PISw(Sb) 725- 0/12	5.0	151.1	1043.1	1194.2
S24069	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	At(PI) 835-0/16	4.8	507.6	864.0	1371.6
S24070	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	At 834-0/16	10.1	227.7	2542.3	2770.0
S24071	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	At 835-0/16	14.7	0.0	2256.0	2256.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
S24072	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	AtPISb 531- 0/16	1.0	0.0	189.9	189.9
S24073	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G029	AtPISb 531- 0/16	3.8	398.2	373.1	771.3
S24074	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	At 835-0/16	7.2	0.0	828.0	828.0
S24075	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	At 835-0/16	4.0	109.3	1154.8	1264.1
S24076	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	AtAc 835-0/16	3.0	0.0	630.5	630.5
S24077	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	Sb 610-0/5	5.2	56.9	1397.2	1454.1
S24078	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G029	SwAt(PI) 836- 0/10	3.7	678.7	121.9	800.6
S24079	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G029	AtAc 834-0/15	15.3	2923.5	2654.2	5577.7
S24080	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	SwAt(PI) 836- 0/10	6.5	320.3	1364.1	1684.4
S24081	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	PISwAt 525- 0/12	1.9	108.1	184.6	292.7
S24082	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	At(PISbAc) 733-0/17	3.4	0.0	962.9	962.9
S24083	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G029	Sb 527-0/8	15.9	2342.7	1814.5	4157.2
S24084	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	SwAc 834-0/13	3.9	320.7	481.0	801.7
S24085	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	At(AcSb) 835- 0/15	8.0	248.9	1410.6	1659.5
S24086	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	SwAc 834-0/13	6.8	575.7	863.6	1439.3
S24088	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G020	AtSw(PI) 735- 0/17	6.5	0.0	1950.0	1950.0
S24089	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G020	AtSw(PI) 735- 0/17	11.5	114.7	3326.3	3441.0
S24090	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G020	AtSw(PI) 735- 0/17	3.3	0.0	640.0	640.0

BLOCK ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand type	Plan Status	MAPSHEET	Timber Type	GROSS AREA (HA)	CONIFER NET VOL	DECID NET VOL	TOTAL NET VOL
S24102	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G020	SwAt(PI) 636- 0/12	6.9	1212.0	132.0	1344.0
S24120	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G020/094H011	AtPI 532-0/18	17.1	934.8	4674.0	5608.8
S36001	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G017	At(PISw) 637- 0/13	19.5	324.0	2835.0	3159.0
S36009	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G017	AtSw 522-0/13	59.3	4794.0	8178.0	12972.0
S36018	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G018	AtSb(PI) 524- 0/12	4.1	0.0	580.0	580.0
S36019	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G018	At 534-0/14	27.6	0.0	2394.0	2394.0
S36020	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G018	SwAt(PI) 635- 0/11	11.4	558.0	1908.0	2466.0
S36022	Cc	36	С	FOS Approved	094G028	PIAt(Sw) 736- 0/13	37.5	4680.0	3120.0	7800.0
S36026	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G028	AtSw(PI) 623- 0/11	48.8	4162.5	4162.5	8325.0
S36027	Сс	36	С	FOS Approved	094G028	PI 626-0/12	1.9	273.6	182.4	456.0
S36028	Cc	36	С	FOS Approved	094G028	AtPI(Sw) 636- 0/13	34.9	5238.0	3492.0	8730.0
S36034	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G028/029	PIAtSw 824- 0/11	2.3	0.0	345.0	345.0
S36036	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G028/029	AtPI(Sw) 737- 0/16	22.0	2112.0	2112.0	4224.0
S43044	LP	43	D	FOS Approved	094A023	AtAc(PISb) 535-0/16	40.9	0.0	6830.7	6830.7

Table 17	FOS# 3 Block	Information	Pertinent to	SFMP Indicators
10010171		( IIII OIIII adon		

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
01108	PV	01	D	FOS Approved	094A051	Blueberry	35.3	0.00	Summer			N/A
01112	A93056	01	D	FOS Approved	94A052	Blueberry	162.8	0.00	Summer			N/A
01119	Cd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A053	Blueberry	54.2	0.00	Summer			N/A
01123	BCc	01	D	FOS Approved	094A064	Blueberry	23.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
01124	BCd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A063	Blueberry	7.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
01125	BCd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A063	Blueberry	2.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
01126	BCd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A063	Blueberry	8.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
01127	Cd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A063	Blueberry	11.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
01138	Cc	01	С	FOS Approved	094A063	Blueberry	42.3	0.00	Winter			PR
01140	BCc	01	С	FOS Approved	094A064	Blueberry	13.9	0.00	Winter			PR
01141	BCc	01	С	FOS Approved	094A064	Blueberry	24.9	0.00	Winter			PR

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
01142	LP	01	D	FOS Approved	094A064	Blueberry	60.5	0.00	Winter			М
01143	LP	01	D	FOS Approved	094A064	Blueberry	36.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
01145	BCc	01	С	FOS Approved	094A064	Blueberry	17.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
01146	BCd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A064	Blueberry	8.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
01147	BCc	01	С	FOS Approved	094A064	Blueberry	31.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
01148	BCc	01	С	FOS Approved	094A064	Blueberry	8.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
01151	BCc	01	D	FOS Approved	094A065	Blueberry	14.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
01152	LP	01	D	FOS Approved	094A064/065	Blueberry	32.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
01157	BCc	01	С	FOS Approved	094A053	Blueberry	24.5	0.00	Winter			М
01168	LP	01	D	FOS Approved	094A053	Blueberry	4.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
01169	CRL	01	С	FOS Approved	094A053	Blueberry	13.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
01170	LP	01	D	FOS Approved	094A053	Blueberry	26.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
01173	BCc	01	D	FOS Approved	094A053	Blueberry	40.2	0.00	Summer			М
01184	Cd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A054	Blueberry	29.0	0.00	Winter			PR

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
01187	Cd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A052	Blueberry	44.8	0.00	Summer			N/A
01188	Cd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A052	Blueberry	68.8	0.00	Summer			N/A
01192	PV	01	D	Authorized	094A052	Blueberry	27.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
01193	PV	01	D	FOS Approved	094A052	Blueberry	31.3	0.00	Summer			N/A
01197	Cc	01	С	FOS Approved	094A053	Blueberry	6.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
01198	LP	01	D	FOS Approved	094A053	Blueberry	16.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
01208	BCd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A052	Blueberry	16.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
01216	PV	01	D	Authorized	094A052	Blueberry	211.5	0.00	Summer			N/A
01223	PV	01	D	FOS Approved	094A042	Blueberry	11.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
01224	PV	01	D	FOS Approved	094A042/052	Blueberry	65.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
01225	A94087	01	D	FOS Approved	094A042	Blueberry	36.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
01226	A94087	01	D	FOS Approved	094A042	Blueberry	15.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
01227	A94087	01	С	FOS Approved	094A042	Blueberry	3.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
01228	PV	01	D	Authorized	094A042	Blueberry	37.4	0.00	Summer			N/A
01229	A94087	01	D	FOS Approved	094A042	Blueberry	11.8	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
01230	PV	01	D	Authorized	094A042	Blueberry	25.4	0.00	Summer			N/A
01231	PV	01	D	Authorized	094A042	Blueberry	25.3	0.00	Summer			N/A
01232	PV	01	D	Authorized	094A042	Blueberry	15.5	0.00	Summer			N/A
01233	PV	01	D	Authorized	094A042	Blueberry	19.2	0.00	Summer			N/A
01235	PV	01	D	Authorized	094A042	Blueberry	124.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
01238	PV	01	D	Authorized	094A042	Blueberry	53.2	0.00	Summer			N/A
01239	A94087	01	D	FOS Approved	094A042	Blueberry	58.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
01240	A92235	01	D	FOS Approved	094A042	Blueberry	115.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
01241	A94087	01	D	FOS Approved	094A042	Blueberry	17.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
01244	BCc	01	С	FOS Approved	094A042	Blueberry	4.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
01245	PV	01	D	Authorized	094A042	Blueberry	18.8	0.00	Summer			N/A
01246	BCd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A043	Blueberry	18.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
01247	BCd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A043	Blueberry	7.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
01250	LP	01	D	FOS Approved	094A044	Blueberry	73.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
01252	PV	01	D	Authorized	094A042	Blueberry	51.9	0.00	Summer			N/A
01254	PV	01	D	Authorized	094A042	Blueberry	3.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
01257	DZ	01	С	FOS Approved	094A042	Blueberry	67.7	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
01259	DZ	01	С	FOS Approved	094A042	Blueberry	43.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
01260	PV	01	D	Authorized	094A042	Blueberry	61.7	0.00	Summer			N/A
01262	BCd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A042	Blueberry	6.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
01263	BCd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A042	Blueberry	13.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
01265	BCd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A042	Blueberry	17.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
01266	BCd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A032	Blueberry	76.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
01267	BCd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A042	Blueberry	41.2	0.00	Summer			N/A
01268	Cc	01	С	FOS Approved	094A062	Blueberry	145.4	0.00	Winter			PR
01270	Cd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A062	Blueberry	3.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
01274	BCd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A062	Blueberry	6.2	0.00	Winter			М
01275	BCd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A062	Blueberry	10.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
01276	BCd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A062	Blueberry	9.7	0.00	Summer			N/A
01277	BCc	01	С	FOS Approved	094A062	Blueberry	11.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
01278	BCd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A062	Blueberry	22.3	0.00	Winter			М

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
01289	LP	01	D	FOS Approved	094A053	Blueberry	21.8	0.00	Summer			N/A
01290	Cd	01	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A043	Blueberry	157.7	0.00	Summer			N/A
01291	Cd	01	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A043	Blueberry	565.5	0.00	Summer			N/A
01292	Cd	01	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A043	Blueberry	77.4	0.00	Summer			N/A
01293	Cc	01	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A063	Blueberry	86.3	0.00	Winter			М
01294	Cc	01	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A063	Blueberry	62.8	0.00	Winter			PR
01295	Cc	01	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A064	Blueberry	9.0	0.00	Winter			PR
01296	Cd	01	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A052	Blueberry	6.3	0.00	Summer			N/A
01297	Cc	01	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A044	Blueberry	11.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
01298	Cd	43	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A044	Lower Beatton	64.0	0.00	Winter			М
01299	Cc	01	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A053	Blueberry	26.8	0.00	Summer			N/A
01300	Cc	01	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A062	Blueberry	26.2	0.00	Summer			N/A
01301	Cc	01	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A062	Blueberry	21.8	0.00	Summer			N/A
01302	BCd	01	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A062	Blueberry	24.6	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
01303	Cc	01	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A062	Blueberry	105.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
01304	BCd	01	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A052	Blueberry	222.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
01305	Cc	01	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A052	Blueberry	119.2	0.00	Summer			N/A
01306	Cd	01	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A052	Blueberry	77.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
01307	BCc	01	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A052	Blueberry	76.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
01308	Cd	01	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A052	Blueberry	8.6	0.00	Summer			N/A
01309	Cd	01	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A053	Blueberry	54.1	0.00	Summer			N/A
01310	BCc	01	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A052	Blueberry	24.4	0.00	Summer			N/A
01311	BCd	01	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A052	Blueberry	101.1	0.00	Summer			N/A
01312	Cc	01	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A053	Blueberry	107.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
01313	Cd	01	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A044	Blueberry	138.9	0.00	Summer			N/A
01314	Cd	01	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A044	Blueberry	76.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
01315	Cd	01	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A053	Blueberry	100.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
01317	Cc	01	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A052	Blueberry	6.9	0.00	Summer			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
01324	BCc	01	С	FOS Approved	094A054	Blueberry	36.9	0.00	Summer			PR
01325	Cc	27	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A054	Lower Beatton	30.4	0.00	Summer			N/A
01326	Cc	27	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A054	Lower Beatton	8.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
01327	Cc	01	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A054	Blueberry	5.6	0.00	Summer			N/A
01328	Cd	27	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A054	Lower Beatton	67.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
01329	Cc	27	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A055	Lower Beatton	114.3	0.00	Summer			N/A
01335	Cd	01	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A042	Blueberry	54.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
01337	Cd	26	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A042	Blueberry	32.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
01338	Cc	01	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A042	Blueberry	26.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
02021	Cc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A063	Blueberry	17.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
02024	Сс	02	С	Authorized	094A063	Blueberry	39.7	0.00	Summer			N/A
02034	Cc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A082	Blueberry	87.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
02035	MPMC	02	С	FOS Approved	094A083	Blueberry	9.9	0.00	Summer			N/A
02041	Сс	02	С	Authorized	094A063	Blueberry	76.6	0.00	Summer			PR

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
02045	Cd	02	D	FOS Approved	094A063	Blueberry	115.9	0.00	Summer			N/A
02052	Cc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A073	Blueberry	43.4	0.00	Summer			N/A
02055	Cc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A083	Blueberry	53.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
02056	Cd	02	D	FOS Approved	094A083	Blueberry	24.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
02066	MPMC	02	С	FOS Approved	094A083	Blueberry	51.6	0.00	Summer			N/A
02090	Сс	02	С	Authorized	094A063	Blueberry	57.6	0.00	Summer			N/A
02091	BCc	02	С	FOS Approved	94A073	Blueberry	74.3	0.00	Summer			N/A
02124	MPMC	02	С	FOS Approved	094A082	Blueberry	1.2	0.99	Winter			N/A
02133	Cd	02	D	FOS Approved	094A082	Blueberry	8.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
02138	BCd	02	D	FOS Approved	094A082	Blueberry	18.9	0.00	Summer			N/A
02142	Cd	18	D	FOS Approved	094A093	Blueberry	87.2	0.00	Summer			N/A
02144	Cd	02	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A083	Blueberry	9.5	0.00	Summer			N/A
02145	Cd	02	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A082/083	Blueberry	19.2	0.00	Summer			N/A
02147	MPMC	02	С	FOS Approved	094A083	Blueberry	25.7	0.00	Summer			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
02149	Cd	02	D	FOS Approved	094A083	Blueberry	22.6	0.00	Summer			N/A
02157	Cd	02	D	FOS Approved	094A063	Blueberry	10.1	0.00	Summer			N/A
02158	Cc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A063	Blueberry	11.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
02159	Cc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A063	Blueberry	12.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
02165	Сс	02	С	Authorized	094A062/072	Blueberry	121.8	0.00	Summer			N/A
02168	Сс	02	С	Authorized	094A083	Blueberry	34.2	0.00	Summer			N/A
02172	Cd	02	D	FOS Approved	094A083	Blueberry	17.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
02173	Cd	02	D	FOS Approved	094A083	Blueberry	11.2	0.00	Summer			N/A
02174	LP	02	D	Authorized	094A083	Blueberry	25.7	0.00	Summer			N/A
02176	Cd	02	D	FOS Approved	094A083	Blueberry	8.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
02177	Cd	02	D	FOS Approved	094A083	Blueberry	17.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
02181	BCc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A062	Blueberry	6.6	0.00	Summer			N/A
02182	BCc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A062	Blueberry	8.8	0.00	Summer			N/A
02183	BCc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A062	Blueberry	8.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
02184	BCc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A062	Blueberry	13.9	0.00	Summer			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
02185	BCc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A062	Blueberry	8.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
02186	BCc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A062	Blueberry	16.3	0.00	Summer			N/A
02188	LP	02	D	Authorized	094A083/093	Blueberry	26.9	0.00	Summer			N/A
02192	LP	02	С	Authorized	094A083	Blueberry	104.6	0.00	Summer			N/A
02201	Cc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A083	Blueberry	63.2	41.53	Winter			N/A
02202	Cc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A083	Blueberry	31.4	0.00	Summer			N/A
02205	LP	02	D	Authorized	094A093	Blueberry	65.5	0.00	Summer			N/A
02209	BCc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A083	Blueberry	23.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
02210	BCc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A083	Blueberry	9.9	5.09	Winter			N/A
02211	Cd	02	D	FOS Approved	094A083	Blueberry	22.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
02212	Cc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A083	Blueberry	6.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
02213	Cc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A083	Blueberry	16.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
02214	Cc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A083	Blueberry	33.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
02215	Cd	02	D	FOS Approved	094A072	Blueberry	7.1	0.00	Summer			М
02216	Cc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A072	Blueberry	65.7	0.00	Winter			М

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
02217	BCd	02	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A072/082	Blueberry	80.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
02218	Cd	02	С	FOS Approved	094A072	Blueberry	16.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
02219	Cc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A082	Blueberry	18.4	5.69	Winter			N/A
02220	Cd	02	D	FOS Approved	094A072/082	Blueberry	17.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
02221	BCc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A082	Blueberry	6.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
02222	Cd	02	D	FOS Approved	094A072	Blueberry	30.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
02223	Cc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A072	Blueberry	30.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
02224	BCd	02	D	FOS Approved	094A082	Blueberry	8.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
02225	BCc	02	D	FOS Approved	094A082	Blueberry	15.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
02226	BCc	02	D	FOS Approved	094A082	Blueberry	27.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
02227	BCc	02	D	FOS Approved	094A082	Blueberry	6.2	0.00	Summer			N/A
02228	Cd	02	D	FOS Approved	094A082	Blueberry	12.5	0.00	Summer			N/A
02229	LP	02	D	Authorized	094A072/073	Blueberry	50.1	0.00	Summer			N/A
02230	BCc	02	D	FOS Approved	094A072	Blueberry	29.4	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
02231	LP	02	D	Authorized	094A072	Blueberry	45.1	0.00	Summer			N/A
02232	BCc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A073	Blueberry	35.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
02233	LP	02	D	Authorized	094A072	Blueberry	21.7	0.00	Summer			N/A
02234	Cd	02	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A072/073	Blueberry	46.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
02241	A18154	02	С	Authorized	094A072	Blueberry	10.7	0.00	Summer			N/A
02242	LP	02	D	Authorized	094A072	Blueberry	39.3	0.00	Summer			N/A
02251	Cc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A071	Blueberry	24.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
02253	Сс	02	С	Authorized	094A071	Blueberry	20.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
02256	Сс	02	С	Authorized	094A071	Blueberry	43.0	0.00	Summer			PR
02257	Сс	02	С	Authorized	094A071/072	Blueberry	52.2	0.00	Winter			PR
02259	Cd	02	D	FOS Approved	094A071	Blueberry	7.9	0.00	Summer			М
02260	A94070	02	С	FOS Approved	094A071	Blueberry	8.8	0.00	Summer			М
02265	A94102	02	С	FOS Approved	094A071	Blueberry	64.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
02266	A94102	02	С	FOS Approved	094A071	Blueberry	30.1	0.00	Summer			М
02274	Cc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A062	Blueberry	20.7	0.00	Winter			PR
02275	Cc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A062/063	Blueberry	105.1	0.00	Winter			PR

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
02277	A94070	02	С	FOS Approved	094A072	Blueberry	22.6	0.00	Summer			R
02280	Cd	02	D	FOS Approved	094A062	Blueberry	18.5	0.00	Winter			PR
02298	Cc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A083	Blueberry	43.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
02302	BCc	02	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A073	Blueberry	24.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
02303	BCc	02	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A073	Blueberry	9.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
02304	BCd	02	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A063	Blueberry	17.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
02305	BCc	02	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A062	Blueberry	39.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
02306	Сс	02	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A072	Blueberry	62.4	0.00	Summer			PR
02308	Сс	02	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A072	Blueberry	45.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
02309	Cd	02	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A072	Blueberry	146.5	0.00	Winter			М
02310	BCc	02	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A072	Blueberry	26.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
02311	Cc	02	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A072	Blueberry	42.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
02312	Cd	02	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A073	Blueberry	8.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
02313	BCc	02	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A083	Blueberry	76.9	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
02314	Cc	02	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A083	Blueberry	28.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
02315	Cd	02	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A074	Blueberry	113.2	0.00	Summer			N/A
02322	Cd	02	D	FOS Approved	094A084	Blueberry	92.1	0.00	Summer			N/A
02323	Cc	02	С	FOS Approved	094A074	Blueberry	14.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
02325	Cd	02	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A084	Blueberry	31.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
02326	Cc	02	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A093	Blueberry	45.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
02327	Cc	02	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A093	Blueberry	47.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
02328	Cc	02	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A083	Blueberry	101.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
02329	Cc	02	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A084	Blueberry	22.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
02330	Cc	02	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A084	Blueberry	11.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
02332	Cc	02	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A084	Blueberry	10.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
02333	BCc	02	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A083	Blueberry	10.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
02334	Cc	02	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A073	Blueberry	13.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
02335	Cd	02	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A072	Blueberry	6.8	0.00	Summer			М

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
02336	Cc	29	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A084	Blueberry	9.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
02337	Cc	02	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A073	Blueberry	13.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
03034	DZ	03	С	FOS Approved	094G008	Blueberry	47.1	45.36	Winter			N/A
03039	A94094	03	D	FOS Approved	94B099	Blueberry	28.8	0.00	Summer			N/A
03040	A94094	03	С	FOS Approved	94B099	Blueberry	66.3	0.00	Summer			N/A
03082	MPMC	03	С	FOS Approved	094H001	Blueberry	30.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
03083	MPMC	03	С	FOS Approved	094H001	Blueberry	58.9	8.35	Winter			N/A
03090	DZ	03	С	FOS Approved	094G009	Blueberry	75.1	0.00	Summer			М
03091	DZ	03	С	Authorized	094G008/009	Blueberry	20.2	0.00	Winter			R
03092	Сс	03	С	Authorized	094G009	Blueberry	46.5	0.00	Summer			N/A
03095	Сс	03	С	Authorized	094G009	Blueberry	90.2	0.00	Summer			N/A
03097	Cd	03	D	FOS Approved	094B100	Blueberry	50.1	0.00	Summer			PR
03099	DZ	03	С	FOS Approved	094G010	Blueberry	89.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
03101	DZ	03	С	FOS Approved	094A091/094B1 00	Blueberry	206.6	15.14	Summer			N/A
03110	MPMC	03	С	FOS Approved	094H001	Blueberry	96.7	0.00	Summer			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
03111	A94392	03	С	FOS Approved	094H001	Blueberry	165.1	0.00	Summer			N/A
03115	DZ	03	С	FOS Approved	094G008/009/01 8	Blueberry	138.0	85.50	Summer			MM
03116	DZ	03	С	FOS Approved	094A091/094B1 00	Blueberry	243.6	5.21	Summer			N/A
03118	A94068	03	С	FOS Approved	094H001	Blueberry	89.9	0.00	Summer			N/A
03123	A94392	03	С	FOS Approved	094H001	Blueberry	139.1	2.42	Summer			N/A
03124	A56771	03	С	FOS Approved	094H001	Blueberry	165.1	0.00	Summer			N/A
03134	BCc	03	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A091	Blueberry	74.1	0.19	Winter			N/A
04033	Cc	04	С	FOS Approved	094A061	Blueberry	26.6	0.00	Summer			N/A
04034	Cc	04	С	FOS Approved	094A061	Blueberry	4.8	0.00	Summer			N/A
04040	Cc	04	С	FOS Approved	094B070	Blueberry	28.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
04041	Cc	04	С	FOS Approved	094B070/080	Blueberry	18.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
04073	DZ	04	С	FOS Approved	094A061	Blueberry	71.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
04075	DZ	04	С	Authorized	094A061	Blueberry	67.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
04077	Dz	04	С	FOS Approved	094A061	Blueberry	12.6	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
04078	PV	04	D	Authorized	094A051	Blueberry	7.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
04086	DZ	04	С	FOS Approved	094A061	Blueberry	22.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
04087	DZ	04	С	FOS Approved	094A061	Blueberry	15.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
04088	DZ	04	С	Authorized	094A061	Blueberry	6.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
04089	DZ	04	С	Authorized	094A061	Blueberry	30.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
04090	LP	04	D	FOS Approved	094A061	Blueberry	14.8	0.00	Summer			N/A
04092	PV	04	D	FOS Approved	094A061	Blueberry	50.4	0.00	Summer			N/A
04093	LP	04	D	FOS Approved	094A061	Blueberry	5.3	0.00	Summer			N/A
04097	PV	04	D	Authorized	094A061/094B0 70	Blueberry	272.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
04099	PV	04	D	Authorized	094B070	Blueberry	205.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
04100	PV	04	D	Authorized	094B070	Blueberry	39.7	0.00	Summer			N/A
04102	PV	04	D	FOS Approved	094A061	Blueberry	70.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
04103	PV	04	D	Authorized	094B070	Blueberry	194.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
04114	BCd	04	D	FOS Approved	094A061	Blueberry	57.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
04115	A93053	04	D	FOS Approved	94A061	Blueberry	21.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
04116	A93053	04	D	FOS Approved	94A061	Blueberry	86.0	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
04117	BCc	04	D	FOS Approved	094B070	Blueberry	5.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
04118	A93053	04	D	FOS Approved	94A061	Blueberry	6.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
04120	LP	04	D	FOS Approved	094A061	Blueberry	114.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
04125	Cc	04	С	Authorized	094A071	Blueberry	33.3	0.00	Summer			N/A
04127	Cc	04	С	Authorized	094A071	Blueberry	46.7	0.00	Summer			М
04130	LP	04	D	FOS Approved	094A071	Blueberry	11.0	0.00	Summer			М
04131	LP	04	D	FOS Approved	094A071	Blueberry	5.1	0.00	Summer			N/A
04136	Cc	04	С	FOS Approved	094A071	Blueberry	3.5	0.00	Summer			N/A
04137	Cc	04	С	Authorized	094A071	Blueberry	102.4	0.00	Summer			N/A
04143	LP	04	D	FOS Approved	094A071	Blueberry	11.2	0.00	Summer			PR
04144	LP	04	D	FOS Approved	094A071	Blueberry	5.0	0.00	Winter			PR
04145	Cd	04	D	FOS Approved	094A071	Blueberry	8.8	0.00	Winter			PR
04146	LP	04	D	FOS Approved	094A071	Blueberry	14.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
04147	A94065	04	С	FOS Approved	094A071	Blueberry	17.2	0.00	Winter			М
04148	A94065	04	С	FOS Approved	094A071	Blueberry	47.2	0.00	Summer			PR

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
04149	BCd	04	D	FOS Approved	094A071	Blueberry	19.3	0.00	Summer			М
04151	Cc	04	С	Authorized	094A071	Blueberry	42.2	0.00	Summer			N/A
04158	LP	04	D	FOS Approved	094A071	Blueberry	23.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
04174	Cc	04	С	FOS Approved	094A071	Blueberry	38.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
04175	BCc	04	С	FOS Approved	094A071	Blueberry	28.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
04177	PV	04	D	Authorized	094A061	Blueberry	36.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
04185	PV	04	D	Authorized	094A061/094B0 70	Blueberry	27.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
04186	PV	04	D	FOS Approved	094A061/094B0 70	Blueberry	18.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
04188	PV	04	D	Authorized	094B070	Blueberry	21.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
04191	LP	04	D	FOS Approved	094B080	Blueberry	9.6	0.00	Summer			N/A
04198	BCd	04	D	FOS Approved	094B070	Blueberry	9.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
04199	BCc	04	D	FOS Approved	094B070	Blueberry	2.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
04200	A93053	04	D	FOS Approved	94B070	Blueberry	21.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
04201	BCc	04	С	FOS Approved	094B070	Blueberry	19.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
04202	BCc	04	С	FOS Approved	094B070	Blueberry	2.0	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
04203	BCc	04	С	FOS Approved	094B070	Blueberry	22.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
04204	BCd	04	D	FOS Approved	094A061	Blueberry	63.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
04205	BCd	04	D	FOS Approved	094A051	Blueberry	31.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
04206	Cc	04	С	FOS Approved	094A051/052	Blueberry	61.4	0.00	Summer			N/A
04211	Cc	04	С	FOS Approved	094A052	Blueberry	188.6	0.00	Summer			N/A
04212	BCd	04	D	FOS Approved	094A051	Blueberry	23.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
04223	BCc	04	С	FOS Approved	094A051	Blueberry	49.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
04232	A94069	04	С	FOS Approved	094A062	Blueberry	63.3	0.00	Summer			PR
04233	BCc	04	С	FOS Approved	094A061	Blueberry	4.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
04234	BCc	04	D	FOS Approved	094A061	Blueberry	21.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
04235	BCd	04	D	FOS Approved	094A061	Blueberry	7.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
04236	BCc	04	С	FOS Approved	094A061	Blueberry	4.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
04237	BCd	04	D	FOS Approved	094A062	Blueberry	7.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
04238	BCd	04	D	FOS Approved	094A062	Blueberry	15.8	0.00	Winter			М

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
04239	BCd	01	D	FOS Approved	094A062	Blueberry	13.1	0.00	Winter			М
04240	BCd	04	D	FOS Approved	094A061	Blueberry	18.3	0.00	Summer			N/A
04241	DZ	04	С	Authorized	094A061	Blueberry	17.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
04242	LP	04	D	FOS Approved	094A061	Blueberry	40.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
04243	PV	04	D	FOS Approved	094A061	Blueberry	11.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
04257	BCd	04	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A051	Blueberry	42.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
04258	BCc	04	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A051	Blueberry	17.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
04259	BCd	04	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A051	Blueberry	52.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
04260	A18154	04	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A061	Blueberry	106.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
04261	Cd	04	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B070	Blueberry	77.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
04262	Cc	04	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A061	Blueberry	99.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
04265	Cc	04	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B070	Blueberry	37.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
04266	Cc	04	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A061	Blueberry	128.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
04267	Cc	04	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A061	Blueberry	64.2	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
04268	Cc	04	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A061	Blueberry	75.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
04269	Cc	04	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A061	Blueberry	49.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
04270	Cc	04	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A061	Blueberry	30.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
04271	Cc	04	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A061	Blueberry	66.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
04272	BCc	04	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A071	Blueberry	46.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
04274	BCc	04	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A061	Blueberry	29.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
04276	Cc	04	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A061	Blueberry	50.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
04277	BCd	04	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B070	Blueberry	82.2	0.00	Summer			N/A
04278	Cd	04	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A061	Blueberry	102.1	0.00	Summer			N/A
04279	Cc	04	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B070	Blueberry	49.2	0.00	Summer			N/A
04280	Cc	04	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A061	Blueberry	51.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
05027	A94061	05	С	FOS Approved	094B060	Blueberry	17.9	4.77	Winter			N/A
05028	A94062	05	С	FOS Approved	094B060	Blueberry	5.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
05029	A94061	05	С	FOS Approved	094B060	Blueberry	25.8	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
05030	A94061	05	С	FOS Approved	094B070	Blueberry	80.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
05031	A94061	05	С	FOS Approved	094B060	Blueberry	6.2	6.05	Summer			N/A
05034	BCc	05	С	FOS Approved	094B070	Blueberry	3.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
05035	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094A051	Blueberry	29.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
05036	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094A051	Blueberry	41.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
05037	BCc	05	D	FOS Approved	094A051	Blueberry	42.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
05038	BCd	05	D	FOS Approved	094A051	Blueberry	16.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
05039	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094B060	Blueberry	13.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
05040	LP	05	С	FOS Approved	094B060	Blueberry	23.9	0.00	Summer			N/A
05041	BCc	05	С	FOS Approved	094A051	Blueberry	3.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
05042	BCc	05	С	FOS Approved	094A051	Blueberry	17.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
05043	BCc	05	С	FOS Approved	094A051	Blueberry	16.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
05044	LP	05	D	FOS Approved	094A051	Blueberry	15.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
05045	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094A051	Blueberry	48.3	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
05046	LP	05	D	FOS Approved	094A051	Blueberry	20.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
05047	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094A051	Blueberry	32.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
05048	Cc	05	С	FOS Approved	094A051	Blueberry	80.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
05049	LP	05	D	FOS Approved	094B060	Blueberry	10.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
05050	LP	05	D	FOS Approved	094A051/094B0 60	Blueberry	4.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
05051	LP	05	D	FOS Approved	094A051	Blueberry	15.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
05053	A94061	05	С	FOS Approved	094B070	Blueberry	3.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
05054	A94063	05	С	FOS Approved	094B070	Blueberry	27.4	0.00	Summer			N/A
05056	A94079	05	D	FOS Approved	094B060	Blueberry	12.2	4.86	Summer			N/A
05057	A94062	05	С	FOS Approved	094B060	Blueberry	50.4	33.35	Winter			N/A
05061	A94079	05	D	FOS Approved	094B060	Blueberry	35.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
05062	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094B060	Blueberry	14.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
05063	Cd	05	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A051	Blueberry	61.9	0.00	Summer			N/A
05064	A94091	05	D	FOS Approved	094A051	Blueberry	91.2	0.00	Summer			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
05065	LP	05	D	FOS Approved	094A051	Blueberry	17.9	0.00	Summer			N/A
05066	BCc	05	С	FOS Approved	094A051	Blueberry	9.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
05067	BCc	05	С	FOS Approved	094A051	Blueberry	75.7	0.00	Summer			N/A
05068	LP	05	D	FOS Approved	094A051	Blueberry	18.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
05069	Cd	05	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A051	Blueberry	192.3	0.00	Summer			N/A
05070	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094A051	Blueberry	11.4	0.00	Summer			N/A
05071	LP	05	D	FOS Approved	094B060	Blueberry	48.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
05072	LP	05	D	FOS Approved	094A051	Blueberry	71.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
05073	LP	05	D	FOS Approved	094A051	Blueberry	56.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
05074	DZ	05	D	FOS Approved	094A051	Blueberry	5.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
05077	LP	05	D	FOS Approved	094B069	Blueberry	10.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
05078	LP	05	D	FOS Approved	094B079	Blueberry	63.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
05079	A94059	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	Blueberry	93.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
05081	LP	05	D	FOS Approved	094B069	Blueberry	31.5	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
05082	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	Blueberry	62.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
05083	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	Blueberry	41.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
05084	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	Blueberry	16.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
05085	A94059	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	Blueberry	21.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
05087	BCc	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	Blueberry	41.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
05088	LP	05	D	FOS Approved	094B069	Blueberry	21.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
05089	LP	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	Blueberry	49.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
05090	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	Blueberry	56.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
05091	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	Blueberry	99.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
05092	BCc	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	Blueberry	40.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
05093	BCc	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	Blueberry	10.7	10.43	Winter			N/A
05094	BCc	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	Blueberry	36.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
05095	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	Blueberry	77.3	2.95	Winter			N/A
05096	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	Blueberry	4.7	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
05097	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	Blueberry	3.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
05098	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	Blueberry	4.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
05099	BCd	05	D	FOS Approved	094B069	Blueberry	39.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
05100	LP	05	D	FOS Approved	094B069	Blueberry	29.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
05101	A94093	05	С	FOS Approved	094B068	Blueberry	195.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
05102	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	Blueberry	23.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
05103	LP	05	D	FOS Approved	094B059/069	Blueberry	38.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
05104	BCc	05	С	FOS Approved	094B059	Blueberry	61.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
05105	BCc	05	С	FOS Approved	094B059	Blueberry	32.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
05106	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094B059	Blueberry	38.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
05109	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094B059	Blueberry	39.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
05110	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094B059	Blueberry	22.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
05111	BCc	05	С	FOS Approved	094B059	Blueberry	26.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
05112	BCc	05	С	FOS Approved	094B059	Blueberry	22.2	0.00	Summer			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
05113	BCd	05	D	FOS Approved	094B059	Blueberry	8.2	0.00	Summer			N/A
05114	BCc	05	D	FOS Approved	094B059	Blueberry	34.3	0.00	Summer			N/A
05115	BCc	05	D	FOS Approved	094B059	Blueberry	6.5	0.00	Summer			N/A
05116	BCd	05	D	FOS Approved	094B059	Blueberry	5.6	0.00	Summer			N/A
05117	BCc	05	С	FOS Approved	094B059	Blueberry	15.7	0.00	Summer			N/A
05118	BCd	05	D	FOS Approved	094B059	Blueberry	17.6	0.00	Summer			N/A
05119	BCd	05	D	FOS Approved	094B059	Blueberry	24.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
05122	BCd	05	D	FOS Approved	094B059	Blueberry	27.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
05123	PV	05	D	Authorized	094B060	Blueberry	46.4	0.00	Summer			N/A
05124	BCc	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	Blueberry	13.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
05125	BCd	05	D	FOS Approved	094B069	Blueberry	43.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
05126	BCc	05	D	FOS Approved	094B069	Blueberry	12.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
05127	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	Blueberry	41.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
05128	DZ	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	Blueberry	25.0	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
05130	LP	05	D	FOS Approved	094A051	Blueberry	8.2	0.00	Summer			N/A
05131	LP	05	D	FOS Approved	094A051	Blueberry	8.5	0.00	Summer			N/A
05134	Cc	05	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B060	Blueberry	10.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
05135	Cc	05	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B060	Blueberry	21.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
05136	BCd	05	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B060	Blueberry	41.4	0.00	Summer			N/A
05137	Cc	05	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B060	Blueberry	78.5	0.00	Summer			N/A
05138	Cd	05	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B060	Blueberry	96.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
05139	Cc	05	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B060	Blueberry	13.4	0.00	Summer			N/A
05140	Cd	05	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B060	Blueberry	27.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
05141	Cc	05	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B060	Blueberry	47.7	0.00	Summer			N/A
05142	Cd	05	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B069	Blueberry	168.7	0.00	Summer			N/A
05143	A18154	05	С	FOS Approved	094B070	Blueberry	20.7	0.00	Summer			N/A
05144	A18154	05	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B070	Blueberry	16.5	0.00	Summer			N/A
05145	A18154	05	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B070	Blueberry	18.0	0.00	Summer			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
05146	Cc	05	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B070	Blueberry	4.4	0.00	Summer			N/A
05147	Cc	05	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B070	Blueberry	6.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
05148	Cc	05	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B070	Blueberry	3.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
05149	Cc	05	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B069	Blueberry	5.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
05150	Cd	05	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A051	Blueberry	198.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
05151	BCc	05	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B079	Blueberry	81.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
05152	BCd	05	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B069	Blueberry	24.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
05153	BCd	05	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B069	Blueberry	71.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
05154	BCd	05	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B068	Blueberry	79.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
05155	A18154	05	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B070	Blueberry	26.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
06024	Cc	06	С	FOS Approved	094B099	Blueberry	114.0	0.00	Summer			М
06032	A94089	06	D	FOS Approved	094B090	Blueberry	57.1	0.00	Summer			MM
06034	PV	06	С	FOS Approved	094B070/080	Blueberry	329.6	0.00	Summer			N/A
06035	PV	06	D	Authorized	094B079	Blueberry	614.9	0.00	Summer			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
06036	Cc	06	С	FOS Approved	094B079	Blueberry	51.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
06037	PV	06	D	Authorized	094B079/080	Blueberry	119.9	0.00	Summer			N/A
06038	A94075	06	D	FOS Approved	094B079	Blueberry	193.9	0.00	Summer			N/A
06040	BCc	06	С	FOS Approved	094B090	Blueberry	100.9	0.00	Summer			М
06043	BCc	06	С	FOS Approved	094B090	Blueberry	67.6	0.00	Summer			М
06044	PV	06	D	Authorized	094B079/089	Blueberry	371.6	0.00	Summer			N/A
06045	PV	06	D	Authorized	094B088	Blueberry	22.8	0.00	Summer			N/A
06048	A93672	06	С	FOS Approved	094B090	Blueberry	31.5	0.00	Summer			MM
06049	A93059	06	D	FOS Approved	94B089	Blueberry	116.1	0.00	Summer			N/A
06054	A93672	06	С	FOS Approved	094B090	Blueberry	24.9	0.00	Summer			N/A
06055	A94064	06	С	FOS Approved	094B090	Blueberry	93.4	0.00	Summer			PR
06056	Сс	06	С	Authorized	094B088	Blueberry	30.8	0.00	Summer			N/A
06058	LP	06	С	FOS Approved	094B090	Blueberry	90.5	0.08	Summer			М
06059	A94071	06	С	FOS Approved	094B089	Blueberry	18.6	0.00	Summer			N/A
06061	A94088	06	D	FOS Approved	094B090	Blueberry	53.3	0.00	Summer			PR
06062	LP	06	D	Authorized	094B088/098	Blueberry	136.6	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
06065	A93672	06	С	FOS Approved	094B090	Blueberry	113.9	0.00	Summer			М
06066	Cc	06	С	FOS Approved	094B100	Blueberry	16.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
06070	A94071	06	С	FOS Approved	094B099	Blueberry	42.5	0.00	Summer			М
06073	LP	06	D	Authorized	094B098/099	Blueberry	54.6	0.00	Winter			М
06075	A93671	06	С	FOS Approved	094B099	Blueberry	33.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
06076	Cc	06	С	FOS Approved	094B098	Blueberry	3.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
06077	Cc	06	С	FOS Approved	094B098	Blueberry	18.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
06079	LP	06	D	FOS Approved	094B098	Blueberry	97.6	0.00	Winter			М
06084	LP	06	D	FOS Approved	094B099	Blueberry	53.8	0.00	Summer			М
06085	Cc	06	С	FOS Approved	094B098/094G0 08	Blueberry	41.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
06086	Cd	06	D	FOS Approved	094B097/098/09 4G007	Blueberry	422.7	0.00	Summer			N/A
06087	BCc	06	С	FOS Approved	094G008	Blueberry	80.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
06091	Cd	06	D	FOS Approved	094B070	Blueberry	66.1	0.00	Summer			N/A
06092	PV	06	D	Authorized	094B079	Blueberry	143.3	0.00	Summer			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
06098	Cd	06	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B079	Blueberry	14.3	0.00	Summer			N/A
06099	Cd	06	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B079	Blueberry	74.7	0.00	Summer			N/A
06100	Cc	06	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B079	Blueberry	199.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
06101	Cd	06	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B079	Blueberry	160.2	0.00	Summer			N/A
06102	Cd	06	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B080	Blueberry	38.7	0.00	Summer			N/A
06103	Cd	06	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B080	Blueberry	87.3	0.00	Summer			N/A
06104	A60049	06	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B080	Blueberry	99.8	0.00	Summer			N/A
06105	BCd	04	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B080	Blueberry	54.2	0.00	Summer			N/A
06106	Cc	06	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B080	Blueberry	26.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
06107	Cd	06	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B080	Blueberry	116.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
06108	PV	06	D	Authorized	094B079	Blueberry	136.3	0.00	Summer			N/A
06109	Cd	06	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B079	Blueberry	13.4	0.00	Summer			N/A
06110	Cc	06	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B079	Blueberry	40.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
06111	Cd	06	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B089	Blueberry	88.0	0.00	Summer			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
06113	BCc	06	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B089	Blueberry	16.3	0.00	Summer			N/A
06114	BCc	06	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B089	Blueberry	9.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
06115	BCc	06	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B089	Blueberry	87.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
06116	Cd	06	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B098	Blueberry	21.2	0.00	Summer			М
06117	Cc	06	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B098	Blueberry	50.7	0.00	Summer			N/A
06118	Cd	06	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B099	Blueberry	92.8	0.00	Summer			PR
06119	BCc	06	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B100	Blueberry	131.2	0.00	Winter			PR
06120	Cc	06	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B100	Blueberry	66.7	0.00	Summer			PR
06121	Cd	06	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B099	Blueberry	21.0	0.00	Summer			PR
06122	Cc	06	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B097	Blueberry	22.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
06123	Cc	06	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B097	Blueberry	16.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
06124	Cd	06	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B080	Blueberry	18.8	0.00	Summer			N/A
06125	Cd	06	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B079	Blueberry	26.5	0.00	Summer			N/A
06126	Cd	06	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B079	Blueberry	22.0	0.00	Summer			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
06127	Cc	06	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B089	Blueberry	38.6	0.00	Summer			N/A
06128	Cc	06	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B089	Blueberry	25.7	0.00	Summer			N/A
06129	BCd	06	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B089	Blueberry	26.6	0.00	Winter			MM
06130	LP	06	С	FOS Approved	094B090	Blueberry	10.4	0.00	Winter			М
07024	Cd	07	D	FOS Approved	094G080	Tommy Lakes	80.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
07026	MPMC	07	D	FOS Approved	094H041	Tommy Lakes	202.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
07027	Cd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H041/051/05 2	Tommy Lakes	55.2	0.00	Summer			N/A
07028	BCd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H052	Tommy Lakes	113.9	0.00	Summer			N/A
07029	Cd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H042/052	Tommy Lakes	47.7	0.00	Summer			N/A
07030	BCd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H052	Tommy Lakes	82.6	6.13	Summer			N/A
07031	Cd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H052	Tommy Lakes	67.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
07032	MPMC	07	С	FOS Approved	094H051	Tommy Lakes	121.3	0.00	Summer			N/A
07033	Cd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H051/052	Tommy Lakes	369.4	0.00	Summer			N/A
07034	Cd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H052/062	Tommy Lakes	1334.5	4.80	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
07035	Cd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H052	Tommy Lakes	151.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
07037	Cd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H051	Tommy Lakes	74.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
07038	Cd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H051/052	Tommy Lakes	11.7	0.00	Summer			N/A
07039	Cc	07	D	FOS Approved	094H052	Tommy Lakes	106.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
07040	Cd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H052	Tommy Lakes	224.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
07041	MPMC	07	С	FOS Approved	094H051/061	Tommy Lakes	74.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
07042	Cd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H051	Tommy Lakes	73.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
07043	Cd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H051	Tommy Lakes	42.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
07044	Cd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H051/052	Tommy Lakes	112.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
07045	Cc	07	С	FOS Approved	094H052	Tommy Lakes	567.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
07046	BCc	07	С	FOS Approved	094H062	Tommy Lakes	394.5	10.19	Winter			N/A
07047	MPMC	07	С	FOS Approved	094H061	Tommy Lakes	261.1	23.13	Summer			N/A
07048	BCd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H061	Tommy Lakes	51.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
07049	BCc	07	С	FOS Approved	094H061	Tommy Lakes	69.4	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
07050	BCd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H061	Tommy Lakes	34.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
07051	Cd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H062	Tommy Lakes	228.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
07052	Cc	07	С	FOS Approved	094G070	Tommy Lakes	78.6	18.63	Summer			N/A
07053	Cc	07	С	FOS Approved	094G070/094H0 61	Tommy Lakes	233.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
07054	BCc	07	С	FOS Approved	094H061	Tommy Lakes	89.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
07055	MPMC	07	С	FOS Approved	094H061	Tommy Lakes	122.4	0.34	Winter			N/A
07056	Cd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H062	Tommy Lakes	210.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
07057	Cd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H062	Tommy Lakes	210.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
07058	BCd	07	D	FOS Approved	094G080	Tommy Lakes	128.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
07059	BCc	07	С	FOS Approved	094H071	Tommy Lakes	74.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
07060	Cd	08	D	FOS Approved	094H071	Tommy Lakes	93.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
07061	Cc	07	С	FOS Approved	094H062/072	Tommy Lakes	239.8	0.00	Summer			N/A
07062	BCc	08	D	FOS Approved	094H072	Tommy Lakes	122.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
07064	Cd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H052	Tommy Lakes	210.4	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
07066	Cd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H052/062	Tommy Lakes	323.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
07067	Cc	07	С	FOS Approved	094H062	Tommy Lakes	163.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
07070	Cd	07	D	FOS Approved	094H052	Tommy Lakes	14.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
07071	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G070	Tommy Lakes	44.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
07072	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G070	Tommy Lakes	35.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
07073	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H061	Tommy Lakes	8.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
07074	Cd	07	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H072	Tommy Lakes	9.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
07080	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G070	Tommy Lakes	31.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
07081	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G070	Tommy Lakes	52.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
07082	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G070	Tommy Lakes	91.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
07083	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H061	Tommy Lakes	162.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
07084	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H061	Tommy Lakes	70.5	1.64	Winter			N/A
07085	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G070	Tommy Lakes	120.0	2.05	Winter			N/A
07086	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H061	Tommy Lakes	117.7	6.98	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
07087	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H061	Tommy Lakes	159.7	5.60	Winter			N/A
07088	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H061	Tommy Lakes	13.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
07089	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H061	Tommy Lakes	35.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
07090	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H061	Tommy Lakes	74.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
07092	BCd	07	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H061	Tommy Lakes	142.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
07093	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G070	Tommy Lakes	68.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
07094	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G070	Tommy Lakes	134.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
07095	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G060	Tommy Lakes	121.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
07096	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H051	Tommy Lakes	45.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
07097	Cd	07	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H071	Tommy Lakes	55.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
07098	Cd	07	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H071	Tommy Lakes	107.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
07099	Cd	07	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H071	Tommy Lakes	54.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
07100	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H061	Tommy Lakes	86.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
07101	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H061	Tommy Lakes	191.5	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
07102	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H061	Tommy Lakes	12.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
07103	Cd	07	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H061	Tommy Lakes	180.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
07104	Cd	07	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H071	Tommy Lakes	51.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
07105	Cd	07	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H061	Tommy Lakes	115.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
07106	Cd	07	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H062	Tommy Lakes	314.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
07107	Cd	07	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H062	Tommy Lakes	57.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
07108	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H072	Tommy Lakes	155.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
07109	Cc	08	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H072	Tommy Lakes	148.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
07110	Cc	08	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H072	Tommy Lakes	150.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
07111	Cd	07	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H072	Tommy Lakes	224.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
07112	Cd	07	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H062	Tommy Lakes	120.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
07113	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H041	Tommy Lakes	70.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
07114	BCd	07	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H052	Tommy Lakes	27.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
07115	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H052	Tommy Lakes	33.8	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
07116	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H052	Tommy Lakes	77.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
07117	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H052	Tommy Lakes	189.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
07118	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H052	Tommy Lakes	36.4	18.99	Winter			N/A
07119	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H052	Tommy Lakes	61.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
07120	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H052	Tommy Lakes	68.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
07121	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H052	Tommy Lakes	94.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
07122	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H052	Tommy Lakes	116.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
07123	Cd	07	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H052	Tommy Lakes	88.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
07124	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H042	Tommy Lakes	34.7	26.84	Winter			N/A
07125	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H042	Tommy Lakes	67.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
07126	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H042	Tommy Lakes	56.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
07127	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H042	Tommy Lakes	15.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
07128	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H052	Tommy Lakes	32.4	5.57	Winter			N/A
07129	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H042	Tommy Lakes	90.0	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
07130	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H042	Tommy Lakes	20.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
07131	BCc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H042	Tommy Lakes	22.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
07132	BCd	07	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H052	Tommy Lakes	49.6	0.15	Winter			N/A
07133	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H052	Tommy Lakes	143.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
07134	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H052	Tommy Lakes	130.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
08046	Cc	08	С	FOS Approved	094H081	Tommy Lakes	69.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
08047	Cc	08	С	FOS Approved	094H081	Tommy Lakes	219.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
08048	Cc	08	С	FOS Approved	094H081	Tommy Lakes	1.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
08049	Cc	08	С	FOS Approved	094H081/091	Tommy Lakes	61.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
08050	Cc	08	С	FOS Approved	094H081/091	Tommy Lakes	34.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
08052	BCc	08	D	FOS Approved	094H072	Tommy Lakes	227.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
08053	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G070	Tommy Lakes	34.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
08054	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G070	Tommy Lakes	54.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
08055	BCc	08	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G080	Tommy Lakes	114.9	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
08056	Cd	08	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094G080	Tommy Lakes	32.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
08057	Cd	08	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H081	Tommy Lakes	64.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
08058	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G070	Tommy Lakes	47.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
08059	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G070	Tommy Lakes	14.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
08060	Cc	07	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G070	Tommy Lakes	14.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
09021	DZ	09	С	FOS Approved	094B050	Kobes	122.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
09023	DZ	09	С	FOS Approved	094B050	Kobes	65.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
09034	Сс	09	С	Authorized	094B048/049	Kobes	82.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
09069	PV	09	С	Authorized	094B050	Kobes	122.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
09070	Сс	09	С	Authorized	094B050	Kobes	8.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
09075	BCc	09	С	FOS Approved	094B050	Kobes	49.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
09078	Сс	09	С	Authorized	094B049	Kobes	7.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
09079	BCc	09	С	FOS Approved	094B049	Kobes	25.7	0.00	Summer			N/A
09084	PV	09	D	Authorized	094B049/050	Kobes	382.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
09085	PV	09	D	Authorized	094B049/050	Kobes	314.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
09086	Сс	09	С	Authorized	094B050	Kobes	62.0	0.00	Winter		1	N/A
09089	PV	09	С	Authorized	094B050	Kobes	11.6	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
09090	PV	09	D	Authorized	094B050	Kobes	9.5	0.01	Winter			N/A
09091	PV	09	D	FOS Approved	094B050	Kobes	95.8	9.35	Winter			N/A
09092	PV	09	D	FOS Approved	094B050	Kobes	5.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
09093	PV	09	D	FOS Approved	094B050	Kobes	43.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
09094	PV	09	D	FOS Approved	094B050	Kobes	13.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
09096	BCd	09	D	FOS Approved	094B049	Kobes	167.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
09097	BCc	09	С	FOS Approved	094B049	Kobes	10.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
09098	BCc	09	С	FOS Approved	094B039	Kobes	27.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
09099	BCc	09	С	FOS Approved	094B040	Kobes	21.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
09106	BCc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B038	Kobes	55.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
09107	BCc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B038	Kobes	49.6	0.69	Winter			N/A
09108	Cc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B039	Kobes	78.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
09109	Сс	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B039	Kobes	15.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
09110	Cc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B039	Kobes	11.7	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
09111	Cd	09	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B039	Kobes	32.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
09112	Cc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B039	Kobes	20.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
09113	Cc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B040	Kobes	112.2	0.00	Summer			N/A
09114	Cd	09	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B040	Kobes	45.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
09115	Cc	45	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A031	Kobes	39.8	0.00	Summer			N/A
09116	BCc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B040	Kobes	44.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
09117	BCc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B040	Kobes	21.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
09118	BCc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B040	Kobes	25.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
09119	BCc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B040	Kobes	48.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
09120	Cc	45	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B040	Kobes	68.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
09121	BCc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B049	Kobes	65.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
09122	BCd	09	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B049	Kobes	25.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
09123	BCc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B039	Kobes	14.5	0.00	Summer			N/A
09124	BCc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B039	Kobes	47.3	0.00	Summer			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
09125	Cc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B039	Kobes	125.8	4.57	Winter			N/A
09126	Cc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B050	Kobes	124.7	0.00	Summer			N/A
09127	Cd	09	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B050	Kobes	40.2	0.00	Summer			N/A
09128	BCd	09	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B040	Kobes	9.9	0.00	Summer			N/A
09129	BCd	09	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B050	Kobes	70.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
09130	Cc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B050	Kobes	62.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
09131	BCc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B050	Kobes	47.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
09132	Cc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B050	Kobes	99.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
09133	Cc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B050	Kobes	62.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
09134	Cc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B050	Kobes	68.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
09135	BCc	45	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B040	Kobes	27.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
09136	BCc	45	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B040	Kobes	9.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
09137	Cc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B049	Kobes	145.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
09138	Cc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B039	Kobes	20.6	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
09140	Cc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A031	Kobes	97.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
09141	A95218	09	С	FOS Approved	094B040	Kobes	175	0.00	Winter			N/A
09142	BCc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B048	Kobes	259.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
09144	Cc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B048	Kobes	68.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
09145	Cc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B040	Kobes	48.4	2.50	Winter			N/A
09146	Cc	09	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B040	Kobes	38.2	11.08	Winter			N/A
10023	DZ	10	С	FOS Approved	094B049/059	Halfway	140.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
10025	DZ	10	С	FOS Approved	094B048	Halfway	77.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
10028	DZ	10	С	FOS Approved	094B048	Halfway	63.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
10029	DZ	10	С	FOS Approved	094B048	Halfway	28.8	1.11	Winter			N/A
10038	Cc	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B059	Halfway	102.4	21.33	Winter			N/A
10039	Cc	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B059	Halfway	24.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
10040	Cd	10	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B049	Halfway	591.2	4.08	Winter			N/A
10041	BCd	10	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B049	Halfway	33.9	0.00	Summer			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
10042	Cd	10	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B049	Halfway	124.5	0.00	Summer			N/A
10043	Cd	10	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B049	Halfway	32.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
10044	BCd	10	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B049	Halfway	30.8	0.00	Summer			N/A
10045	A18154	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B048	Halfway	40.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
10046	Cc	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B048	Halfway	24.9	0.94	Winter			N/A
10048	BCd	10	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B048	Halfway	65.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
10050	Cc	10	С	FOS Approved	094B048	Halfway	110.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
10051	Cc	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B048	Halfway	20.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
10052	BCc	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B058	Halfway	64.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
10053	Cc	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B057	Halfway	99.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
10055	Cc	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B057	Halfway	43.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
10056	Cc	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B057	Halfway	46.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
10057	BCc	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B047	Halfway	103.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
10058	BCc	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B048	Halfway	18.0	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
10059	BCc	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B048	Halfway	35.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
10060	Cd	10	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B048	Halfway	79.9	0.00	Summer			N/A
10061	BCc	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B048	Halfway	53.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
10062	BCc	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B038	Halfway	69.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
10064	BCc	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B057	Halfway	62.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
10065	BCc	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B057	Halfway	14.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
10067	A95219	10	С	FOS Approved	094A073	Halfway	329.5	0.88	Winter			N/A
10068	A95219	10	D	FOS Approved	094A073	Halfway	117.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
10069	Cc	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B058	Halfway	246.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
10070	Cc	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B058	Halfway	113.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
10071	BCc	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B057	Halfway	9.6	0.00	Summer			N/A
10072	Cc	10	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B057	Halfway	42.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
10073	Cd	10	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B057	Halfway	65.3	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
11058	A18154	11	С	FOS Approved	094B027/037	Crying Girl	201.6	0.00	Winter	4a/not schedule d		N/A
11065	Cc	11	С	FOS Approved	094B046/047	Crying Girl	14.3	1.27	Summer	5/not schedule d		N/A
11066	Cc	11	С	FOS Approved	094B046	Crying Girl	39.0	21.49	Summer	5/not schedule d		N/A
11074	DZ	11	С	FOS Approved	094B037	Crying Girl	126.9	0.00	Winter	6a/not schedule d		N/A
11075	A56771	11	С	FOS Approved	094B037	Crying Girl	70.0	0.67	Winter	6a/not schedule d		N/A
11079	A80056	11	С	FOS Approved	94B037	Crying Girl	83.3	0.00	Winter	6a/not schedule d		N/A
11080	A80056	11	С	FOS Approved	94B037	Crying Girl	60.7	0.00	Winter	6a/not schedule d		N/A
11081	A80056	11	С	FOS Approved	94B037	Crying Girl	58.5	0.00	Summer	6a/not schedule d		N/A
11082	A80056	11	С	FOS Approved	94B037	Crying Girl	23.6	0.00	Winter	6a/not schedule d		N/A
11083	A80056	11	С	FOS Approved	94B037	Crying Girl	69.3	4.15	Winter	6a/not schedule d		N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
11084	A80056	11	С	FOS Approved	94B037	Crying Girl	31.5	0.00	Winter	6a/not schedule d		N/A
11085	BCc	11	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B037	Crying Girl	54.5	0.00	Winter	6a/not schedule d	54.5	N/A
12010	Сс	12	С	FOS Approved	094B067	Halfway	145.5	0.00	Summer			N/A
12011	Сс	12	С	FOS Approved	094B057/067	Halfway	128.1	0.00	Summer			N/A
12012	BCc	12	С	FOS Approved	094B067	Halfway	82.7	0.00	Summer			N/A
12013	BCc	12	С	FOS Approved	094B067	Halfway	148.9	0.00	Summer			N/A
12014	Cc	12	С	FOS Approved	094B067	Halfway	34.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
12015	Cc	12	С	FOS Approved	094B067/068	Halfway	149.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
12016	BCc	12	С	FOS Approved	094B068	Halfway	150.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
12017	LP	12	D	FOS Approved	094B067/068	Halfway	174.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
12019	BCd	12	D	FOS Approved	094B068	Halfway	122.5	0.00	Summer			N/A
12024	BCc	12	С	FOS Approved	094B067	Halfway	200.6	0.00	Summer			N/A
12026	LP	12	D	FOS Approved	094B068	Halfway	141.4	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
12027	LP	12	D	FOS Approved	094B067/068	Halfway	87.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
12028	Cc	12	С	FOS Approved	094B067	Halfway	441.5	19.49	Winter			N/A
12029	Cc	12	С	FOS Approved	094B076	Halfway	39.2	0.00	Summer			N/A
12030	BCc	12	С	FOS Approved	094B067	Halfway	111.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
12031	LP	12	D	FOS Approved	094B067/068	Halfway	137.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
12032	Cc	12	С	FOS Approved	094B067/068	Halfway	113.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
12033	Cc	12	С	FOS Approved	094B077	Halfway	104.2	0.00	Summer			N/A
12034	LP	12	С	FOS Approved	094B068	Halfway	171.3	0.00	Summer			N/A
12037	Cc	12	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B068	Halfway	154.5	0.00	Summer			N/A
12038	Cc	12	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B068	Halfway	34.1	0.00	Summer			N/A
12041	Cc	12	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B068	Halfway	88.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
12043	BCc	12	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B077	Halfway	23.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
12044	Cd	12	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B077	Halfway	75.5	0.13	Winter			N/A
12045	Cd	12	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B077	Halfway	38.3	0.45	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
12046	Cd	12	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B077	Halfway	52.3	0.78	Winter			N/A
12047	BCc	12	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B077	Halfway	23.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
12048	BCc	12	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B076	Halfway	93.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
14014	Cd	14	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H057	Kahntah	11.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
14015	Cd	14	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H057	Kahntah	106.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
14016	Cc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H057	Kahntah	152.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
14017	Cc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H047	Kahntah	313.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
14018	Cd	14	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H048	Kahntah	62.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
14019	Cc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H048	Kahntah	186.6	5.00	Winter			N/A
14020	Cd	14	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H058	Kahntah	42.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
14021	Cc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H048	Kahntah	77.4	1.16	Winter			N/A
14022	Cc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H048	Kahntah	51.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
14023	Cc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H048	Kahntah	208.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
14024	Cc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H058	Kahntah	331.2	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
14025	Cc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H058	Kahntah	83.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
14026	Cc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H058	Kahntah	22.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
14027	Cc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H058	Kahntah	8.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
14028	Cc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H048	Kahntah	54.4	1.50	Winter			N/A
14029	Cc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H058	Kahntah	15.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
14031	Cc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H059	Kahntah	7.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
14033	Cc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H059	Kahntah	9.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
14034	Cd	14	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H059	Kahntah	13.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
14035	Cd	14	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H047	Kahntah	104.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
14037	Cc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H048	Kahntah	69.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
14038	Cc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H059	Kahntah	10.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
14039	Cd	14	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H059	Kahntah	18.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
14040	Cd	14	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H059	Kahntah	25.9	4.11	Winter			N/A
14041	Cd	14	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H059	Kahntah	4.6	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
14042	BCd	14	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H059	Kahntah	61.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
14043	Cd	14	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H059	Kahntah	111.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
14044	Cd	14	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H059	Kahntah	141.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
14048	BCc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H060	Kahntah	52.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
14049	BCc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H060	Kahntah	34.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
14051	BCc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H070	Kahntah	64.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
14052	BCc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H070	Kahntah	16.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
14053	BCc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H070	Kahntah	8.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
14054	BCc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H070	Kahntah	114.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
14055	BCd	14	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H069	Kahntah	115.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
14056	BCd	14	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H070	Kahntah	46.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
14057	BCc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H070	Kahntah	33.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
14058	BCc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H070	Kahntah	21.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
14059	BCc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H069	Kahntah	94.1	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
14060	BCc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H068	Kahntah	27.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
14061	BCd	14	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H068	Kahntah	134.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
14062	BCc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H068	Kahntah	83.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
14063	Cd	14	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H058	Kahntah	58.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
16009	Cc	16	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H085	Tommy Lakes	64.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
16010	Cd	16	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H085	Tommy Lakes	622.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
16011	Cd	16	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H095	Tommy Lakes	107.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
16012	BCc	16	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H095	Tommy Lakes	67.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
16014	BCd	16	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H095	Tommy Lakes	135.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
16015	BCd	16	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H095	Tommy Lakes	63.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
17001	Cd	17	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H092	Trutch	94.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
17002	Cc	17	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H092	Trutch	70.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
17003	Cd	17	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H092	Trutch	234.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
17004	Cd	17	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H092	Trutch	126.2	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
17005	Cc	17	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H092	Trutch	142.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
17006	Cc	17	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H092	Trutch	32.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
17007	Cc	17	С	FOS#3 Proposed	0941002	Trutch	54.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
17008	Cd	17	D	FOS#3 Proposed	0941002	Trutch	22.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
18031	Cd	18	D	FOS Approved	094H004	Blueberry	8.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
18032	BCd	18	D	FOS Approved	094A093	Blueberry	22.6	0.00	Summer			N/A
18037	Cc	18	С	FOS Approved	094H004	Blueberry	66.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
18038	Cc	18	С	FOS Approved	094H004	Blueberry	260.5	0.00	Summer			N/A
18045	BCc	18	С	FOS Approved	094H014	Blueberry	40.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
18048	BCc	18	С	FOS Approved	094H014	Blueberry	16.6	0.00	Summer			N/A
18049	BCc	18	С	FOS Approved	094H014	Blueberry	15.3	0.00	Summer			N/A
18052	MPMC	18	С	Authorized	094H013	Blueberry	44.7	0.00	Summer			N/A
18053	MPMC	18	С	Authorized	094H013	Blueberry	98.1	8.27	Winter			N/A
18054	MPMC	18	С	Authorized	094H012/022	Blueberry	82.6	0.00	Summer			N/A
18055	MPMC	18	С	Authorized	094H013/023	Blueberry	176.5	27.31	Summer			N/A
18056	MPMC	18	С	Authorized	094H023	Blueberry	52.8	0.00	Summer			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
18057	MPMC	18	С	Authorized	094H023	Blueberry	109.3	21.14	Summer			N/A
18058	A92244	18	С	FOS Approved	094H023/094H. 013	Blueberry	114.2	5.51	Summer			N/A
18059	Cc	18	С	FOS Approved	094H022	Blueberry	116.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
18060	A92243	18	С	FOS Approved	094H023	Blueberry	113.6	0.00	Summer			N/A
18061	A92243	18	С	FOS Approved	094H024	Blueberry	68.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
18064	Cc	18	С	FOS Approved	094H003	Blueberry	74.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
18069	Cc	18	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H012	Blueberry	105.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
18074	Cc	18	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H012	Blueberry	29.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
18075	Cd	18	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H023	Blueberry	21.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
18076	Cd	18	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H023	Blueberry	13.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
18080	Cc	18	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H023	Blueberry	13.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
18081	Cc	18	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H023	Blueberry	14.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
18082	Cc	18	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H023	Blueberry	14.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
18083	Cc	18	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H023	Blueberry	42.7	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
18086	Cc	18	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H014	Blueberry	14.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
18087	BCc	18	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H014	Blueberry	19.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
18088	BCc	18	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H004	Blueberry	11.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
18089	BCc	18	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H014	Blueberry	55.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
18090	BCd	18	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H003	Blueberry	65.7	0.06	Winter			N/A
18091	Cd	18	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H012	Blueberry	19.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
18093	BCc	18	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H014	Blueberry	7.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
19021	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094g040	Tommy Lakes	34.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
19022	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094g040	Tommy Lakes	39.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
19023	CRL	19	С	FOS Approved	094G040	Tommy Lakes	29.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
19024	CRL	19	С	FOS Approved	094G040	Tommy Lakes	89.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
19027	Cc	19	С	FOS Approved	094G040/050	Tommy Lakes	33.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
19028	Cc	19	С	FOS Approved	094G040/050	Tommy Lakes	50.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
19029	Cc	19	С	FOS Approved	094G040/050	Tommy Lakes	128.8	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
19030	MPMC	19	С	FOS Approved	094G040	Tommy Lakes	75.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
19032	MPMC	19	С	FOS Approved	094G040	Tommy Lakes	40.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
19034	MPMC	19	С	FOS Approved	094H031	Tommy Lakes	18.0	12.04	Winter			N/A
19035	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094h031	Tommy Lakes	4.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
19036	Cc	19	С	FOS Approved	094H031	Tommy Lakes	11.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
19037	CRL	19	С	FOS Approved	094H031	Tommy Lakes	22.2	0.35	Winter			N/A
19038	CRL	19	D	FOS Approved	094H031	Tommy Lakes	26.0	8.41	Winter			N/A
19039	MPMC	19	С	FOS Approved	094H031	Tommy Lakes	138.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
19040	MPMC	19	С	FOS Approved	094H031	Tommy Lakes	12.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
19045	DZ	19	С	FOS Approved	094H031	Tommy Lakes	32.8	9.82	Summer			N/A
19046	DZ	19	С	FOS Approved	094H041	Tommy Lakes	10.3	3.15	Winter			N/A
19056	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094H032	Tommy Lakes	41.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
19057	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094H032	Tommy Lakes	16.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
19058	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094H032	Tommy Lakes	18.8	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
19059	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094H032	Tommy Lakes	65.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
19060	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094H032	Tommy Lakes	51.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
19061	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094H032	Tommy Lakes	55.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
19062	A92981	19	С	FOS Approved	094H032	Tommy Lakes	16.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
19063	A92981	19	С	FOS Approved	094H032	Tommy Lakes	32.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
19064	A92981	19	С	FOS Approved	094H032	Tommy Lakes	15.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
19065	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094H032	Tommy Lakes	4.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
19066	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094H032	Tommy Lakes	4.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
19067	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094H032	Tommy Lakes	5.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
19068	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094H032	Tommy Lakes	2.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
19069	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094H032	Tommy Lakes	31.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
19071	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094G050	Tommy Lakes	262.9	71.02	Summer			N/A
19073	Cc	19	С	FOS Approved	094G040	Tommy Lakes	33.5	0.00	Summer			N/A
19074	Cc	19	С	FOS Approved	094G040	Tommy Lakes	128.5	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
19075	Cc	19	С	FOS Approved	094H031	Tommy Lakes	39.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
19077	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094H022	Tommy Lakes	122.6	0.00	Summer			N/A
19080	Cc	19	С	FOS Approved	094G040	Tommy Lakes	62.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
19082	Cd	19	D	FOS Approved	094H031	Tommy Lakes	86.2	0.00	Summer			N/A
19083	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094H032	Tommy Lakes	80.1	0.00	Summer			N/A
19084	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094H032	Tommy Lakes	60.7	0.00	Summer			N/A
19085	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094H032	Tommy Lakes	119.4	0.00	Summer			N/A
19086	BCd	19	D	FOS Approved	094H032	Tommy Lakes	123.8	0.00	Summer			N/A
19087	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094H032	Tommy Lakes	104.8	4.84	Summer			N/A
19088	BCc	19	С	FOS Approved	094G050	Tommy Lakes	59.3	0.00	Summer			N/A
19089	Cc	19	С	FOS Approved	094H042	Tommy Lakes	76.8	54.05	Winter			N/A
19091	Cc	19	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G050	Tommy Lakes	18.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
19092	Cc	19	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G050	Tommy Lakes	22.9	1.04	Winter			N/A
19093	Cd	19	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H031	Tommy Lakes	38.7	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
19094	BCc	19	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H032	Tommy Lakes	37.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
19095	BCc	38	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H033	Tommy Lakes	162.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
19096	BCc	38	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H033	Tommy Lakes	19.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
19097	BCc	38	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H033	Tommy Lakes	39.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
19100	Cc	19	С	FOS Approved	094G040	Tommy Lakes	7.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
20018	A77878	20	С	FOS Approved	094B086	Halfway	46.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
20019	A77877	20	С	FOS Approved	094B086	Halfway	47.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
20020	A77877	20	С	FOS Approved	094B086	Halfway	26.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
20021	A77877	20	С	FOS Approved	094B086	Halfway	62.2	0.42	Winter			N/A
20022	A77878	20	С	FOS Approved	094B086	Halfway	38.1	0.02	Winter			N/A
20026	A77876	20	С	FOS Approved	094B086	Halfway	23.8	0.61	Winter			N/A
20027	A77876	20	С	FOS Approved	094B086	Graham	49.6	3.52	Winter			N/A
20035	A77876	20	С	FOS Approved	094B086	Halfway	54.0	14.17	Winter			N/A
20036	A77877	20	С	FOS Approved	094B086	Halfway	13.6	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
20037	A77877	20	С	FOS Approved	094B086	Halfway	14.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
20038	A77878	20	С	FOS Approved	094B086	Halfway	29.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
20063	A80057	20	С	FOS Approved	94B097	Halfway	102	0.00	Winter			N/A
20064	BCc	20	С	FOS Approved	94B097	Halfway	12	0.00	Winter			N/A
20065	A80057	20	С	FOS Approved	94B097	Halfway	139.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
20067	A80058	20	С	FOS Approved	094B.097	Halfway	74.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
20068	A80058	20	С	FOS Approved	094B.097	Halfway	132.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
20069	A80058	20	С	FOS Approved	094B.096	Halfway	24.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
20070	A80058	20	С	FOS Approved	094B.096	Halfway	67.5	3.53	Winter			N/A
20071	A80058	20	С	FOS Approved	094B.097	Halfway	22.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
20072	BCc	20	С	FOS Approved	094B086	Halfway	75.0	17.09	Winter			N/A
20073	BCc	20	С	FOS Approved	094B087	Halfway	59.6	0.00	Summer			N/A
20074	BCc	20	С	FOS Approved	094B087	Halfway	71.4	0.02	Summer			N/A
20075	BCc	12	С	FOS Approved	094B077	Halfway	121.5	0.00	Summer			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
20076	Cc	20	С	FOS Approved	094B096	Halfway	22.2	20.53	Summer			N/A
20077	PV	20	D	FOS Approved	094B087/097	Halfway	71.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
20078	Cc	20	С	FOS Approved	094B086/096	Halfway	73.2	7.66	Summer			N/A
20079	Cc	20	С	FOS Approved	094B096	Halfway	49.6	0.00	Summer			N/A
20080	Cc	20	С	FOS Approved	094B096	Halfway	30.1	0.00	Summer			N/A
20081	Cc	20	С	FOS Approved	094B096	Halfway	92.2	5.06	Winter		65.6	N/A
20083	Cc	20	С	FOS Approved	094B096	Halfway	53.0	48.59	Summer			N/A
20085	Cc	20	С	FOS Approved	094B096	Halfway	99.1	0.00	Summer			N/A
20086	Cc	20	С	FOS Approved	094B096/096	Halfway	37.1	27.17	Summer			N/A
20088	BCc	20	С	FOS Approved	094B086	Halfway	99.6	96.70	Summer			N/A
20089	A80057	20	С	FOS Approved	94B096	Halfway	99.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
20090	A80057	20	С	FOS Approved	94B097	Halfway	2.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
20091	A94058	20	С	FOS Approved	94B097	Halfway	22.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
20093	BCc	20	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B086	Halfway	39.9	21.73	Winter		10	N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
20098	Cc	20	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B096	Halfway	22.2	1.79	Winter		11.1	N/A
20099	Cc	20	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B096	Halfway	25.3	0.02	Winter			N/A
20101	Cd	20	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B087	Halfway	67.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
20102	Cd	20	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B087	Halfway	34.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
20104	Cc	20	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B086	Halfway	16.5	2.40	Winter			N/A
21018	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G078	Trutch	165.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
21019	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G079	Trutch	39.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
21020	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G078	Trutch	38.2	7.55	Winter			N/A
21021	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G068	Trutch	52.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
21022	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G068	Trutch	50.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
21023	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G069	Trutch	61.6	23.12	Winter			N/A
21024	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G079	Trutch	146.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
21025	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G079	Trutch	79.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
21026	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G079	Trutch	79.4	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
21027	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G069	Trutch	42.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
21028	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G069	Trutch	30.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
21029	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G069	Trutch	73.4	0.75	Winter			N/A
21030	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G069	Trutch	44.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
21039	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G047	Trutch	141.1	0.00	Summer			N/A
21040	Cc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G048	Trutch	60.3	0.00	Summer			N/A
21042	Cc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G048	Trutch	117.1	0.00	Summer			N/A
21043	Cc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G048	Trutch	190.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
21044	Cc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G059	Trutch	97.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
21045	Cc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G059/060	Trutch	76.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
21046	Cc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G047	Trutch	128.9	0.00	Summer			N/A
21047	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G048	Trutch	114.2	0.00	Summer		44.6	N/A
21048	Cc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G058	Trutch	16.9	0.00	Summer			N/A
21049	Cd	21	D	FOS Approved	094G059	Trutch	71.1	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
21050	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G058	Trutch	109.8	0.00	Winter		82.3	N/A
21051	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G057	Trutch	38.3	5.76	Summer			N/A
21052	Cc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G068	Trutch	52.7	0.00	Winter		19.5	N/A
21053	Cc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G058/068	Trutch	209.6	0.00	Winter		63.9	N/A
21054	Cc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G067	Trutch	70.4	0.00	Summer			PR
21055	Cc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G068	Trutch	85.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
21056	Cc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G068	Trutch	111.1	0.00	Summer			N/A
21057	BCd	21	D	FOS Approved	094G068	Trutch	122.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
21058	Cd	21	D	FOS Approved	094G067	Trutch	92.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
21059	Cd	21	D	FOS Approved	094G067	Trutch	102.5	0.00	Summer			N/A
21060	Cd	21	D	FOS Approved	094G077	Trutch	26.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
21061	Cd	21	D	FOS Approved	094G077	Trutch	37.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
21062	Cd	21	D	FOS Approved	094G077	Trutch	86.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
21063	Cc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G076	Trutch	196.7	0.00	Summer			М

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
21064	BCd	21	D	FOS Approved	094G078	Trutch	331.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
21065	BCd	21	D	FOS Approved	094G078	Trutch	153.2	0.56	Summer			N/A
21066	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G079	Trutch	92.4	0.00	Summer			N/A
21067	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G076	Trutch	96.4	0.00	Summer			PR
21068	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G076	Trutch	87.4	0.00	Summer			PR
21069	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G078	Trutch	33.1	0.00	Summer			N/A
21070	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G079	Trutch	66.2	0.00	Summer			N/A
21071	Cd	21	D	FOS Approved	094G077	Trutch	32.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
21072	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	094G047	Trutch	114.2	0.00	Summer			N/A
21073	BCc	21	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G079	Trutch	53.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
21074	BCc	21	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G079	Trutch	72.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
21075	BCd	21	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094G079	Trutch	81.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
21076	Cc	21	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G079	Trutch	17.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
21077	Cc	21	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G080	Trutch	37.1	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
21078	Cd	21	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094G080	Trutch	15.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
21079	Cc	21	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G066	Trutch	56.7	0.00	Winter			PR
23023	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B088	Blueberry	145.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
23024	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B088	Blueberry	13.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
23025	Сс	23	С	Authorized	094B078/088	Blueberry	27.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
23027	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B088	Blueberry	10.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
23028	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B088	Blueberry	7.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
23029	Cc	23	С	FOS Approved	094B088	Blueberry	75.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
23030	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B088	Blueberry	6.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
23031	Cc	23	С	FOS Approved	094B088	Blueberry	8.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
23034	LP	23	D	Authorized	094B078	Blueberry	1.6	0.00	Summer			N/A
23035	Cc	23	С	FOS Approved	094B088	Blueberry	12.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
23036	BCd	23	D	FOS Approved	094B088	Blueberry	20.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
23038	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B088	Blueberry	6.5	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
23039	A94073	23	С	FOS Approved	094B079	Blueberry	13.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
23040	A94073	23	D	FOS Approved	094B079	Blueberry	26.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
23041	A94073	23	С	FOS Approved	094B079	Blueberry	54.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
23042	A94073	23	D	FOS Approved	094B079	Blueberry	21.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
23043	A94073	23	D	FOS Approved	094B079	Blueberry	13.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
23044	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B079	Blueberry	233.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
23046	Cc	23	С	FOS Approved	094B078/079	Blueberry	68.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
23047	A94092	23	D	FOS Approved	094B079	Blueberry	11.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
23048	A94092	23	С	FOS Approved	094B078	Blueberry	134.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
23049	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B069	Blueberry	162.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
23052	BCd	23	D	FOS Approved	094B068	Blueberry	64.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
23053	A94090	23	D	FOS Approved	094B068	Blueberry	221.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
23054	BCc	23	С	FOS Approved	094B068	Blueberry	20.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
23055	Cc	23	С	FOS Approved	094B078	Blueberry	10.0	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
23056	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B068/078	Blueberry	31.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
23057	Cd	23	D	FOS Approved	094B078	Blueberry	186.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
23062	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B068/078	Blueberry	10.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
23063	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B078	Blueberry	11.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
23064	Cc	23	С	FOS Approved	094B068/078	Blueberry	19.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
23064	Cc	23	С	FOS Approved	094B068/078	Blueberry	19.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
23065	LP	23	С	FOS Approved	094B068/078	Blueberry	9.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
23066	BCc	23	С	FOS Approved	094B069	Blueberry	5.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
23067	BCc	05	С	FOS Approved	094B069	Blueberry	11.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
23068	A94077	23	С	FOS Approved	094B088	Blueberry	5.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
23069	A94077	23	С	FOS Approved	094B088	Blueberry	31.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
23070	Cc	23	С	Authorized	094B088	Blueberry	100.8	0.00	Summer			N/A
23073	Cc	23	С	FOS Approved	094B088	Blueberry	23.0	22.59	Summer			N/A
23074	LP	23	С	FOS Approved	094B088	Blueberry	10.5	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
23076	LP	23	С	FOS Approved	094B088	Blueberry	34.6	0.43	Winter			N/A
23078	Cc	23	С	FOS Approved	094B088	Blueberry	12.8	10.17	Winter			N/A
23079	BCd	23	D	FOS Approved	094B088	Blueberry	51.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
23080	BCd	23	С	FOS Approved	094B088	Blueberry	43.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
23081	BCc	23	С	FOS Approved	094B088	Blueberry	6.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
23082	BCd	23	D	FOS Approved	094B088	Blueberry	8.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
23083	BCd	23	D	FOS Approved	094B088	Blueberry	10.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
23084	BCd	23	D	FOS Approved	094B088	Blueberry	9.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
23085	BCc	23	D	FOS Approved	094B088	Blueberry	3.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
23089	LP	23	D	Authorized	094B088	Blueberry	2.9	0.00	Summer			N/A
23090	LP	23	D	Authorized	094B088	Blueberry	5.6	0.00	Summer			N/A
23091	LP	23	D	Authorized	094B088	Blueberry	7.5	0.00	Summer			N/A
23092	LP	23	D	Authorized	094B088	Blueberry	6.4	0.00	Summer			N/A
23093	BCd	23	D	FOS Approved	094B088	Blueberry	15.8	0.00	Summer			N/A
23094	LP	23	D	Authorized	094B088	Blueberry	15.1	0.00	Summer			N/A
23095	Cc	23	С	FOS Approved	094B088	Blueberry	1.9	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
23096	Cc	23	С	FOS Approved	094B088	Blueberry	6.5	5.43	Winter			N/A
23097	Cc	23	С	FOS Approved	094B088	Blueberry	5.4	5.27	Winter			N/A
23099	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B088	Blueberry	14.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
23100	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B088	Blueberry	10.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
23101	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B088	Blueberry	3.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
23102	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B078	Blueberry	27.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
23103	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B078	Blueberry	21.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
23104	A94077	23	С	FOS Approved	094B088	Blueberry	7.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
23105	BCd	23	D	FOS Approved	094B088	Blueberry	49.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
23106	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B078	Blueberry	23.3	0.00	Summer			N/A
23107	A94076	23	D	FOS Approved	094B078	Blueberry	68.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
23108	LP	23	D	Authorized	094B078	Blueberry	139.9	0.00	Summer			N/A
23109	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B078	Blueberry	34.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
23110	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B078	Blueberry	29.8	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
23111	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B078	Blueberry	35.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
23112	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B078	Blueberry	11.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
23113	LP	23	D	FOS Approved	094B078	Blueberry	53.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
23115	Cc	23	С	FOS Approved	094B078	Blueberry	15.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
23116	Cd	23	D	FOS Approved	094B078/079	Blueberry	9.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
23120	Cc	23	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B078	Blueberry	29.5	0.00	Summer			N/A
23121	BCd	23	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B078	Blueberry	171.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
23122	Cc	23	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B078	Blueberry	35.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
23126	Cc	23	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B078	Blueberry	19.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
23129	Cd	23	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B088	Blueberry	23.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
23189	Cd	23	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B068	Blueberry	56.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
23190	Cd	23	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B078	Blueberry	9.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
23191	Cd	23	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B068	Blueberry	27.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
24009	MPMC	24	С	FOS Approved	094G010	Tommy Lakes	12.4	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
24010	MPMC	24	С	FOS Approved	094G010	Tommy Lakes	7.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
24015	MPMC	24	D	FOS Approved	094G020	Tommy Lakes	16.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
24016	MPMC	24	С	FOS Approved	094G020	Tommy Lakes	15.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
24017	MPMC	24	С	FOS Approved	094G010	Tommy Lakes	90.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
24018	MPMC	24	С	FOS Approved	094G010/094H0 01	Tommy Lakes	53.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
24021	DZ	24	С	FOS Approved	094G020/094H0 11	Tommy Lakes	45.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
24022	DZ	24	С	FOS Approved	094G020/094H0 11	Tommy Lakes	20.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
24023	DZ	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011	Tommy Lakes	29.3	0.00	Summer			N/A
24024	DZ	24	D	FOS Approved	094H011	Tommy Lakes	65.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
24025	DZ	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011	Tommy Lakes	8.9	0.00	Summer			N/A
24026	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H011	Tommy Lakes	30.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
24027	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H011	Tommy Lakes	35.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
24029	DZ	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011	Tommy Lakes	101.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
24030	DZ	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011	Tommy Lakes	17.8	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
24031	DZ	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011	Tommy Lakes	126.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
24032	DZ	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011	Tommy Lakes	55.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
24034	DZ	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011	Tommy Lakes	35.5	0.00	Summer			N/A
24037	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	Tommy Lakes	103.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
24043	CRL	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	Tommy Lakes	5.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
24044	CRL	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	Tommy Lakes	7.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
24047	DZ	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	Tommy Lakes	50.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
24048	DZ	24	С	FOS Approved	094G029	Tommy Lakes	15.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
24049	DZ	24	С	FOS Approved	094G029	Tommy Lakes	67.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
24050	DZ	24	С	FOS Approved	094G029	Tommy Lakes	35.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
24058	A94080	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	Tommy Lakes	38.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
24059	A94223	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	Tommy Lakes	33.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
24061	DZ	24	С	Authorized	094H021	Tommy Lakes	63.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
24062	DZ	24	С	Authorized	094H021	Tommy Lakes	81.7	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
24063	DZ	24	С	FOS Approved	94H021	Tommy Lakes	107.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
24064	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	94H021	Tommy Lakes	68	0.00	Winter			N/A
24065	DZ	24	С	Authorized	094H021	Tommy Lakes	15.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
24066	DZ	24	С	Authorized	094H022	Tommy Lakes	10.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
24067	DZ	24	С	FOS Approved	94H021/22	Tommy Lakes	113.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
24170	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G010/020	Tommy Lakes	53.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
24171	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G010/020	Tommy Lakes	21.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
24172	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G020	Tommy Lakes	12.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
24173	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G010/020	Tommy Lakes	126.3	12.84	Winter			N/A
24174	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G010	Tommy Lakes	2.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
24175	Сс	24	С	FOS Approved	094G010	Tommy Lakes	4.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
24176	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G010	Tommy Lakes	4.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
24177	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G010	Tommy Lakes	6.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
24178	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G010	Tommy Lakes	14.7	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
24179	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G010	Tommy Lakes	5.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
24180	Сс	24	С	FOS Approved	094G010	Tommy Lakes	11.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
24182	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G010	Tommy Lakes	11.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
24183	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G010	Tommy Lakes	14.3	0.85	Summer			N/A
24184	BCd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G010	Tommy Lakes	10.8	0.00	Summer			N/A
24185	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G020	Tommy Lakes	16.2	6.74	Summer			N/A
24186	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G020	Tommy Lakes	96.6	0.00	Summer			N/A
24187	BCd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G020	Tommy Lakes	6.9	0.00	Summer			N/A
24189	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G020	Tommy Lakes	14.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
24193	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G019	Tommy Lakes	26.6	0.00	Summer			N/A
24194	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G019	Tommy Lakes	14.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
24195	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G019	Tommy Lakes	8.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
24196	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G019	Tommy Lakes	13.3	2.60	Winter			N/A
24197	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G020	Tommy Lakes	113.7	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
24198	BCd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G020	Tommy Lakes	23.8	0.00	Summer			N/A
24199	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G020	Tommy Lakes	31.7	0.00	Summer			N/A
24200	BCd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G020	Tommy Lakes	12.1	0.00	Summer			N/A
24201	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G020	Tommy Lakes	25.7	0.00	Summer			N/A
24206	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011	Tommy Lakes	11.3	3.67	Summer			N/A
24207	A92975	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011	Tommy Lakes	89.1	0.00	Summer			N/A
24208	BCd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G020	Tommy Lakes	24.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
24212	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G020	Tommy Lakes	11.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
24214	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G020	Tommy Lakes	2.9	2.84	Winter			N/A
24215	BCd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G020	Tommy Lakes	9.7	0.23	Winter			N/A
24216	A94165	24	С	FOS Approved	094G020	Tommy Lakes	14.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
24217	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G020	Tommy Lakes	5.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
24218	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G020	Tommy Lakes	10.6	0.00	Summer			N/A
24219	BCd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G020	Tommy Lakes	9.4	0.00	Summer			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
24220	BCd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G020	Tommy Lakes	3.7	0.00	Summer			N/A
24221	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G020	Tommy Lakes	36.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
24222	A94165	24	С	FOS Approved	094G020	Tommy Lakes	53.0	2.96	Summer			N/A
24228	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	Tommy Lakes	9.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
24229	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	Tommy Lakes	7.2	0.00	Summer			N/A
24230	A94165	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	Tommy Lakes	19.3	0.00	Summer			N/A
24231	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	Tommy Lakes	12.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
24232	A90854	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	Tommy Lakes	67.8	4.32	Winter			N/A
24233	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G029	Tommy Lakes	24.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
24234	A94080	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021/94G03 0	Tommy Lakes	17.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
24235	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G020/094H0 11	Tommy Lakes	14.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
24236	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G020/094H0 11	Tommy Lakes	33.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
24237	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	Tommy Lakes	13.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
24238	A94164	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	Tommy Lakes	37.1	0.16	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
24239	A94164	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	Tommy Lakes	5.8	0.00	Summer			N/A
24241	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	Tommy Lakes	29.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
24242	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	Tommy Lakes	12.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
24243	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	Tommy Lakes	22.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
24244	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	Tommy Lakes	7.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
24245	A94164	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	Tommy Lakes	32.3	5.80	Winter			N/A
24246	A94166	24	С	FOS Approved	094G040	Tommy Lakes	24.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
24247	A94166	24	С	FOS Approved	094G040	Tommy Lakes	40.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
24250	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H021	Tommy Lakes	4.8	0.00	Summer			N/A
24251	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H021	Tommy Lakes	5.1	0.00	Summer			N/A
24253	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	94G030/94H021	Tommy Lakes	111.9	0.00	Summer			N/A
24254	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G029	Tommy Lakes	8.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
24255	A92977	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	Tommy Lakes	74.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
24256	BCd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H021	Tommy Lakes	5.6	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
24257	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	Tommy Lakes	7.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
24258	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	Tommy Lakes	3.2	0.00	Summer			N/A
24259	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	Tommy Lakes	4.1	0.00	Summer			N/A
24260	A94166	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	Tommy Lakes	19.3	0.00	Summer			N/A
24262	A94166	24	С	FOS Approved	094G040	Tommy Lakes	36.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
24263	A94166	24	С	FOS Approved	094G040	Tommy Lakes	25.6	6.86	Winter			N/A
24264	Сс	24	С	FOS Approved	094G029	Tommy Lakes	13.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
24265	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	Tommy Lakes	1.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
24266	Сс	24	С	FOS Approved	094G029	Tommy Lakes	15.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
24267	Сс	24	С	Authorized	094G029	Tommy Lakes	32.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
24268	Сс	24	С	FOS Approved	094G029	Tommy Lakes	15.1	0.00	Summer			N/A
24271	A94080	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	Tommy Lakes	7.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
24272	A94223	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	Tommy Lakes	37.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
24273	A94164	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	Tommy Lakes	29.5	2.40	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
24274	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G030	Tommy Lakes	7.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
24275	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G030	Tommy Lakes	2.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
24276	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G030	Tommy Lakes	31.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
24277	Сс	24	С	FOS Approved	094G029/030	Tommy Lakes	21.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
24278	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G029	Tommy Lakes	5.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
24279	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	Tommy Lakes	19.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
24280	A94557	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	Tommy Lakes	17.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
24281	A94557	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	Tommy Lakes	17.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
24283	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	Tommy Lakes	4.0	3.50	Winter			N/A
24284	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	Tommy Lakes	3.0	2.77	Winter			N/A
24285	Сс	24	С	Authorized	094H022	Tommy Lakes	42.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
24286	Cc	24	С	Authorized	094H021/022	Tommy Lakes	18.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
24287	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	94H021	Tommy Lakes	78.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
24288	Сс	24	С	Authorized	094H021	Tommy Lakes	18.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
24291	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H021	Tommy Lakes	11.1	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
24295	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	Tommy Lakes	5.3	4.74	Winter			N/A
24296	A94223	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	Tommy Lakes	34.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
24297	A94223	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	Tommy Lakes	7.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
24298	A94223	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	Tommy Lakes	39.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
24301	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	Tommy Lakes	34.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
24303	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	Tommy Lakes	161.3	28.15	Winter			N/A
24308	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	Tommy Lakes	38.9	0.00	Summer			N/A
24310	A18154	24	С	FOS Approved	094H021	Tommy Lakes	52.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
24311	Cc	24	С	Authorized	094H021	Tommy Lakes	21.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
24312	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H011	Tommy Lakes	9.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
24313	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011	Tommy Lakes	17.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
24317	Сс	24	С	Authorized	094H011	Tommy Lakes	221.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
24325	LP	24	D	Authorized	094H011	Tommy Lakes	178.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
24327	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011/021	Tommy Lakes	59.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
24333	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011/021	Tommy Lakes	208.7	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
24338	BCd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H021	Tommy Lakes	123.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
24339	BCd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H021	Tommy Lakes	106.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
24340	BCd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H021	Tommy Lakes	6.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
24341	BCd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H021	Tommy Lakes	11.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
24351	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H011	Tommy Lakes	1.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
24352	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H011	Tommy Lakes	4.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
24353	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H011	Tommy Lakes	6.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
24354	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011	Tommy Lakes	27.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
24356	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011	Tommy Lakes	44.1	0.41	Winter			N/A
24357	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011	Tommy Lakes	71.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
24358	BCc	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011	Tommy Lakes	17.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
24359	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011	Tommy Lakes	17.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
24360	Cd	24	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094G010	Tommy Lakes	32.2	0.95	Summer			N/A
24361	Cc	24	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G020	Tommy Lakes	25.8	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
25011	BCc	25	С	FOS Approved	094A049	Lower Beatton	106.4	0.00	Summer			N/A
25017	BCc	25	С	FOS Approved	094A050	Lower Beatton	40.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
25066	Cd	25	D	Authorized	094A059	Lower Beatton	12.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
25072	Сс	25	С	Authorized	094A059	Lower Beatton	3.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
27004	A94642	26	С	FOS Approved	94A.065	Lower Beatton	49.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
27005	A94642	26	С	FOS Approved	94A.065	Lower Beatton	69.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
27034	Сс	27	С	Authorized	094A055	Lower Beatton	227.7	0.00	Summer			N/A
27043	MPMC	27	С	Authorized	094A055	Lower Beatton	11.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
27045	MPMC	27	С	Authorized	094A055	Lower Beatton	4.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
29017	BCc	29	С	FOS Approved	94A094	Blueberry	342.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
29101	BCd	29	D	FOS Approved	094A083	Blueberry	3.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
29102	BCd	29	D	FOS Approved	094A084	Blueberry	5.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
29107	BCd	29	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A094	Blueberry	11.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
29108	BCc	29	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A094	Blueberry	45.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
29109	Cc	29	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A094	Blueberry	97.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
29110	Cc	29	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A094	Blueberry	66.8	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
29111	Cc	29	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A094	Blueberry	53.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
29112	Cd	29	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A093	Blueberry	24.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
33001	BCc	33	С	FOS Approved	094H015	Tommy Lakes	171.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
33002	BCc	33	С	FOS Approved	094H015	Tommy Lakes	18.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
33003	BCc	33	С	FOS Approved	094H015	Tommy Lakes	17.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
36040	Cc	36	С	FOS Approved	094G018/019	Tommy Lakes	160.2	85.22	Winter			N/A
36041	Cc	36	С	FOS Approved	094G018	Tommy Lakes	38.1	27.79	Winter			N/A
36042	Cc	36	С	FOS Approved	094G018/028	Tommy Lakes	49.6	25.20	Winter			N/A
36043	Cc	36	С	FOS Approved	094G017/027	Tommy Lakes	115.4	69.01	Summer			М
36044	Cc	36	С	FOS Approved	094G017/027	Tommy Lakes	83.2	47.86	Winter			N/A
36045	Cc	36	С	FOS Approved	094G017/027	Tommy Lakes	53.6	1.64	Winter			М
36046	BCc	36	С	FOS Approved	094G017	Tommy Lakes	29.3	23.77	Summer			PR
36050	Cc	36	С	FOS Approved	094G028	Tommy Lakes	19.7	0.00	Winter			М
36051	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G028	Tommy Lakes	55.1	0.00	Winter			М

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
36052	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G028	Tommy Lakes	51.3	0.00	Winter			М
36053	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G028	Tommy Lakes	19.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
36054	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G028	Tommy Lakes	98.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
36055	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G028	Tommy Lakes	9.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
36056	Сс	36	С	FOS Approved	094G028	Tommy Lakes	2.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
36057	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G028	Tommy Lakes	36.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
36058	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G028	Tommy Lakes	8.6	0.97	Winter			PR
36060	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G028	Tommy Lakes	17.2	0.33	Winter			М
36061	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G028	Tommy Lakes	64.6	10.83	Winter			N/A
36062	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G028	Tommy Lakes	6.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
36063	Сс	36	С	FOS Approved	094G028	Tommy Lakes	11.2	8.43	Winter			М
36064	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G018/028	Tommy Lakes	14.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
36065	Сс	36	С	FOS Approved	094G028	Tommy Lakes	10.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
36066	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G028	Tommy Lakes	19.6	0.16	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
36067	Cc	36	С	FOS Approved	094G028	Tommy Lakes	3.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
36068	Cc	36	С	FOS Approved	094G028	Tommy Lakes	7.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
36069	Cc	36	С	FOS Approved	094G028	Tommy Lakes	11.7	0.14	Winter			N/A
36070	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G028	Tommy Lakes	10.6	0.85	Winter			N/A
36071	Cc	36	С	FOS Approved	094G028	Tommy Lakes	55.6	2.89	Winter			N/A
36072	BCd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G028	Tommy Lakes	11.6	2.31	Winter			N/A
36073	BCc	36	С	FOS Approved	094G028	Tommy Lakes	139.8	80.09	Winter			N/A
36074	BCd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G028	Tommy Lakes	6.0	1.64	Winter			N/A
36075	BCd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G028	Tommy Lakes	9.4	0.88	Winter			N/A
36076	BCc	36	С	FOS Approved	094G028	Tommy Lakes	18.6	13.89	Winter			N/A
36077	BCd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G028	Tommy Lakes	32.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
36078	Cc	36	С	FOS Approved	094G017	Tommy Lakes	41.7	39.55	Winter			N/A
36079	Cc	36	С	FOS Approved	094G017	Tommy Lakes	63.5	2.93	Winter			N/A
36080	Cc	36	С	FOS Approved	094G017	Tommy Lakes	39.2	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
36081	Cc	36	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G018	Tommy Lakes	22.9	1.09	Summer			М
36082	BCc	36	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G028	Tommy Lakes	14.1	0.00	Summer			N/A
36083	BCc	36	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G029	Tommy Lakes	26.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
36084	BCc	36	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G029	Tommy Lakes	22.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
36085	Cc	36	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G018	Tommy Lakes	56.5	4.95	Winter			М
36086	Cc	36	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G018	Tommy Lakes	6.3	1.87	Summer			М
36087	Сс	36	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G017	Tommy Lakes	19.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
36088	Cc	36	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G017	Tommy Lakes	31.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
37034	BCc	37	С	FOS Approved	094G007	Blueberry	177.0	0.00	Summer			PR
37036	BCc	37	С	FOS Approved	094G007	Halfway	151.8	0.00	Winter			М
37037	BCc	37	С	FOS Approved	094G017	Halfway	136.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
37038	BCc	37	С	FOS Approved	094G017	Halfway	118.6	10.84	Summer			PR
37039	BCc	37	С	FOS Approved	094G017	Halfway	75.1	71.23	Summer			Р
37040	BCc	37	С	FOS Approved	094G017	Halfway	29.5	8.87	Summer			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
37043	BCc	37	С	FOS Approved	094G017	Halfway	39.4	0.00	Summer			М
38005	BCc	38	С	FOS Approved	94H024	Tommy Lakes	53.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
38006	BCc	38	С	FOS Approved	94H024	Tommy Lakes	34.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
38007	BCc	38	С	FOS Approved	94H024	Tommy Lakes	22.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
38008	BCc	38	С	FOS Approved	94H024	Tommy Lakes	34.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
38009	BCc	38	С	FOS Approved	94H024	Tommy Lakes	25.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
38010	BCc	38	С	FOS Approved	94H024	Tommy Lakes	10.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
38011	BCc	38	С	FOS Approved	94H024	Tommy Lakes	14.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
38012	BCc	38	С	FOS Approved	94H024	Tommy Lakes	12.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
38013	BCc	38	С	FOS Approved	94H024	Tommy Lakes	12.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
38014	BCc	38	С	FOS Approved	94H024	Tommy Lakes	19.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
38015	A92981	38	С	FOS Approved	94H033	Tommy Lakes	44.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
38016	A92982	38	С	FOS Approved	94H033	Tommy Lakes	44.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
38017	A92982	38	С	FOS Approved	94H033	Tommy Lakes	43.4	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
38018	A92982	38	С	FOS Approved	94H023	Tommy Lakes	20.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
38019	BCc	38	С	FOS Approved	94H033	Tommy Lakes	36.9	0.01	Winter			N/A
38030	A92981	38	С	FOS Approved	094H033	Tommy Lakes	41.5	0.12	Summer			N/A
38031	BCc	38	С	FOS Approved	094H034	Tommy Lakes	55.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
38032	BCc	38	С	FOS Approved	094H034	Tommy Lakes	61.1	0.00	Summer			N/A
38033	BCc	07	С	FOS Approved	094H052	Tommy Lakes	205.3	0.00	Summer			N/A
38034	BCc	38	С	FOS Approved	094H043	Tommy Lakes	121.4	22.81	Summer			N/A
38035	BCd	38	D	FOS Approved	094H033	Tommy Lakes	298.3	20.17	Summer			N/A
38036	Cc	38	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H043	Tommy Lakes	53.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
38037	Сс	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H053	Tommy Lakes	69.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
41005	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	94H053	Tommy Lakes	88.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
41006	A76791	41	С	FOS Approved	94H053	Tommy Lakes	34.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
41008	A76794	41	С	FOS Approved	94H053	Tommy Lakes	90.8	0.32	Winter			N/A
41009	A76794	41	С	FOS Approved	94H053	Tommy Lakes	85.2	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
41011	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	94H053	Tommy Lakes	192.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
41012	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	94H053	Tommy Lakes	23.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
41013	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	94H053	Tommy Lakes	6.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
41014	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	94H063	Tommy Lakes	5.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
41015	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	94H063	Tommy Lakes	4.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
41016	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	94H063	Tommy Lakes	398.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
41017	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	94H063	Tommy Lakes	6.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
41018	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	94H063	Tommy Lakes	9.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
41019	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	94H063	Tommy Lakes	187.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
41020	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	94H063	Tommy Lakes	251.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
41021	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	94H063	Tommy Lakes	3.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
41022	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	94H063	Tommy Lakes	37.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
41023	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	94H063	Tommy Lakes	40.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
41030	Cd	41	D	FOS Approved	094H055	Tommy Lakes	25.7	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
41031	Cc	41	С	FOS Approved	094H043	Tommy Lakes	68.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
41032	Cc	41	С	FOS Approved	094H053	Tommy Lakes	113.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
41034	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	094H053	Tommy Lakes	94.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
41037	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	094H053	Tommy Lakes	91.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
41039	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	094H054	Tommy Lakes	43.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
41040	BCd	41	D	FOS Approved	094H054	Tommy Lakes	266.4	0.83	Winter			N/A
41044	BCd	41	D	FOS Approved	094H064	Tommy Lakes	245.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
41046	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	094H064	Tommy Lakes	171.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
41048	BCd	41	D	FOS Approved	094H064	Tommy Lakes	53.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
41050	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	094H064	Tommy Lakes	64.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
41053	BCd	41	D	FOS Approved	094H063	Tommy Lakes	112.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
41054	BCd	41	D	FOS Approved	094H064	Tommy Lakes	80.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
41058	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	094H073	Tommy Lakes	386.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
41061	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	094H074	Tommy Lakes	92.8	34.71	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
41065	BCd	41	D	FOS Approved	094H053	Tommy Lakes	65.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
41066	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	094H064	Tommy Lakes	313.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
41067	BCc	41	С	FOS Approved	094H064	Tommy Lakes	291.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
41070	BCd	41	D	FOS Approved	094H064	Tommy Lakes	136.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
41071	Cc	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H073	Tommy Lakes	37.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
41072	Cd	41	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H073	Tommy Lakes	179.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
41073	Cc	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H073	Tommy Lakes	13.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
41074	Cd	41	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H073	Tommy Lakes	42.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
41075	Cc	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H063	Tommy Lakes	13.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
41076	Cc	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H073	Tommy Lakes	105.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
41077	Cc	16	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H073	Tommy Lakes	37.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
41078	Cc	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H073	Tommy Lakes	44.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
41079	Cc	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H073	Tommy Lakes	87.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
41080	Cc	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H073	Tommy Lakes	18.2	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
41081	Cc	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H073	Tommy Lakes	39.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
41082	Cc	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H073	Tommy Lakes	18.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
41083	Cc	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H073	Tommy Lakes	209.8	9.39	Winter			N/A
41084	BCd	41	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H064	Tommy Lakes	51.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
41085	BCd	41	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H074	Tommy Lakes	64.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
41086	BCc	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H074	Tommy Lakes	54.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
41087	Cd	41	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H074	Tommy Lakes	169.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
41088	Cd	41	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H064	Tommy Lakes	80.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
41089	BCc	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H064	Tommy Lakes	29.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
41090	BCc	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H054	Tommy Lakes	58.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
41091	BCc	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H054	Tommy Lakes	68.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
41092	BCc	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H054	Tommy Lakes	63.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
41093	BCd	41	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H053	Tommy Lakes	59.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
41094	BCc	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H053	Tommy Lakes	389.2	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
41095	Cc	33	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H055	Tommy Lakes	73.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
41096	Cd	41	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H055	Tommy Lakes	20.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
41097	Cc	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H055	Tommy Lakes	48.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
41098	Cc	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H055	Tommy Lakes	16.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
41099	Cc	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H055	Tommy Lakes	8.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
41100	Cc	41	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H055	Tommy Lakes	23.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
42002	MPMC	42	С	FOS Approved	094H098	Kahntah	91.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
42006	MPMC	42	С	FOS Approved	094H097	Kahntah	6.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
42008	MPMC	42	С	FOS Approved	094H097	Kahntah	43.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
42010	MPMC	42	С	FOS Approved	094H097	Kahntah	6.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
42011	MPMC	42	С	FOS Approved	094H097	Kahntah	9.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
42012	MPMC	42	С	FOS Approved	094H097	Kahntah	9.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
42019	MPMC	42	С	FOS Approved	0941017/018	Kahntah	72.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
42020	MPMC	42	С	FOS Approved	0941017/018	Kahntah	21.3	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
42021	A84602	42	С	FOS Approved	94101700	Kahntah	232.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
42022	A84602	42	С	FOS Approved	94101700	Kahntah	130.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
42023	MPMC	42	С	FOS Approved	0941017/018	Kahntah	54.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
42024	Cd	42	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H097	Kahntah	60.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
42025	Cc	42	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H097	Kahntah	20.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
42026	Cd	42	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H097	Kahntah	49.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
42027	Cc	42	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H097	Kahntah	51.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
43051	PV	43	D	FOS Approved	094A044	Lower Beatton	41.6	0.00	Winter			М
43052	BCc	43	С	FOS Approved	094A044	Lower Beatton	119.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
43053	LP	43	D	Authorized	094A044	Lower Beatton	7.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
43054	LP	43	D	Authorized	094A044	Lower Beatton	17.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
43055	LP	43	D	Authorized	094A044	Lower Beatton	183.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
43056	LP	43	D	Authorized	094A044	Lower Beatton	69.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
43063	PV	43	D	FOS Approved	094A044	Lower Beatton	80.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
43064	PV	43	D	FOS Approved	094A034	Lower Beatton	112.1	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
43065	Cc	43	С	FOS Approved	094A034/044	Lower Beatton	14.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
43067	Cd	43	D	Authorized	094A035	Lower Beatton	37.4	0.00	Summer			N/A
43068	Cd	43	D	Authorized	094A035	Lower Beatton	46.2	0.00	Summer			N/A
43069	Cd	43	D	Authorized	094A035/045	Lower Beatton	9.1	0.00	Summer			N/A
43073	BCd	43	D	FOS Approved	094A033	Lower Beatton	22.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
43074	BCd	43	D	FOS Approved	094A033	Lower Beatton	48.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
43075	BCd	43	D	FOS Approved	094A033	Lower Beatton	35.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
43078	BCd	43	D	FOS Approved	094A033	Lower Beatton	16.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
43079	BCd	43	D	FOS Approved	094A033	Lower Beatton	69.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
43080	BCd	43	D	FOS Approved	094A033	Lower Beatton	35.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
44043	A92232	44	D	FOS Approved	094A012	Kobes	55.9	0.00	Summer			N/A
44047	PV	44	D	Authorized	094A031	Kobes	93.2	0.00	Summer			N/A
44048	LP	44	D	Authorized	094A031/032	Kobes	25.1	0.00	Summer			N/A
44050	PV	44	D	Authorized	094A032	Kobes	71.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
44056	LP	44	D	FOS Approved	094A032	Kobes	165.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
44059	LP	44	D	FOS Approved	094A012	Kobes	154.1	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
44063	Сс	44	С	Authorized	094A031	Kobes	183.5	0.00	Summer			N/A
44064	PV	44	D	Authorized	094A031	Kobes	139.1	0.00	Summer			N/A
44068	LP	44	D	Authorized	094A022	Kobes	48.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
44071	Cd	44	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A031	Kobes	66.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
44072	BCc	44	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A032	Kobes	28.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
44073	BCd	44	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A032	Kobes	44.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
44074	Cd	44	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A023	Kobes	37.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
44075	Cd	44	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A022	Kobes	84.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
45001	A76796	45	С	FOS Approved	94B.030	Kobes	137.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
45007	A76795	45	D	FOS Approved	94B030	Kobes	36.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
45008	A76795	45	С	FOS Approved	94B030	Kobes	223.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
45009	A76795	45	D	FOS Approved	94B030	Kobes	58.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
45012	BCc	45	С	FOS Approved	94B030	Kobes	72.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
45013	BCd	45	D	FOS Approved	94B030	Kobes	69.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
45014	BCc	45	С	FOS Approved	94B030	Kobes	28.8	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
45015	BCc	45	С	FOS Approved	94B030	Kobes	16.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
45017	A93384	45	С	FOS Approved	94A.021	Kobes	52.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
45027	BCc	45	С	FOS Approved	094B020	Kobes	31.6	0.00	Summer			N/A
45028	A92984	45	С	FOS Approved	094B030	Kobes	60.6	0.00	Summer			N/A
45029	A92240	45	D	FOS Approved	094B030	Kobes	49.0	0.00	Summer			N/A
45030	LP	45	D	FOS Approved	094A011	Kobes	127.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
45032	BCd	45	D	FOS Approved	094B020	Kobes	143.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
45033	BCd	45	D	FOS Approved	094B020	Kobes	61.3	0.00	Summer			N/A
45034	LP	45	D	FOS Approved	094B030	Kobes	63.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
45037	Сс	45	С	Authorized	094B030	Kobes	47.8	2.28	Winter			N/A
45041	BCd	45	D	FOS Approved	094B030	Kobes	89.1	0.00	Summer			N/A
45043	LP	45	D	FOS Approved	094A011/021/09 4B020	Kobes	471.1	0.00	Summer			N/A
45044	PV	45	D	Authorized	094A021	Kobes	230.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
45045	PV	45	D	FOS Approved	094A021	Kobes	86.3	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
45046	A93057	45	D	FOS Approved	094A021	Kobes	159.9	0.00	Summer			N/A
45049	LP	45	D	FOS Approved	094B030	Kobes	61.7	0.00	Summer			N/A
45050	A93055	45	D	FOS Approved	094B030	Kobes	38.3	0.00	Summer			N/A
45051	A93054	45	D	FOS Approved	094A011	Kobes	244.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
45053	BCc	45	С	FOS Approved	094B030	Kobes	44.4	0.00	Summer			N/A
45054	PV	45	D	Authorized	094A021	Kobes	63.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
45055	BCc	45	С	FOS Approved	094B020	Kobes	49.3	5.18	Winter			N/A
45056	LP	45	D	FOS Approved	094B030	Kobes	111.6	0.42	Summer			N/A
45059	Cc	09	С	FOS Approved	094B040	Kobes	164.1	0.00	Summer			N/A
45063	A76795	45	D	FOS Approved	094B030	Kobes	27.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
45064	A92236	45	С	FOS Approved	093B030	Kobes	29.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
45065	DZ	45	С	Authorized	094B030	Kobes	16.9	0.14	Summer			N/A
45066	DZ	45	С	FOS Approved	094B030	Kobes	29.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
45067	Сс	45	С	Authorized	094B030	Kobes	6.7	0.00	Summer			N/A
45069	LP	45	D	FOS Approved	094B030	Kobes	27.7	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
45070	Cd	45	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A021	Kobes	78.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
45071	BCc	45	С	FOS Approved	094A021	Kobes	40.9	0.00	Summer			N/A
45072	A95220	45	С	FOS Approved	094A021	Kobes	118.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
45073	BCc	45	С	FOS Approved	094A021	Kobes	67.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
45074	A95220	45	С	FOS Approved	094A021	Kobes	182.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
45075	BCc	45	С	FOS Approved	094B020	Kobes	91.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
45076	BCc	45	С	FOS Approved	094A021	Kobes	47.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
45077	BCc	45	С	FOS Approved	094A021	Kobes	91.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
45078	A95220	45	С	FOS Approved	094A021	Kobes	33.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
45079	A95220	45	С	FOS Approved	094B030	Kobes	26.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
45080	BCc	45	С	FOS Approved	094B030	Kobes	38.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
45081	BCc	45	С	FOS Approved	094A021	Kobes	115.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
45082	Cd	45	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A021	Kobes	129.2	0.00	Summer			N/A
45083	Cc	45	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A021	Kobes	52.7	0.00	Summer			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
45084	Cd	45	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A021	Kobes	129.4	0.00	Summer			N/A
45085	Cc	45	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A021	Kobes	28.4	0.00	Summer			N/A
45086	Cd	45	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A021	Kobes	108.6	0.00	Summer			N/A
45087	Cc	45	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A021	Kobes	38.4	0.94	Summer			N/A
45088	Cc	45	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094A021	Kobes	47.4	0.00	Summer			N/A
45089	Cc	45	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B040	Kobes	24.0	0.00	winter			N/A
45090	Cc	45	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B030	Kobes	74.8	0.00	Summer			N/A
45091	Cc	45	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B030	Kobes	192.4	0.00	Summer			N/A
45092	Cc	45	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B030	Kobes	69.5	0.00	Summer			N/A
45093	Cc	45	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B030	Kobes	185.6	0.00	Summer			N/A
45094	BCd	45	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A031	Kobes	24.5	0.00	Summer			N/A
45095	Cc	45	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B030	Kobes	182.4	0.00	Summer			N/A
45096	BCc	45	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B030	Kobes	91.6	0.00	winter			N/A
45097	BCc	45	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B030	Kobes	150.5	0.00	winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
45098	BCd	45	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B020	Kobes	43.8	0.00	winter			N/A
45099	BCd	45	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094B020	Kobes	120.3	17.29	winter			N/A
45100	BCd	44	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094A031	Kobes	31.6	0.00	winter			N/A
45101	BCc	45	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094B030	Kobes	22.9	0.00	winter			N/A
46001	Cc	46	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094G038	Trutch	74.4	0.00	winter			М
47001	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	94G055	Trutch	52.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
47002	BCc	47	С	FOS Approved	94G055	Trutch	36	0.00	Winter			N/A
47003	BCc	21	С	FOS Approved	94G055	Trutch	80.5	2.29	Winter			N/A
50001	Cd	50	D	FOS Approved	094H055	Kahntah	76.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
50002	Cd	50	D	FOS Approved	094H055	Kahntah	20.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
50003	Cd	50	D	FOS Approved	094H055	Kahntah	80.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
50004	Cd	50	D	FOS Approved	094H045/055	Kahntah	169.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
50005	Cd	50	D	FOS Approved	094H045	Kahntah	37.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
50006	BCd	11	D	FOS Approved	094H045	Kahntah	14.7	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
50007	BCd	11	D	FOS Approved	094H045	Kahntah	38.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
50008	BCd	11	D	FOS Approved	094H045	Kahntah	25.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
50009	BCd	11	D	FOS Approved	094H045	Kahntah	17.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
50010	Cd	50	D	FOS Approved	094H045/055	Kahntah	84.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
50011	Cd	50	D	FOS Approved	094H045	Kahntah	4.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
50012	Cd	50	D	FOS Approved	094H045	Kahntah	7.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
50013	Cd	50	D	FOS Approved	094H046/056	Kahntah	57.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
50014	Cd	50	D	FOS Approved	094H045	Kahntah	4.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
50015	Cd	50	D	FOS Approved	094H046/056	Kahntah	10.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
50016	Cd	50	D	FOS Approved	094H046/056	Kahntah	124.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
50017	BCd	11	D	FOS Approved	094H046	Kahntah	49.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
50018	Cd	50	D	FOS Approved	094H056	Kahntah	107.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
50019	Cc	50	С	FOS Approved	094H046	Kahntah	313.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
50020	BCd	11	D	FOS Approved	094H046	Kahntah	17.5	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
50021	Cc	50	С	FOS Approved	094H055/065	Kahntah	188.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
50022	Cd	50	D	FOS Approved	094H055	Kahntah	17.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
50023	Cd	50	D	FOS Approved	094H055	Kahntah	7.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
50024	Cc	50	С	FOS Approved	094H055	Kahntah	12.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
50025	Cd	50	D	FOS Approved	094H055	Kahntah	19.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
50026	Cd	50	D	FOS Approved	094H045	Kahntah	114.3	1.28	Winter			N/A
50027	BCc	11	С	FOS Approved	094H045	Kahntah	20.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
50028	BCc	11	С	FOS Approved	094H045	Kahntah	74.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
50029	Cc	50	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H067	Kahntah	98.6	0.00	winter			N/A
50030	Cc	50	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H057	Kahntah	6.3	0.00	winter			N/A
50031	Cd	50	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H057	Kahntah	20.8	0.00	winter			N/A
50032	Cc	50	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H057	Kahntah	128.0	0.00	winter			N/A
50033	Cd	50	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H057	Kahntah	160.7	0.00	winter			N/A
50034	Cd	50	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H057	Kahntah	38.2	0.00	winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
50035	Cc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H057	Kahntah	9.6	0.00	winter			N/A
50036	Cc	14	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H057	Kahntah	118.1	0.00	winter			N/A
50037	Cd	50	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H055	Kahntah	43.4	0.00	winter			N/A
50038	Cd	50	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H055	Kahntah	55.2	0.00	winter			N/A
50039	Cc	50	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H055	Kahntah	251.0	0.00	winter			N/A
50040	BCc	50	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H055	Kahntah	134.2	0.00	winter			N/A
50041	BCd	50	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H055	Kahntah	29.2	0.00	winter			N/A
50042	BCc	50	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H055	Kahntah	5.3	0.00	winter			N/A
50043	BCd	50	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H055	Kahntah	60.2	0.00	winter			N/A
50044	BCc	50	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H045	Kahntah	23.9	2.41	winter			N/A
50045	BCd	50	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H045	Kahntah	22.9	0.00	winter			N/A
50046	BCc	50	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H045	Kahntah	22.0	0.00	winter			N/A
50047	BCd	50	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H045	Kahntah	18.4	0.00	winter			N/A
51011	BCd	16	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H085	Tommy Lakes	58.3	0.00	winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
51012	BCd	16	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H075	Tommy Lakes	38.4	0.00	winter			N/A
51013	Cd	16	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H085	Tommy Lakes	168.5	0.00	winter			N/A
51014	Cd	16	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H075	Tommy Lakes	107.5	0.00	winter			N/A
51015	BCd	16	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H075	Tommy Lakes	116.0	0.00	winter			N/A
51016	Сс	50	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H065	Kahntah	172.0	0.00	winter			N/A
51017	Сс	51	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H077	Kahntah	62.8	0.00	winter			N/A
51018	Cd	51	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H077	Kahntah	35.2	0.00	winter			N/A
51019	Cd	51	D	FOS#3 Proposed	094H075	Kahntah	45.5	0.00	winter			N/A
51020	Сс	51	С	FOS#3 Proposed	094H077	Kahntah	8.9	0.00	winter			N/A
S03003	Сс	03	С	FOS Approved	094H001	Blueberry	4.7	0.00	winter			N/A
S03004	Cd	03	D	FOS Approved	094H001	Blueberry	39.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
S03006	Cd	03	D	FOS Approved	094H001	Blueberry	19.0	0.01	Winter			N/A
S03041	Cd	03	D	FOS Approved	094G009	Blueberry	13.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
S03106	Cd	03	D	FOS Approved	094G010	Blueberry	2.6	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
S03107	Cc	03	С	FOS Approved	094G010	Blueberry	3.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
S03108	Cd	03	D	FOS Approved	094G010	Blueberry	13.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
S04025	LP	04	D	FOS Approved	094B080	Blueberry	12.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
S04043	Сс	04	С	FOS Approved	094B080	Blueberry	6.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
S04045	Сс	04	С	FOS Approved	094B080	Blueberry	3.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
S06090	Cd	06	D	FOS Approved	094B099/100	Blueberry	155.4	0.00	Winter			М
S18020	Cd	18	D	FOS Approved	094H014	Blueberry	180.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
S18021	Cd	18	D	FOS Approved	094H014	Blueberry	6.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
S18023	Cd	18	D	FOS Approved	094H014	Blueberry	5.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
S18024	PV	18	D	Authorized	094H014	Blueberry	13.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
S18032	Cd	18	D	FOS Approved	094H002	Blueberry	4.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
S18109	Cd	18	D	FOS Approved	094H013/014	Blueberry	25.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24011	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H011	Tommy Lakes	36.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24012	Сс	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011	Tommy Lakes	23.2	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
S24015	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H011	Tommy Lakes	16.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24017	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H011	Tommy Lakes	37.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24020	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011	Tommy Lakes	15.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24021	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H001/011	Tommy Lakes	19.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24022	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H011	Tommy Lakes	14.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24023	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094H011	Tommy Lakes	11.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24024	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H011	Tommy Lakes	8.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24025	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094H011	Tommy Lakes	3.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24030	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	Tommy Lakes	3.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24031	Сс	24	С	FOS Approved	094G030	Tommy Lakes	23.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24032	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G030	Tommy Lakes	14.1	0.24	Winter			N/A
S24034	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G030	Tommy Lakes	52.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24035	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G030	Tommy Lakes	17.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24061	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	Tommy Lakes	12.9	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
S24062	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G029	Tommy Lakes	12.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24063	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	Tommy Lakes	37.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24064	Сс	24	С	FOS Approved	094G029	Tommy Lakes	2.6	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24065	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	Tommy Lakes	22.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24066	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	Tommy Lakes	9.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24067	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	Tommy Lakes	7.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24068	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	Tommy Lakes	5.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24069	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	Tommy Lakes	4.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24070	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	Tommy Lakes	10.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24071	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	Tommy Lakes	14.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24072	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	Tommy Lakes	1.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24073	Сс	24	С	FOS Approved	094G029	Tommy Lakes	3.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24074	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	Tommy Lakes	7.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24075	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	Tommy Lakes	4.0	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
S24076	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	Tommy Lakes	3.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24077	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	Tommy Lakes	5.2	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24078	Сс	24	С	FOS Approved	094G029	Tommy Lakes	3.7	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24079	Сс	24	С	FOS Approved	094G029	Tommy Lakes	15.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24080	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	Tommy Lakes	6.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24081	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	Tommy Lakes	1.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24082	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	Tommy Lakes	3.4	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24083	Сс	24	С	FOS Approved	094G029	Tommy Lakes	15.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24084	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	Tommy Lakes	3.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24085	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	Tommy Lakes	8.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24086	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G029	Tommy Lakes	6.8	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24088	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G020	Tommy Lakes	6.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24089	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G020	Tommy Lakes	11.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24090	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G020	Tommy Lakes	3.3	0.00	Winter			N/A

Block ID	Owner	Operating Area	Stand Type	Plan Status	Mapsheet	Landscape Unit	Gross Area (Ha)	Height Class 2 Pine (Ha)	Season	Graham Cluster ID/Yr	Cable Yarding (ha)	Scenic Area
S24102	Cc	24	С	FOS Approved	094G020	Tommy Lakes	6.9	0.00	Winter			N/A
S24120	Cd	24	D	FOS Approved	094G020/094H0 11	Tommy Lakes	17.1	0.00	Winter			N/A
S36001	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G017	Tommy Lakes	19.5	0.00	Winter			N/A
S36009	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G017	Tommy Lakes	59.3	0.00	Winter			R
S36018	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G018	Tommy Lakes	4.1	0.69	Winter			N/A
S36019	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G018	Tommy Lakes	27.6	0.00	Winter			М
S36020	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G018	Tommy Lakes	11.4	2.84	Winter			М
S36022	Сс	36	С	FOS Approved	094G028	Tommy Lakes	37.5	2.91	Winter			N/A
S36026	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G028	Tommy Lakes	48.8	1.06	Winter			N/A
S36027	Сс	36	С	FOS Approved	094G028	Tommy Lakes	1.9	1.90	Winter			N/A
S36028	Сс	36	С	FOS Approved	094G028	Tommy Lakes	34.9	1.87	Winter			N/A
S36034	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G028/029	Tommy Lakes	2.3	0.00	Winter			N/A
S36036	Cd	36	D	FOS Approved	094G028/029	Tommy Lakes	22.0	0.00	Winter			N/A
S43044	LP	43	D	FOS Approved	094A023	Lower Beatton	40.9	0.00	Winter			N/A

Appendix A: Summary of Pilot Project Participants

#### **DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTICIPANTS**

The BC Timber Sales Manager and any holder of an agreement under the Forest Act who carries out forest practices in the pilot project area may become a Participant in the Fort St. John Pilot Project. Reference to "**Participants**" throughout this FOS refers to those forest companies or government agencies who have agreed to participate in the Fort St. John Pilot Project. Some Participants have delegated the forest management activities in the TSA, related to their licences, to other Participants through legal Memorandums of Agreements (MOA's). Reference to "**Managing Participants**" is to those government agencies, or those companies who, through these MOA's, will be principally responsible for forestry operations conducted under this SFMP. The following agencies and forest companies are Participants in the pilot project:

#### **BC TIMBER SALES**

BC Timber Sales (BCTS) was founded in 2003 as an independent organization within the Ministry of Forests, with financial independence from regional and district operations. The mandate of BCTS is to provide the cost and price benchmarks for timber harvested from public land in British Columbia. Through 12 Business Areas and an operational presence in 33 locations, BCTS manages some 20 percent of the provincial Crown allowable annual cut.

BCTS Goal:

Provide credible representative price and cost benchmark data for the Market Pricing System through auctions of timber harvested from public land in British Columbia.

## **Objectives:**

:

- 1. Sell the full BC Timber Sales' apportionment over the business cycle, consistent with safe practices and sustainable forest management;
- 2. Generate direct net revenue and indirect revenue for the province over the business cycle; and
- 3. Continuous business improvement.

The BCTS commitment to the safety of all people affected by its operations – employees, contractors, licensees and the public – is demonstrated by achievement of SAFE Company certification in August 2008. BCTS requires SAFE Company certification for all parties employing workers on Timber Sales Licences or bidding on contracts with BCTS.

The BC Timber Sales Peace-Liard Business Area geographically encompasses the Fort Nelson and Peace (Natural Resource District. The administrative, planning and management centre for the business area is the Timber Sales Office (TSO) located in Dawson Creek. In addition to the TSO, field teams comprised of field-oriented staff reporting to the main TSO are located in Dawson Creek, Fort Nelson and Fort St. John.

BCTS currently has a coniferous apportionment in the Fort St. John Timber Supply Area of 442,059 m<sup>3</sup> per year and a deciduous apportionment of 180,000 m<sup>3</sup> per year.

However 70,000 m<sup>3</sup> of the coniferous apportionment has been awarded as a Section 13.1 non-replaceable forest license (A59959) to Cameron River Logistics which is also a Participant in the pilot project. The remaining 372,059 m<sup>3</sup> of conifer and the 180,000 m<sup>3</sup> of deciduous are auctioned competitively.

Refer to the SFMP for BCTS's SFM policy. BCTS is one of the **Managing Participants** referred to in this FOS.

# CAMERON RIVER LOGISTICS LTD.

Cameron River Logistics (CRL) operates as a custom manufacturer of softwood products in Taylor BC (approximately 15km south of Fort St. John) for distribution to various value-added manufacturers.

CRL is the holder of Forest Licence A59959, a non-replaceable forest licence with a term of 15 years, which expired December 31, 2016. This licence had an allowable annual cut (AAC) of 70,000 m<sup>3</sup> of timber from coniferous leading stands located in the Fort St. John Timber Supply Area (TSA). The volume associated with this licence has reverted back to BC Timber Sales. The company has a full time employee base of 29 people, and has retained the services of Canfor to manage all aspects of its forest licence (i.e. planning, harvesting, reforestation, etc) on their behalf.

CRL became a Participant in the FSJ Results Based Pilot Project on December 19<sup>th</sup>, 2002.

## CANADIAN FOREST PRODUCTS LTD.

Canfor Corporation is a leading Canadian integrated forest products company based in Vancouver, BC. The company is a major producer of lumber and bleached kraft pulp. It also produces semi-bleached and unbleached kraft paper and remanufactured lumber products. The main operating company is Canadian Forest Products Ltd., from which the name Canfor is derived.

Canfor operates two wholly owned facilities in the Fort St. John area. A random length dimension mill near Fort St. John currently produces spruce-pine-fir lumber for the North American and Asian housing markets and the British Columbia secondary manufacturing industry. By-product chips are utilized in the Taylor Pulpmill, which also utilizes chips from deciduous logs to produce pulp for its overseas pulp markets. Canfor manages the timber licences that supply deciduous (aspen) fibre to the Peace Valley Oriented Strand Board (OSB) mill in Fort St. John, which is owned by Louisiana Pacific Canada. This mill uses only deciduous timber.

These three facilities consume approximately 2 million m<sup>3</sup> of coniferous and deciduous timber annually during normal operating conditions. The primary sources of this timber are deciduous and coniferous tenures in the Fort St. John Timber Supply Area (TSA) which are held by the various Participants in the Pilot Project. Tenures held by Canfor include Forest Licence A18154 and Pulpwood agreement #12. Additional volumes are purchased from other sources in the area, including the BC Timber Sales Program, woodlots, and private landowners.

Canfor's Fort St. John/Taylor operations employs approximately 350 persons directly and another 200 contractor employees in woodlands operations.

Canfor has obtained certification of all its woodlands operations under the ISO 14001 standard, and Canadian Standards Association (CSA) Sustainable Forest Management

System for its operations in the Fort St. John TSA. Refer to the SFMP for Canfor's SFM policy. Canfor is one of the **Managing Participants** referred to in the FOS.

# LOUISIANA-PACIFIC CANADA LTD.

Founded in 1973 and headquartered in Portland, Oregon, Louisiana-Pacific Corporation (LP) is a leading manufacturer of building materials in North America, with facilities throughout the United States, Canada, and in Chile. LP has more than 40 manufacturing facilities in North America.

LP's trademark is their superior ability to provide a wide variety of cost-competitive commodity and value-added specialty building products to their retail, wholesale, homebuilding, and industrial customers.

As one of the North America's largest suppliers of building products, LP is committed to providing high-quality products and ideas, and the highest level of service for our customers.

Louisiana-Pacific Canada Ltd. is the Canadian arm of Louisiana-Pacific Corporation. Canadian facilities are located in Nova Scotia, Quebec, Ontario, Manitoba, and British Columbia.

LP holds one Forest License and one Pulpwood Agreement in the Fort St John TSA. The timber from these tenures supplies an oriented strand board plant, which is run by Peace Valley OSB Limited Partnership, formerly a 50/50 joint venture between LP and Canfor. Peace Valley OSB is now wholly owned by LP.

The Sustainable Forestry Initiative is a strategic priority for LP. Innovation, adaptation and continual improvement of forest management practices on all forested lands are key components to sustainable forest management. The Fort St. John Results Based Pilot Project provides unique opportunities and unique challenges in leading the forest industry in BC into a new era of forest management. Data sharing, joint planning efforts, innovative silviculture activities, innovative management of mixedwood forests and a landscape level approach to forest management will help address the sustainable management of timber and other forest resources.

LP's tenures within the pilot project are managed by Canfor Woodlands.

## **DUNNE-ZA LP**

West Moberly First Nations (WMFN) approached the expanding resource industry development within their traditional territory by devising a business strategy that supports an unwavering and exceptional commitment to their mandate: "to protect and manage the land and environment for economic and cultural uses for our future generations". They incorporate protection of treaty and aboriginal rights, and actively seek green industry solutions while working toward their long-term goal of realizing economic self-sufficiency.

West Moberly First Nations conducts business through their wholly-owned, economic development management company Dunne-za Ventures. Dunne-za Ventures, in an effort to stabilize and support the community economy, works strategically with individual band member companies and community based companies to help maintain their businesses. Through their actions WMFN verifies their dedication to the health and sustainability of their community.

#### FOREST OPERATIONS SCHEDULE #3

Community demographics and rapidly expanding resource development within West Moberly First Nations traditional territory has required Dunne-za Ventures LP to develop a business model built upon subcontracting, joint ventures, strategic alliances and partnerships. Working through existing, well established and reputable companies allows Dunne-za Ventures LP access to the necessary capital and expertise to competitively fulfill contract conditions. Dunne-za Ventures LP works strategically with other First Nations business entities, including individual band member companies, as well as community based companies.

A key success factor for WMFN's long term economic self-sufficiency will be the corporate sustainability of Dunne-za Ventures Limited Partnership and Dunne-za Economic Development Corporation. Dunne-za Ventures LP will continue to explore options to become involved in economic development / contracting opportunities that yield long-term relationships.

Dunne-za Ventures LP sees the potential to leverage short-term interests into long-term opportunities through strategic alliances and discipline. WMFN will promote sustainable business options through its various Impact-Benefit Agreements (IBA) and Memoranda of Agreement (MOA) with industry and governments at all levels.

West Moberly, through Dunne-za Ventures, jointly holds coniferous Forest License A56771 in the Fort St. John TSA, along with Canfor. This licence has an AAC of 150,000 m<sup>3</sup> per year. The licence is administered by Canfor through a Memorandum of Agreement, which provides economic benefits and employment opportunities to the community.

### MACKENZIE PULP MILL CORPORATION

On March 8, 2014, Chetwynd Mechanical Pulp Inc., a Paper Excellence Company, acquired the Chetwynd pulp mill from Tembec Inc., making this its seventh mill in Canada and its fourth pulp mill in British Columbia. In July 2017 ownership of licence A60972, was transferred from Chetwynd Mechanical Pulp Inc to Mackenzie Pulp Mill Corporation, which is another Paper Excellence Company.

Paper Excellence is a sustainable, profitable globally integrated fiber products provider that is economically, socially, and environmentally responsible. Through innovation and adaptability, Paper Excellence provides cellulose based products at lowest possible cost. As noted by Paper Excellence executives "We will constantly strive to improve the global competitiveness of our customers, while enhancing the sustainability of our manufacturing operations and the well-being of the communities in which we operate".

Paper Excellence is a privately owned group of companies in the pulp and paper industry. With their headquarters in Richmond, BC Canada, the Company has close to 2 million tonnes of pulp production capacity, 550,000 tonnes of paper production capacity through 6 mills in Canada, 2 mills in France in cities of Saint-Gaudens and Tarascon, and 1 paper mill in Germany.

Paper Excellence employs approximately 2,600 employees in Canada. In all of their operations, Paper Excellence has always ensured that it maintains strong relationships with local and national governments, local communities, unions, non-government agencies and other stakeholders.

Mackenzie Pulp Mill Corporation (MPMC) is currently in indefinite shutdown. MPMC, when operating as Chetwynd Mechanical Pulp (CMP), had been operating a high yield pulp mill approximately 30 km east of Chetwynd, BC. The facility is capable of producing

Bleached Chemi-Thermo Mechanical pulp from Aspen, Cottonwood and softwood fibre (primarily residual SPF chips). The manufactured pulp products were sold mainly in Canada, the United States, Europe and Asia. CMP's Chetwynd operations had been employing 160 persons directly and another 90 to 100 contract employees in log yard and woodlands operations.

CMP's Chetwynd operations had consumed approximately 520,000 m<sup>3</sup> of hardwood timber and residual softwood chips annually. The primary source of the hardwood timber is Forest Licence A70730, a non-replaceable forest licence, with an annual allowable cut of 252,000 m<sup>3</sup> in the Dawson Creek TSA. The other primary source of timber is Pulpwood Agreement #13, which allows up to 200,000 additional cubic metres per year from Crown land. Pulpwood Agreement #13 has an 18,000 m<sup>3</sup> apportionment in the Farrell Creek area of the Fort St. John TSA. This volume is not associated with the Fort St. John Code Pilot and is managed separately from the Pilot participants by LP for CMP.

As part of the purchase of the Chetwynd Pulp Mill from Louisiana-Pacific Ltd. in October 2002, the former Tembec and CMP, now Mackenzie Pulp Mill Corporation, acquired the rights to FL A60972, a non-replaceable coniferous forest licence in the Fort St. John TSA, with an annual allowable cut of 83,498 m<sup>3</sup> per year. Mackenzie Pulp Mill Corporation has entered into a Timber Tenure Management Agreement with one of the Pilot Project Partners (Canfor), which will enable Canfor to manage the woodlands operations for licence A60972 on MPMC's behalf.

### PEACE VALLEY ORIENTED STRAND BOARD

Peace Valley OSB (PVOSB) formerly a joint venture OSB mill owned by Canfor Corp. (Canfor) and Louisiana-Pacific Canada (LP) is now wholly owned by LP. In late March 2013 Canfor sold its interests in PVOSB, including the majority of timber volume associated with Pulpwood Agreement # 12 (PA 12) to Louisiana Pacific Canada (LP). The portion of timber volume from PA 12 transferred to LP has been issued to LP by the MFLNRO as PA 20.

Prior to this, in 2000, Slocan Forest Products Ltd. (Slocan) and LP determined to work collectively to respond to a call for proposals made by the BC Government in 1998 to harvest aspen and cottonwood in the Fort St. John Timber Supply Area. At the time, Slocan operated an OSB mill in Fort Nelson while LP operated an OSB plant in Dawson Creek. The two companies formed Slocan-LP OSB Corp. after deciding that one large OSB mill would have a greater chance of success versus each company operating its own smaller mill. Slocan-LP OSB Corp. was changed to Canfor-LP OSB Corp. in 2004 after Canfor completed a successful takeover of Slocan.

On December 31, 2004 the legal structure of Slocan-LP OSB Corp. was changed to a 50/50 partnership rather than a corporation. Today PVOSB is wholly and operated by LP.

LP sells PVOSB product into the North American market. Woodlands operations for Peace Valley OSB are managed by Canfor staff under a timber tenure management agreement with LP. LP holds Forest Licence A85946 with an AAC of 150,000 m3 which is managed by Canfor's' woodlands staff on behalf of PVOSB.

## Appendix B: Advertisements

#### FOREST OPERATIONS SCHEDULE #3

#### Following is a copy of the MFLNRO approval of the FOS 3 Public Review Notice.

External FW Proposed FOS 3 Public Review Notice.msg

From: Sent: To: Subject:

Hunt, Elizabeth A FLNR:EX <Elizabeth.Hunt@gov.bc.ca> Wednesday, March 29, 2017 11:28 AM Regimbald, Darrell [External] FW: Proposed FOS 3 Public Review Notice

Good Morning Darrell;

Your approval for the FOS #3 advertisement.

Elizabeth A. Hunt

From: Van Dolah, Greg FLNR:EX Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2017 10:57 AM To: Hunt, Elizabeth A FLNR:EX; Van Tassel, Mark A FLNR:EX; Johnson, Marianne FLNR:EX Subject: RE: Proposed FOS 3 Public Review Notice

I approve with this recommendation, please advise Canfor that they can proceed.



Greg Van Dolah Director of Authorizations

Regional Operations | Northeast Region Phone (250) 787-3534 | Cell (250) 719-5379 Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operatio

CONSIDER A CAREER IN E .C.'s NORTH

From: Hunt, Elizabeth A FLNR:EX Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2017 10:20 AM To: Van Tassel, Mark A FLNR:EX; Johnson, Marianne FLNR:EX; Van Dolah, Greg FLNR:EX Subject: RE: Proposed FOS 3 Public Review Notice

This advertisement covers all of the information needed, and has enough details to allow comment from concerned public. I would recommend that the Acting District Manager reply that the advertisement is approved (cc me please) and then they can get it out there for comment.

Elizabeth A. Hunt

#### Copy of ad posted on www.fsjnow.com

#### Frontpage / Announcements / General

#### **Review Of Forest Operations Schedule 3**

Description : NOTICE OF PUBLIC REVIEW OF FOREST OPERATIONS SCHEDULE #3

Notice is hereby given that Forest Operations Schedule #3 (FOS #3) has been prepared. FOS #3 applies to the Fort St. John Timber Supply Area and depicts the proposed location of timber harvesting and road construction activities for the period August 1st, 2017 to July 31st 2023 for forest tenures held by participant licencees of the Fort St. John Pilot Project. This includes B.C. Timber Sales, as well as the following coniferous and deciduous tenures held by participant licencees:

FL A18154 and Pulpwood Agreement 12 (Canadian Forest Products Ltd.), FL A60049, A85946 and Pulpwood Agreement 20 (Louisiana-Pacific Canada Ltd.), FL A60927 (Chetwynd Mechanical Pulp Inc.), and FL A56771 (Canadian Forest Products Ltd. & Dunne-za).

FOS #3 will be available for public review and comment from April 7th, 2017 until June 6th, 2017. Copies of FOS #3 are available for viewing between 8:30 a.m. and 4:00 p.m., Monday to Friday at the following locations:

Canadian Forest Products Ltd.: 9312 - 259 Road (Swanson Lumber Road), Fort St. John, B.C.,

and B.C. Ministry of Forests (B.C. Timber Sales): 9000-17th Street, Dawson Creek, B.C. and online at http://fsjpilotproject.com/fos.html

Written comments are invited and should be directed to the attention of:

Evan Hauk, RPF or Darrell Regimbald, RPF Canadian Forest Products Ltd. Canadian Forest Products Ltd. RR #1, Site 13, Compartment 2, Fort St. John, B.C. V1J 4M6 Telephone 250 787-3600, Fax 250 787-3622

Tony Wipfli, RPF BC Timber Sales 9000-17th Street, Dawson Creek, B.C. VIG 4A4 Telephone 250 262-3335, Fax 250 784-0143

The participant licences including B.C. Timber Sales, will review comments provided by the public concerning FOS #3. The Forest Operations Schedule may subsequently be revised as a result of written comments received prior to 4:30 pm, June 6th, 2017.

A Previous Ad

Copy of public advertisement placed in April 6, 13 & 20, 2017 editions of the Alaska Highway News.

## NOTICE OF PUBLIC REVIEW OF FOREST OPERATIONS SCHEDULE #3

Notice is hereby given that Forest Operations Schedule #3 (FOS #3) has been prepared. FOS #3 applies to the Fort St. John Timber Supply Area and depicts the proposed location of timber harvesting and road construction activities for the period August 1<sup>st</sup>, 2017 to July 31<sup>st</sup> 2023 for forest tenures held by participant licensees of the Fort St. John Pilot Project. This includes B.C. Timber Sales, as well as the following coniferous and deciduous tenures held by participant licensees:

FL A18154 and Pulpwood Agreement 12 (Canadian Forest Products Ltd.),

FL A60049, A85946 and Pulpwood Agreement 20 (Louisiana-Pacific Canada Ltd.),

FL A60972 (Chetwynd Mechanical Pulp Inc.), and

FL A56771 (Canadian Forest Products Ltd. & Dunne-za).

FOS #3 will be available for public review and comment from April 7<sup>th</sup>, 2017 until June 6<sup>th</sup>, 2017. Copies of FOS #3 are available for viewing between 8:30 a.m. and 4:00 p.m., Monday to Friday at the following locations:

**Canadian Forest Products Ltd.:** 9312 - 259 Road (Swanson Lumber Road), Fort St. John, B.C., and

B.C. Ministry of Forests (B.C. Timber Sales): 9000-17th Street, Dawson Creek, B.C.

and online at <a href="http://fsipilotproject.com/fos.html">http://fsipilotproject.com/fos.html</a>

Written comments are invited and should be directed to the attention of:

### Evan Hauk, RPF or Darrell Regimbald, RPF

### Canadian Forest Products Ltd.

RR #1, Site 13, Compartment 2, Fort St. John, B.C. V1J 4M6 Telephone 250 787-3600, Fax 250 787-3622

### Tony Wipfli, RPF

### BC Timber Sales

9000-17th Street, Dawson Creek, B.C. V1G 4A4

Telephone 250 262-3335, Fax 250 784-0143

The participant licensees including B.C. Timber Sales, will review comments provided by the public concerning FOS #3. The Forest Operations Schedule may subsequently be revised as a result of written comments received prior to 4:30 pm, June 6<sup>th</sup>, 2017.

### Copy of public advertisement placed on FSJNow website.



# Appendix C: First Nations & Stakeholder Communication Record

## FOS 3 Halfway River First Nations Communication Record

DATE	BCTS	CONTACT	unication Record	Documents	GENERAL DISCUSSION
	CONTACT	NAME (and Band)	method	Exchanged/ Reviewed	(identify any additional people involved in the discussion)
2017- 04-18	Tony Wipfli	Chief Darleen Hunter (Chief and Council)	Hand Delivered	FOS 3 info share package	Had a discusion with Lyle M and Roselyn N at HRFN. Question re: consistency with CCUA, new blocks within CCUA, and request of heli flight to review blocks when snowfree.
2017- 04-20	Tony Wipfli	Lyle Mortenson and Rosyln Notseta	email	none	Reply to Lyle and Roselyn regarding info request.
2017- 04-21	Tony Wipfli	Lyle Mortenson	email	none	Response from Lyle requesting a flight after May 16th for 2 days. Requesting what days we would be available.
2017- 04-24	Tony Wipfli	Lyle Mortenson and Rosyln Notseta	email	none	Response from Evan Hauk stating that all days other than May 29th can work.
2017- 05-01	Tony Wipfli	Lyle Mortenson and Rosyln Notseta	email	none	Response indicating my availability for flight.
2017- 05-03	Tony Wipfli	Lyle Mortenson and Rosyln Notseta	email	none	email reminding that initial comments were requested by May 17, 2017
2017- 05-03	Tony Wipfli	Lyle Mortenson	email	none	Request from Lyle for at least an additional 30 days to consultation timeframe
2017- 05-04	Tony Wipfli	Lyle Mortenson and Rosyln Notseta	email	none	Response to Lyle's request, that we won't close off consultation at 60 days if it is progressing
2017- 05-07	Tony Wipfli	Lyle Mortenson	email	none	Response from Lyle to my last email
2017- 05-08	Tony Wipfli	Lyle Mortenson and Rosyln Notseta	email	none	Response to Lyle stating that if it requires an additional 30 days, then that is what it will take
2017- 05-24	Tony Wipfli	Lyle Mortenson, William Field	aerial recce flight	none	Aerial recce flight to look at blocks of concern.

					0010BER 4111, 2017
DATE	BCTS	CONTACT	Communication	Documents	GENERAL DISCUSSION
	CONTACT	NAME	method	Exchanged/	(identify any additional
		(and Band)		Reviewed	people involved in the
					discussion)
2017-	Tony	Lyle	email	none	Response from HRFN after
05-26	Wipfli	Mortenson			their discussion re: aerial
					recce flight. Comments and
					requests provided regarding
					blocks viewed as well as
					others not previously
					identified. Additional
					comments from Chief and
					Council to follow.
2017-	Tony	Lyle	email	none	30 day notice, and inform of
05-30	Wipfli	Mortenson			30 day extension to
		and Rosyln			consultation period
		Notseta			
2017-	Tony	Lyle	email	none	60 day notice and upcoming
07-06	Wipfli	Mortenson			end to consultation period
		and Rosyln			
		Notseta			
2017-	Tony	Lyle	email	none	Additional comments (to
07-11	Wipfli	Mortenson			those submitted 2017-05-26)
					from HRFN
2017-	Tony	Rosyln	Email	None	Closure Notice
09-22	Wipfli	Notseta			
		and Lyle			
		Mortenson			

### FOS 3 Blueberry River First Nations Communication Record

DATE	BCTS CONTACT	CONTACT NAME (and Band)	Communication Method	Documents Exchanged/ Reviewed	GENERAL DISCUSSION (method of contact and identify any additional people involved in the discussion)
2017-	Jennifer	Chief and	Hand delivered	FOS 3 info	
04-18	McCracken	Council		share	
				package	
2017-	Tony	Norma	email	none	email reminding that initial
05-03	Wipfli	Pyle			comments were requested
					by May 17, 2017
2017-	Tony	Norma	email	none	Request from Norma for an
05-03	Wipfli	Pyle			additional 10 days as she
					hasn't had time to review
2017-	Tony	Norma	email	none	Respond to Norma's request
05-04	Wipfli	Pyle			that we may need to have
					follow up meetings soon
					after she provides comments.

DATE	DOTO				
DATE	BCTS	CONTACT	Communication	Documents	GENERAL DISCUSSION
	CONTACT	NAME	Method	Exchanged/	(method of contact and
		(and Band)		Reviewed	identify any additional
					people involved in the
					discussion)
2017-	Tony	Norma	phone call	none	Call from Norma asking who
05-08	Wipfli	Pyle			delivered package and who it
					was delivered to. We talked
					about request for 10 day
					extension and I stated that
					we have no problem with
					that.
2017-	Tony	Norma	email	none	30 notice and inform of 30
05-30	Wipfli	Pyle			day extension to consultation
					period
2017-	Tony	Norma	email	none	60 day notice and upcoming
07-06	Wipfli	Pyle			end to consultation period
2017-	Tony	Norma	email	none	Response from Norma
07-10	Wipfli	Pyle			indicating she is compiling a
					list of blocks that require
					deep consultations and that
					she is meeting with families
					late July/early August and
					will have a response from
					outcome of those meetings.
2017-	Tony	Norma	Email	None	Closure notice
09-22	Wipfli	Pyle			
	1	I	1		

### FOS 3 West Moberley First Nations Communication Record

DATE	BCTS CONTACT	CONTACT NAME (and Band)	Communication Method	Documents Exchanged/ Reviewed	GENERAL DISCUSSION ( method of contact and identify any additional people involved in the discussion)
2017-	Darrell	Chief and	Hand Delivered	FOS 3 info	
04-18	Regimbald	Council		share	
				package	
2017-	Tony	George	email	none	email reminding that initial
05-03	Wipfli	Dejarlais			comments were requested by
					May 17, 2017
2017-	Tony	George	email	none	30 day notice and inform of
05-30	Wipfli	Dejarlais			30 day extension to
					consultation period
2017-	Tony	George	email	none	60 day notice and upcoming
07-06	Wipfli	Dejarlais			end to consultation period

DATE	BCTS CONTACT	CONTACT NAME (and Band)	Communication Method	Documents Exchanged/ Reviewed	GENERAL DISCUSSION ( method of contact and identify any additional people involved in the discussion)
2017- 09-12	Tony Wipfli	George Dejarlais	email	none	Closure notice

### FOS 3 Saulteau First Nations Communication Record

DATE	BCTS CONTACT	CONTACT NAME (and Band)	Communication Method	Documents Exchanged/ Reviewed	GENERAL DISCUSSION (method of contact and identify any additional people involved in the discussion)
2017- 04-19	Evan Hauk	Chief and Council - John Stokmans	Email	FOS 3 info share package	email sent directly to John Stockmans
2017- 05-03	Tony Wipfli	John Stokmans	email	none	email reminding that initial comments were requested by May 17, 2017
2017- 05-30	Tony Wipfli	John Stokmans	Email	none	30 day notice and inform of 30 day extension to consultation period
2017- 07-06	Tony Wipfli	John Stokmans	Email	none	60 day notice and upcoming end to consultation period
2017- 07-12	Tony Wipfli	John Stokmans	Email	none	Response from John indicating that his GIS system is still down so can't make block specific comments. Once it is up again, he will do an overlap to indicate blocks with concerns
2017- 07-26	Tony Wipfli	John Stokmans	Email	none	follow up email asking status of comments that were expected last week
2017- 07-26	Tony Wipfli	John Stokmans	Email	none	reply from John indicating he is still having GIS issues, and if he can get it up and running, my have comments by week of Aug 7th.
2017- 08-09	Tony Wipfli	John Stokmans	Email	none	request an update on status of comments

DATE	BCTS CONTACT	CONTACT NAME (and Band)	Communication Method	Documents Exchanged/ Reviewed	GENERAL DISCUSSION (method of contact and identify any additional people involved in the discussion)
2017- 08-09	Tony Wipfli	John Stokmans	Email	Overlaps table and Valued components chart	Response from John with tables of overlaps and valued components chart
2017- 09-25	Tony Wipfli	John Stokmans	Email	Comments response document	Closure notice

### FOS 3 Horse Lake First Nations Communication Record

DATE	BCTS CONTACT	CONTACT NAME (and Band)	Communication Method	Documents Exchanged/ Reviewed	GENERAL DISCUSSION ( method of contact and identify any additional people involved in the discussion)
2017- 04-18		Chief and Council	Rush and Trace mail	FOS 3 info share package	Rush and Trace mail
2017- 05-02	Tony Wipfli	Casey Horseman and Farrah Grey	email	FOS 3 cover letter	Email sent as per HLFN request to get all referrals electronically. Email contained links to maps and FOS 3 document.
2017- 05-03	Tony Wipfli	Casey Horseman and Farrah Grey	email	none	email reminding that initial comments were requested by May 17, 2017
2017- 05-30	Tony Wipfli	Casey Horseman and Farrah Grey	email	none	30 day notice and inform of 30 day extension to consultation period
2017- 07-06	Tony Wipfli	Casey Horseman and Farrah Grey	email	none	60 day notice and upcoming end to consultation period
2017- 09-12	Tony Wipfli	Casey Horseman and Farrah Grey	email	none	Closure notice

### FOS 3 Doig River First Nations Communication Record

DATE	BCTS CONTACT	CONTACT NAME (and Band)	Communication Method	Documents Exchanged/ Reviewed	GENERAL DISCUSSION ( method of contact and identify any additional people involved in the discussion)
2017- 04-18	Evan Hauk	Chief and Council	Hand Delivered	FOS 3 info share package	
2017- 05-03	Tony Wipfli	Shona Nelson, Cec Heron, Teresa Thielen	email	none	email reminding that initial comments were requested by May 17, 2017
2017- 05-03	Tony Wipfli	Shona Nelson	email	none	Request to forward package to their Forester
2017- 05-03	Tony Wipfli	Kieran Broderick	email	none	Forwarded link to digital maps and document
2017- 05-30	Tony Wipfli	Shona Nelson, Cec Heron, Teresa Thielen	email	none	30 day notice and inform of 30 day extension to consultation period
2017- 06-09	Tony Wipfli	Kieran Broderick	email	none	request online meeting and dates that would work
2017- 06-22	Tony Wipfli	Teresa Thielen	email	Comments on Text portion of FOS	
2017- 07-04	Evan Hauk	Teresa Thielen	email	response letter	Letter of response to comments on text portion of FOS.
2017- 07-06	Tony Wipfli	Kieran Broderick	email	none	follow up email requesting online meeting to discuss FOS3 blocks
2017- 07-06	Tony Wipfli	Shona Nelson, Cec Heron, Teresa Thielen	email	none	60 day notice and upcoming end to consultation period
2017- 07-07	Tony Wipfli	Cec Heron	email	none	Response to indicate that Kieran Brodericks father had just passed away and will likely need an additional 2 weeks to provide comments.

FOREST OPERATIONS SCHEDULE #3					OCTOBER 41H, 2017
DATE	ATE BCTS CONTACT Communication Docume			Documents	GENERAL DISCUSSION (
	CONTACT	NAME	Method	Exchanged/	method of contact and
		(and		Reviewed	identify any additional
		Band)			people involved in the
					discussion)
2017-	Tony	Cec Heron	email	none	Offered condolenses, and
07-07	Wipfli				indicated that an additional 2
					weeks is fine if required.
2017-	Tony	Cec Heron	email	none	Follow up email stating that 2
07-26	Wipfli				week extension is almost up
					and haven't heard from them
2017-	Tony	Cec Heron	email	none	Email from Cec indicating
07-28	Wipfli				that we had received
					comments from Teresa on
					June 22
2017-	Tony	Cec Heron	email	none	Reply to email stating was
07-28	Wipfli				referring to block specific
					comments. We were trying
					to set up a meeting to go
					over maps and block specific
					concerns. If this is not the
					case, let me know, and we
					will proceed with finalizing
					plan in preparation for
					submission to Gov't
2017-	Tony	Cec	Email	None	Closure notice
09-20	Wipfli	Heron,			
		Shona			
		Nelson,			
		Teresa			
		Thielen			

### FOS 3 Prophet River First Nations Communication Record

DATE	BCTS CONTACT	CONTACT NAME (and Band)	Communication Method	Documents Exchanged/ Reviewed	GENERAL DISCUSSION (method of contact and identify any additional people involved in the discussion)
2017- 04-18		Chief and Council	Rush and Trace mail	FOS 3 info share package	Rush and Trace mail
2017- 05-03	Tony Wipfli	Robin. Tsakoza lynette. tsakoza Larissa. Tsakoza	email	none	email reminding that initial comments were requested by May 17, 2017

DATE	BCTS CONTACT	CONTACT NAME (and Band)	Communication Method	Documents Exchanged/ Reviewed	GENERAL DISCUSSION (method of contact and identify any additional people involved in the discussion)
2017- 05-30	Tony Wipfli	Robin. Tsakoza lynette. tsakoza Larissa. Tsakoza	email	none	30 day notice and inform of 30 day extension to consultation period
2017- 07-06	Tony Wipfli	Robin. Tsakoza lynette. tsakoza Larissa. Tsakoza	email	none	60 day notice and upcoming end to consultation period
2017- 09-12	Tony Wipfli	Robin. Tsakoza lynette. tsakoza Larissa. Tsakoza	email	none	Closure notice

### FOS 3 Fort Nelson River First Nations Communication Record

DATE	BCTS CONTACT	CONTACT NAME (and Band)	Communication Method	Documents Exchanged/ Reviewed	GENERAL DISCUSSION (method of contact and identify any additional people involved in the discussion)
2017- 04-18		Chief and Council	Rush and Trace mail	FOS 3 info share package	Rush and Trace mail
2017- 05-03	Tony Wipfli	Katherine Capotblanc and Lana Lowe	email	none	email reminding that initial comments were requested by May 17, 2017
2017- 05-03	Tony Wipfli	Katherine Capotblanc	email	none	Request from Katherine for digital version and shapefiles
2017- 05-03	Tony Wipfli	Katherine Capotblanc	email	shapefiles	Forwarded link to digital maps and document as well as included shapefiles for FOS3 blocks
2017- 05-30	Tony Wipfli	Katherine Capotblanc and Lana Lowe	email	none	30 day notice and inform of 30 day extension to consultation period
2017- 07-06	Tony Wipfli	Katherine Capotblanc and Lana Lowe	email	none	60 day notice and upcoming end to consultation period
2017- 07-07	Tony Wipfli	Katerine Capotblanc	email	none	Email stating that FNFN will be submitting a response next week

DATE	BCTS	CONTACT	Communication	Documents	GENERAL DISCUSSION
	CONTACT	NAME (and Band)	Method	Exchanged/ Reviewed	(method of contact and identify any additional people involved in the discussion)
2017- 07-12	Tony Wipfli	Aviva Jones	email	none	Aviva received a letter from FNFN outlining some of their concerns and that they have requested a meeting. Representative from FNFN not available until Monday July 17, and will set a meeting then.
2017- 07-14	Tony Wipfli	Aviva Jones	email	none	Request copy of letter from FNFN so we may prepare a response and able to discuss at the meeting
2017- 08-16	Stephanie Smith	Katherine Capotblanc	meeting	none	Face to face meeting in Fort Nelson to discuss FOS 3 blocks.
2017- 09-14	Jennifer McCracken	Aviva Jones	email	FNFN comments and mitigation table	Table summarizing FNFN comments received at August 16 meeting, and commitments made to address those comments/concerns.
2017- 09-20	Tony Wipfli	Katherine Capotblanc and Cynthia Burke	Email	None	Closure notice

#### FOS 3 Dene' Ta First Nations Communication Record

DATE	BCTS CONTACT	CONTACT NAME (and Band)	Communication Method	Documents Exchanged/ Reviewed	GENERAL DISCUSSION (method of contact and identify any additional people involved in the discussion)
2017-		Chief and	Rush and Trace	FOS 3 info	Rush and Trace mail
04-18		Council	mail	share	
				package	
2017-	Tony	baptiste	email	none	email reminding that
05-03	Wipfli	metchooye			initial comments were
					requested by May 17,
					2017

DATE	BCTS CONTACT	CONTACT NAME (and Band)	Communication Method	Documents Exchanged/ Reviewed	GENERAL DISCUSSION (method of contact and identify any additional people involved in the discussion)
2017- 05-30	Tony Wipfli	baptiste metchooye	email	none	30 day notice and inform of 30 day extension to consultation period
2017- 07-06	Tony Wipfli	baptiste metchooye	email	none	60 day notice and upcoming end to consultation period
2017- 09-12	Tony Wipfli	baptiste metchooye	email	none	Closure notice
2017- 09-12	Tony Wipfli	baptiste metchooye	phone call	none	Baptiste called regarding email. Asked about funding to allow them to review plans such as the FOS. Suggested that he contact Aviva who may be able help him. He stated that they have concerns regarding activities on the landbase that can affect their way of life, and that some activities and results will have lasting effects (ie landslides, water quality)

### FOS #3 Stakeholder Communication Record

Date	PWG Contact	Stakeholder	Communication Method	Issue/Comments
2017- 05-03	Tony Wipfli	Jim Gordon TR745T005	phone call	Called, but call dropped prior to going into any issues
2017- 05-03	Tony Wipfli	Lawrence Reynen TR747T011 (250-719- 1601)	phone call	Wanted to know what FOS Old Forest Management Areas were.
2017- 05-03	Tony Wipfli / Evan Hauk	Cody Johnson	Meeting	Meeting at Canfor office to discuss his concerns with FOS 3 blocks in his range tenure area.
2017- 05-05	Tony Wipfli	Alan George TR735T004 (250-262- 1972)	phone call	Concerned that he was getting no respect, boxes have been squished in the past. Can he get notification prior to logging. Why do we burn debris piles as they would make good critter habitat.

Date	PWG Contact	Stakeholder	Communication Method	Issue/Comments
	Contact		Νιετησα	Maybe make piles smaller for critters. Why not chip/grind debris rather than burn.
2017- 05-12	Jennifer McCracken	Renee Ardill	Meeting	Ardills have RAN075020, a grazing lease and a trapline, 735T005. Renee expressed some concern about two new FOS blocks within her grazing lease. 44075 and 44074. Her comments were the following: -she requested that these be managed by Canfor as opposed to BCTS because of negative past experiences with BCTS. -because the blocks are both deciduous and will eventually be unusable for her cattle, she requested that wider roads be constructed in these blocks to the extent possible (to provide more grazing opportunities for her cattle), loop roads be used in the block (so her cattle can continue through and not back track on grazed area) and she requested that the slash piles be left in the productive area of the blocks and be seeded along with the road surface to promote more opportunities for browse. Since the burn pile areas are usually poor sites for aspen regen, I didn't think this would be a big deal.
				-She also requested the a log fence be placed on the block access roads North of blocks 44046 and 44044 (South of 44067) to prevent her cattle from moving too far North, outside of her grazing lease. This will need to be coordinated and can be done sooner than later-this summer perhaps.
2017- 05-18	Tony Wipfli	George Chatten	Site Visit	Looked at harvested blocks and proposed blocks that impact his trapline. Discussed critter piles and practices. He would like to see larger critter piles (5m x 10-15m x 3m) close to block boundary and/or riparian zones. Piles in the middle of blocks are useless. Discussed damage to his traps on several

Date	PWG Contact	Stakeholder	Communication	Issue/Comments
	Contact		Method	
				harvested blocks. Would like his traps
				respected.
2017-	Tony	William	Letter	Letter sent via email (by Cynthia Burke –
05-19	Wipfli	Whitehead		FNFN) expressing concerns with FOS 3
05 15	<b>Wipin</b>	TR0747T014		riving expressing concerns with 05.5
2017-	Tony	Matt	phone call	received call from Matt wanting to
06-14	Wipfli	Hedges		discuss FOS 3 blocks on his range tenure:
0011		incuges		RAN075986 (250-772-5011)
2017-	Tony	Matt	phone call	returned call and left message
06-19	Wipfli	Hedges	p	
2017-	Tony	Matt	phone call	Matt returned my call and left message
06-20	Wipfli	Hedges		,
2017-	Tony	Gerald	phone call	called to set up meeting as per his
06-19	Wipfli	Yahey		request letter (250-630-2530) - left
				message
2017-	Tony	Shawn	phone call	Shawn called and left message that he
06-27	Wipfli	Davis		would like to discuss FOS 3 blocks in
				regard to his trapline 747T001 (250-630-
				2808)
2017-	Tony	Matt	phone call	called and left message
07-06	Wipfli	Hedges		
2017-	Tony	Shawn	phone call	Returned his call and left message
07-06	Wipfli	Davis		
2017-	Tony	Gerald	phone call	called to set up meeting as per his
07-06	Wipfli	Yahey		request letter (250-630-2530) - left
				message
2017-	Tony	Matt	phone call	Matt called and we discussed his
07-07	Wipfli	Hedges		concerns: - amount of harvesting in his
				range tenure area will impact his
				grazing. Specifically he has concerns
				with 01310 and 01311 as they are right
				beside his breeding pasture - he would
				like 01310 dropped and if we harvest
				01311 do so in the winter to avoid any
				impact. Also concern with 01304 and
				01306 as this area is one of his main
	1			grazing areas - asked that we avoid the
				upper portions (on the slope) and only take the flat areas on the bottom.
	1			Requested that we avoid piling and
	1			burning harvesting debris on the roads
	1			as it impacts cattle movement and his ability to access his cattle. Also
				requested that he be notified prior to

Date	PWG Contact	Stakeholder	Communication Method	Issue/Comments
				any harvesting. Grass seeding of all roads and trails.
2017- 07-10	Tony Wipfli	Shawn Davis	phone call	Shawn called. Wants to meet to talk about his concerns regarding his trapline. Gave him my and Evan's email to get back to us later this week with a time that would work to meet.
2017- 08-02	Darrell Regimbald	William Whitehead, Cynthia Burke, Florence Michel	Meeting	Meeting to discuss William's concerns with FOS 3. Following meeting, an email was sent to Cynthia Burke summarizing meeting.
2017- 08-28	Tony Wipfli	Shawn Davis	Phone call	Called and left message that he had some questions
2017- 08-30	Tony Wipfli	Shawn Davis	Phone call	Called and left message that he had some questions
2017- 09-05	Tony Wipfli	Shawn Davis	Phone call	Returned Shawn's call and left message that I was back in the office all week.

## Appendix D: First Nation, Stakeholder & Public General Comments & Participant Responses

### Forest Operations Schedule #3 - General Comments

From	Date	Comment	Response
HRFN	2017-05-26	Withhold harvesting on blocks listed under 109 Road North of Cameron and the Lost Road until a moose management strategy is identified	In blocks within the HRFN moose management area, the participants have agreed to follow the Canfor Moose Management strategy document at a minimum. We will continue to meet with HRFN to discuss additional strategies to employ in this area related to habitat availability and stand tending.
HRFN	2017-05-26	No harvesting on any blocks between the Chowade and Horseshoe Creek until an MOA is complete between Canfor/LP and HRFN	There are no immediate plans to harvest blocks between the Chowade River and Horseshoe Creek. MOA Discussions between Canfor/LP and HRFN are ongoing. If we plan to start a block in this area we will communicate our intentions to HRFN
Renee Ardill RAN07502 0	2017-05- 12	Requested that a barrier (a few logs) to be placed on the block access roads North of blocks 44046 and 44044 to prevent her cattle from moving too far north, outside her grazing lease.	This request can be accommodated. Specific location and timing yet to be determined.
Matt Hedges RAN07298 6	2017-07-07	Requested to avoid piling and burning debris on the roads as it impacts cattle movement. He would like to be notified prior to harvest commencement. He would like roads and trails to be grass seeded.	Grass seeding of disturbed areas (ie. roads and trails) is standard practice to manage for invasive plants as well as erosion control. Piling and burning of harvest debris on roads is done to maximize the area being regenerated. Piling and burning of debris in harvest area can be accommodated as long as there are no conflicting objectives (ie. Access Management).
DRFN	2017-06-21	What is the term of the Pilot Project?	The term of the Pilot Project is largely determined by the Provincial Government. We had an update a few months ago from the Regional Executive Director for the North East on the status of the Pilot. The indication we received was that Government was expecting changes to be made to the Forest and Range
			Practices Act in 2018. While nothing specific was referenced, the positive aspects of the Pilot are expected to be incorporated into the legislation and the FSJ Timber Supply Area will be managed under this updated legislation. However, the timing of this legislation change is very uncertain given the current situation in the Provincial Government.

	FOREST OPERATIONS SCHEDULE #3		OCTOBER 4TH, 2017
From	Date	Comment	Response
DRFN	2017-06-21	Why is the Fort St John Pilot Project the only Pilot Project left in the province of BC?	Part of the reason the FSJ Pilot is still active is due to the active participation of our Public Advisory Committee and the positive working relationship of the managing participants (BCTS and Canfor). The landscape level indicators are viewed positively by Government as they consider forest management activities at a much broader natural disturbance units level.
DRFN	2017-06-21	Recommend that a First Nations Advisory Group be structured to provide input and recommendations into the Fort St John Pilot Project	We encourage First Nations participation in the Public Advisory Group as this is one of the forums available for stakeholders to provide guidance on how we manage within the Timber Supply Area. The Public Advisory Group allows all interest groups to raise their concerns and as a group we can try to balance the concerns in an open and productive environment. We also appreciate comments from First Nations during any opportunity for public comment if they feel that the PAG format doesn't work for them. If you have any suggestions on how the PAG could be better suited to include First Nation input, please let us know.
DRFN	2017-06-21	Do the strategies and indicators in the SFMP guide FOS operations?	Section 3.0 Summary of SFMP Indicators Impacted by the FOS: we will look at the wording of this section to more clearly explain the connection between the SFMP and the FOS.
DRFN	2017-06-21	Recommend that an indicator be added to the SFMP landscape level strategies for the protection of the K'ih tsaa?dze Tribal Park.	See response below.
DRFN	2017-06-21	Recommend that no further harvesting, road building or vegetation management occurs in the K'ih tsaa?dze Tribal Park without substantive consultation and the written consent of DRFN	Canfor and BCTS understand the importance of K'ih tsaa?dze Tribal Park to Doig River First Nation. We will look into adding the boundary of KTP to our operational maps. The participants continue our commitment of no planned harvesting in KTP without prior direction from Doig River First Nation. No new blocks or roads were proposed in KTP in FOS 3.
DRFN	2017-06-21	Recommend that field staff obtain cultural training being delivered by the Elders and Lands Users from DRFN.	The joint cultural awareness session between DRFN and Canfor a couple years ago was very well received by Canfor staff. We should explore further cultural training with DRFN. Our current process has staff trained in
			general awareness of cultural heritage

From	PERATIONS SCHEI	Comment	OCTOBER 4TH, 2017		
From	Date	Comment	Response		
			resource features and relying on verification by archeological assessments. We rely on communication of culturally important features by First Nations so that we can avoid impacts. It is important that we continue to info share our plans with First Nations and work to understand the communities concerns with the plans.		
DRFN	2017-06-21	How is the First Nation indicator under SFMP section 6.57 assessed to ensure that the project participants meet the "100% of known traditional site- specific aboriginal values and uses	Site specific information about traditional values and uses are encouraged at referral stage of plans such as SFMP, PMP, FOS and major amendments to FOS.		
		identified will be addressed in operational plans"?	Additionally site specific features are identified through the Archeological Impact Assessment process.		
			This indicator evaluates how effective we were at addressing all of the known site specific values at the operational plan level. An example of this is not harvesting blocks that are near or contain cultural values, such as block 31015 that was identified during the referral of the Siphon Wildfire salvage FOS amendment. This indicator is assessed by the managing participants. We can only address site specific values that we are aware of, which highlights how important it is to have productive communication with		
			First Nations.		
DRFN	2017-06-21	Recommend that a 300metre Riparian Reserve Zone be placed on all major river corridors so as to protect the integrity of the watershed.	A 100m buffer was placed on all major river corridors as an absolute minimum. In many cases the buffer could be significantly further depending on the site conditions of the block. Using a default buffer of 300m removes flexibility to make forest management decisions based on site conditions. For example a mature spruce stand on low risk terrain, infested with beetle, and located within 300m of a major river corridor.		
DRFN	2017-06-21	What are Chetwynd Mechanical Pulp's consultation obligations with First Nations?	Canfor entirely manages license A60972 on behalf of Chetwynd Mechanical Pulp. Part of this agreement functions to allow Canfor to harvest logs and provide the chips to Chetwynd Mechanical Pulp		

From	Date	Comment	Response
DRFN	2017-06-21	Will Chetwynd Mechanical Pulp be entering into formal agreements with First Nations?	All infosharing obligations related to harvesting any volume under A60972 fall to Canfor to conduct.
DRFN	2017-06-21	How does having another forest licensee manage another Chetwynd Mechanical Pulp's woodlands operations help diversify the economy and create local jobs?	The agreement between Canfor and Chetwynd Mechanical Pulp diversifies the economy and creates local jobs by fully utilizing the fiber harvested under A60972. Economic benefits are realized at all phases from planning to milling/pulp as a result of the agreement.
FNFN	2017-08-16	FNFN requests that they be notified of blocks intended for harvest within their consultation area and be given an opportunity to provide further comments.	The participants will provide notice via e- mail or regular mail to FNFN if blocks in their consultation area are pulled into the three-year harvest plan.
FNFN	2017-08-16	What criteria/info did the participants consider when determining which areas to propose as OGMAs	On Sept 1, 2017 Participants provided FNFN with "OGMA selection criteria for FSJ"

## Appendix E: First Nation, Stakeholder & Public Block Specific Comments & Participant Responses

### Forest Operations Schedule #3 - Block Specific Comments

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
01112	HRFN	2017-05-26	If not already dropped, no harvesting as areas have too many First Nations issues.	Block is laid out, block is deferred from harvest schedule. Should the Participants propose to harvest this block, HRFN will be engaged prior to a decision being made.
01248	HRFN	2017-05-26	request terrain stability assessment	Previously authorized Block was harvested prior to FOS 3 referral.
01260	HRFN	2017-05-26	request terrain stability assessment	Previously authorized Block no signs of terrain instability were noticed during layout therefore no TSA is necessary.
01262	HRFN	2017-05-26	request terrain stability assessment	Block will be assessed for the need to complete a terrain stability assessment.
01263	HRFN	2017-05-26	request terrain stability assessment	Block will be assessed for the need to complete a terrain stability assessment.
01266	HRFN	2017-05-26	request terrain stability assessment	Block will be assessed for the need to complete a terrain stability assessment.
01304	HRFN	2017-05-26	request terrain stability assessment	Block will be assessed for the need to complete a terrain stability assessment.
01305	HRFN	2017-05-26	request terrain stability assessment	Block will be assessed for the need to complete a terrain stability assessment.
01306	HRFN	2017-05-26	request terrain stability assessment	Block will be assessed for the need to complete a terrain stability assessment.
01335	HRFN	2017-05-26	request terrain stability assessment	Block will be assessed for the need to complete a terrain stability assessment.
04092	HRFN	2017-07-11	No harvesting requested at present, as mineral licks in area and for access reasons. HRFN are currently taking wildlife pictures via trail cameras to determine amount of wildlife use in the area. Need more studies.	Participants have engaged HRFN in discussions regarding this block for past 18 months, licks have been buffered, and access has been rerouted to the North away from the licks as discussed and agreed to with HRFN. The harvest plan for the block has been modified to address all concerns raised during the engagement with HRFN. Participants will engage with HRFN to identify the location of trail cameras to avoid impacts during harvesting.
04120	HRFN	2017-07-11	Leave out, from harvesting, the SE portion, south of the seismic line (about 25 ha.) is adjacent to a mineral lick. Also a camera is located at the beaver dam near existing road that has identified high use for all ungulates and other species. Also, only harvest block, once terrain stability is completed as there is a slide already in the block. In addition, only harvest once Conoco Phillips has installed a well site in the block, as access has already been approved by HRFN and is requested that same access be used for removal of timber.	Participants have engaged HRFN in discussions about this block for past 18 months. Canfor is removing the Southern half of the block after a field visit with HRFN demonstrated the high- value habitat that is present in this area. Effort will be made to coordinate road access with Conoco Phillips. Access will be managed to reduce motorized traffic within the block post-harvest. A WTP has been designed to act as visual buffer between proposed Conoco Phillips well site and the cut block to minimize line of sight into the cut block. Steepest sections of the block have been removed from harvest area And no signs of instability were noted within the block during layout therefore, no terrain stability assessment is necessary.
04201	HRFN	2017-05-26	request terrain stability assessment	Block will be assessed for the need to complete a terrain stability assessment.

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
04208	HRFN	2017-05-26	If not already dropped, no harvesting as areas have too many First Nations issues.	Blocks have been combined with 04211 for operational reasons. Please see comments provided in 04211.
04209	HRFN	2017-05-26	If not already dropped, no harvesting as areas have too many First Nations issues.	Blocks have been combined with 04211 for operational reasons. Please see comments provided in 04211.
04210	HRFN	2017-05-26	If not already dropped, no harvesting as areas have too many First Nations issues.	Blocks have been combined with 04211 for operational reasons. Please see comments provided in 04211.
04211	HRFN	2017-05-26	If not already dropped, no harvesting as areas have too many First Nations issues.	See more recent comment below.
04211	HRFN	2017-07-11	HRFN's wildlife biologist and Elder visited this area and request no harvesting at all on West side of Mile 95 road. This is primarily to maintain connectivity to other high use areas by wildlife. Also, only consider East side of 95 road, once a terrain stability study is completed and proves that it is safe to harvest this portion. Also, if harvested, a buffer along road is requested.	Previously authorized block. Known wildlife features have been protected by WTPs. Block was reviewed with HRFN in the field. Visual buffer installed along 95 road. Partial retention is prescribed to minimize visual impact of block and retain vertical structure contributing to habitat values. Known wildlife trail will be protected. TSFA has been completed. Council was presented with block plan and Canfor was told they were comfortable moving us ahead with the plan via email on Monday Sept 18th
04241	HRFN	2017-07-11	Request accessing timber extraction only through private land to avoid access into high use area	As agreed to by HRFN, access is proposed through 04092, from the North which avoids high use area that is to the South of the block.
04243	HRFN	2017-07-11	Request accessing timber extraction only through private land to avoid access into high use area	As agreed to by HRFN, access is proposed through 04092, from the North which avoids high use area that is to the South of the block.
04257	HRFN	2017-05-26	request terrain stability assessment	Block will be assessed for the need to complete a terrain stability assessment.
04271	HRFN	2017-07-11	Do not log, until adjacent cut block, located SE of 04271 has been greened up to height of 2.3 metres.	Continue to engage HRFN to develop a strategy in the moose management area that will address availability of habitat.
04258	HRFN	2017-05-26	request terrain stability assessment	Block will be assessed for the need to complete a terrain stability assessment.
05035	HRFN	2017-05-26	Stagger harvesting of blocks and only harvest after green up or break up blocks into 3 clusters and only log 1 cluster at a time until previous cluster has greened up. Also await harvesting until a First Nations historic train is identified via GPS. Blocks in group include 05073, 05035, 5036, 05037, 05038, 05039, 05049, 05050, 05072	A specific concern has not been expressed. Engage HRFN to understand the underlying concern and develop an appropriate mitigative strategy that will address the underlying concern. Work with HRFN to identify and GPS map the historic trail.
05036	HRFN	2017-05-26	Stagger harvesting of blocks and only harvest after green up or break up blocks into 3 clusters and only log 1 cluster at a time until previous cluster has greened up. Also await harvesting until a First Nations historic train is identified via GPS. Blocks in group include	A concern has not been expressed. Engage HRFN to understand the underlying concern and develop an appropriate mitigative strategy that will address the underlying concern. Work with HRFN to identify and GPS map the historic trail.

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
140.			05073, 05035, 5036, 05037, 05038, 05039, 05049, 05050, 05072	
05037	HRFN	2017-05-26	Stagger harvesting of blocks and only harvest after green up or break up blocks into 3 clusters and only log 1 cluster at a time until previous cluster has greened up. Also await harvesting until a First Nations historic train is identified via GPS. Blocks in group include 05073, 05035, 5036, 05037, 05038, 05039, 05049, 05050, 05072	A concern has not been expressed. Engage HRFN to understand the underlying concern and develop an appropriate mitigative strategy that will address the underlying concern. Work with HRFN to identify and GPS map the historic trail.
05038	HRFN	2017-05-26	Stagger harvesting of blocks and only harvest after green up or break up blocks into 3 clusters and only log 1 cluster at a time until previous cluster has greened up. Also await harvesting until a First Nations historic train is identified via GPS. Blocks in group include 05073, 05035, 5036, 05037, 05038, 05039, 05049, 05050, 05072	A concern has not been expressed. Engage HRFN to understand the underlying concern and develop an appropriate mitigative strategy that will address the underlying concern. Work with HRFN to identify and GPS map the historic trail.
05039	HRFN	2017-05-26	Stagger harvesting of blocks and only harvest after green up or break up blocks into 3 clusters and only log 1 cluster at a time until previous cluster has greened up. Also await harvesting until a First Nations historic train is identified via GPS. Blocks in group include 05073, 05035, 5036, 05037, 05038, 05039, 05049, 05050, 05072	A concern has not been expressed. Engage HRFN to understand the underlying concern and develop an appropriate mitigative strategy that will address the underlying concern. Work with HRFN to identify and GPS map the historic trail.
05049	HRFN	2017-05-26	Stagger harvesting of blocks and only harvest after green up or break up blocks into 3 clusters and only log 1 cluster at a time until previous cluster has greened up. Also await harvesting until a First Nations historic train is identified via GPS. Blocks in group include 05073, 05035, 5036, 05037, 05038, 05039, 05049, 05050, 05072	A concern has not been expressed. Engage HRFN to understand the underlying concern and develop an appropriate mitigative strategy that will address the underlying concern. Work with HRFN to identify and GPS map the historic trail.

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
05050	HRFN	2017-05-26	Stagger harvesting of blocks and only harvest after green up or break up blocks into 3 clusters and only log 1 cluster at a time until previous cluster has greened up. Also await harvesting until a First Nations historic train is identified via GPS. Blocks in group include 05073, 05035, 5036, 05037, 05038, 05039, 05049, 05050, 05072	A concern has not been expressed. Engage HRFN to understand the underlying concern and develop an appropriate mitigative strategy that will address the underlying concern. Work with HRFN to identify and GPS map the historic trail.
05063	HRFN	2017-05-26	Minimum of 50 metre buffer along 109 road	A 50m buffer will be stablished along the 109 Rd in Bk 05150 as per previous commitment to establish visual screens on the 109 Rd as per the moose management strategy.
05069	HRFN	2017-05-26	Minimum of 50 metre buffer along 109 road	A 50m buffer will be stablished along the 109 Rd as per previous commitment to establish visual screens on the 109 Rd as per the moose management strategy.
05072	HRFN	2017-05-26	request terrain stability assessment	Block will be assessed for the need to complete a terrain stability assessment
05072	HRFN	2017-05-26	Stagger harvesting of blocks and only harvest after green up or break up blocks into 3 clusters and only log 1 cluster at a time until previous cluster has greened up. Also await harvesting until a First Nations historic train is identified via GPS. Blocks in group include 05073, 05035, 5036, 05037, 05038, 05039, 05049, 05050, 05072	A concern has not been expressed. Engage HRFN to understand the underlying concern and develop an appropriate mitigative strategy that will address the underlying concern. Work with HRFN to identify and GPS map the historic trail.
05073	HRFN	2017-05-26	Stagger harvesting of blocks and only harvest after green up or break up blocks into 3 clusters and only log 1 cluster at a time until previous cluster has greened up. Also await harvesting until a First Nations historic train is identified via GPS. Blocks in group include 05073, 05035, 5036, 05037, 05038, 05039, 05049, 05050, 05072	A concern has not been expressed. Engage HRFN to understand the underlying concern and develop an appropriate mitigative strategy that will address the underlying concern. Work with HRFN to identify and GPS map the historic trail.
05074	HRFN	2017-05-26	request terrain stability assessment	Block will be assessed for the need to complete a terrain stability assessment.
05150	HRFN	2017-05-26	Minimum of 50 metre buffer along 109 road	A 50m buffer will be stablished along the 109 Rd, as per previous commitment to establish visual screens on the 109 Rd.
06034	HRFN	2017-05-26	Harvest blocks in two equal passes. Second pass be harvested only after first pass has greened up to a height of 2.3 metres. Blocks in group include: 06102, 06103, 06104, 06106, 06107, 06034, 06091	A concern has not been expressed. Engage HRFN to understand the underlying concern and develop an appropriate mitigative strategy that will address the underlying concern.

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
06091	HRFN	2017-05-26	Harvest blocks in two equal passes. Second pass be harvested only after first pass has greened up to a height of 2.3 metres. Blocks in group include: 06102, 06103, 06104, 06106, 06107, 06034, 06091	A concern has not been expressed. Engage HRFN to understand the underlying concern and develop an appropriate mitigative strategy that will address the underlying concern.
06102	HRFN	2017-05-26	Harvest blocks in two equal passes. Second pass be harvested only after first pass has greened up to a height of 2.3 metres. Blocks in group include: 06102, 06103, 06104, 06106, 06107, 06034, 06091	A concern has not been expressed. Engage HRFN to understand the underlying concern and develop an appropriate mitigative strategy that will address the underlying concern.
06103	HRFN	2017-05-26	Harvest blocks in two equal passes. Second pass be harvested only after first pass has greened up to a height of 2.3 metres. Blocks in group include: 06102, 06103, 06104, 06106, 06107, 06034, 06091	A concern has not been expressed. Engage HRFN to understand the underlying concern and develop an appropriate mitigative strategy that will address the underlying concern.
06104	HRFN	2017-05-26	Harvest blocks in two equal passes. Second pass be harvested only after first pass has greened up to a height of 2.3 metres. Blocks in group include: 06102, 06103, 06104, 06106, 06107, 06034, 06091	A concern has not been expressed. Engage HRFN to understand the underlying concern and develop an appropriate mitigative strategy that will address the underlying concern.
06106	HRFN	2017-05-26	Harvest blocks in two equal passes. Second pass be harvested only after first pass has greened up to a height of 2.3 metres. Blocks in group include: 06102, 06103, 06104, 06106, 06107, 06034, 06091	A concern has not been expressed. Engage HRFN to understand the underlying concern and develop an appropriate mitigative strategy that will address the underlying concern.
06107	HRFN	2017-05-26	Harvest blocks in two equal passes. Second pass be harvested only after first pass has greened up to a height of 2.3 metres. Blocks in group include: 06102, 06103, 06104, 06106, 06107, 06034, 06091	A concern has not been expressed. Engage HRFN to understand the underlying concern and develop an appropriate mitigative strategy that will address the underlying concern.
10040	HRFN	2017-05-26	Break block into two (300 ha ea.). Only log adjacent when first block has greened up to average 2.3 m	A concern has not been expressed. Engage HRFN to understand the underlying concern and develop an appropriate mitigative strategy that will address the underlying concern.
12037	HRFN	2017-05-26	No harvesting. Community watershed; diamond willow and birch; arch sites.	Engage HRFN to determine whether there are opportunities to modify blocks to remove areas adjacent to Horseshoe creek. Winter harvesting and reconfiguring blocks will minimize impacts close to the creek and minimize impacts to diamond willow and birch habitat. Arch assessments will be completed if harvesting is considered.
12039	HRFN	2017-05-26	No harvesting. Community watershed; diamond willow and birch; arch sites. No harvesting. Community	Block will be dropped due to proximity to Horseshoe Creek and Chowade River Block will be dropped due to proximity
12040	HRFN	2017-05-26	watershed; diamond willow and birch; arch sites.	to Horseshoe Creek and Chowade River

Block	From	Date		
No.		Butt	Comment	Response Engage HRFN to determine whether
12041	HRFN	2017-05-26	No harvesting. Community watershed; diamond willow and birch; arch sites.	there are opportunities to modify blocks to remove areas adjacent to Horseshoe creek. Winter harvesting and reconfiguring blocks will minimize impacts close to the creek and minimize impacts to diamond willow and birch habitat. Arch assessments will be completed if harvesting is considered.
12042	HRFN	2017-05-26	No harvesting. Community watershed; diamond willow and birch; arch sites.	Block will be dropped due to proximity to Horseshoe Creek and Chowade River
44044	HRFN	2017-05-26	request terrain stability assessment, 100 metres back from break	This block harvested in 2015 under FOS 2
44061	HRFN	2017-05-26	request terrain stability assessment, 100 metres back from break	This block harvested in 2016 under FOS 2
07034	Russell Lilly TR074 4T006	2017-06-08	Request site visit prior to harvesting in order to protect trappers cabin and possible arch site	Site visit will be arranged prior to or during block development
37037	Russell Lilly TR074 4T006	2017-06-08	Request site visit prior to harvesting due to possible arch sites near the block	Site visit will be arranged prior to or during block development. Arch impact assessment will be completed on the block during development.
37018	Russell Lilly TR074 4T006	2017-06-08	Request site visit prior to harvesting due to possible arch sites near the block	This is a FOS 2 block and harvesting is already in progress
06086	HRFN	2017-06-08	Request at least a 50 metre buffer along Cyprus Creek Road	This is a FOS 2 block and harvesting has been deferred.
06082	HRFN	2017-06-08	Request a 100 metre buffer along Halfway River	Block is already designed outside of the Major River Corridor (MRC). MRC is a minimum of 100m. This has been addressed.
44074	Renee Ardill RAN07 5020		Request that block be managed by Canfor rather than BCTS. Road be wider than normal for cattle use, and that loop roads be used. Slash piles be left in the productive area of the block rather than placed on roads. Road be seeded to promote browse	This block will be managed by Canfor.
44075	Renee Ardill RAN07 5020		Request that block be managed by Canfor rather than BCTS. Road be wider than normal for cattle use, and that loop roads be used. Slash piles be left in the productive area of the block rather than placed on roads. Road be seeded to promote browse	This block will be managed by Canfor.
01310	Matt Hedges RAN07 2986	2017-07-07	Drop block as it is adjacent to his breeding pasture	Modify block to reduce area harvested adjacent to breeding pasture.

Block	Erom	Data		OCTOBER 41H, 2017
No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
01311	Matt Hedges RAN07 2986	2017-07-07	Harvest block in the winter season as it is close to breeding pasture	Schedule block for winter harvest.
01304	Matt Hedges RAN07 2986	2017-07-07	Reduce block to eliminate upper slope areas as this is adjacent to his summer grazing area	Maintain a range barrier between block 01304 and S01004.
03137	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block roads</li> <li>Intense CE Study for area to determine level of rehabilitation required by industry/government to return to TLU opportunities</li> <li>List historic TLU and rehabilitate to Historic Level</li> </ul>	Block has been dropped from the FOS and included in a proposed Old Management Area.
04272	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Maintain existing access</li> <li>Maintain understory</li> <li>Maintain existing access</li> <li>Maintain existing understory</li> <li>No impact to wetlands</li> <li>Protect wetland/pond fringes with 100m buffer</li> </ul>	Mainline road access will be maintained in current condition. In-block roads will be deactivated to control water after harvesting is complete. The deactivation will be done to allow for continued access with motorized vehicles. There are no identified TRIM wetlands adjacent to this block. If a wetland is found during layout, we will implement our riparian management strategies to protect it which include a buffer requirement. Understory conifer will be maintained where it exists and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so.
05069	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
			<ul> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> </ul>	required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan.
			<ul> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w</li> </ul>	The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. Established brush screens along
			visual screening along roads In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments	existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment.
			<ul> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block roads</li> </ul>	Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors.
				Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use.
				Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block. These areas will be left out of brushing treatments.
05150	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
			<ul> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> </ul>	consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity
			<ul> <li>Decommissioning of in-block roads</li> </ul>	corridors. Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment.
				Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use.
				Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block. These areas will be protected from future brushing treatments.
09108	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>No destruction of berry patches</li> <li>Remove area from logging plan – WTPs etc.</li> <li>Replant berries along access roads</li> </ul>	During layout, where crews find pickable patches (suggest a minimum size of 400m2 continuous i.e. 20mx20m) of huckleberries and the block will be summer logged, the patches of berries will be placed into WTP or MFZ. If the block is winter

Block	From	Date	Commont	Bosnonoo
No.			<ul> <li>Comment</li> <li>Winter logging</li> <li>No spraying as part of PMP/NIT</li> </ul>	Response logged, the block will only be logged when there is adequate snow cover to protect berry bushes. The patches identified and managed at layout will be reserved from stand tending treatments.
				The participants will make extra effort to protect picakable patches of berries so that replanting will not be necessary.
				The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in the block, further consultation will occur at that point and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for berry patches and/or medicinal plants can be implemented prior to spraying. Within our tracking system, comments have been noted for these two blocks regarding the presence of medicinal plants and significant berry patches and the need for further consultation at the herbicide stage should treatment be required. Canfor will operate under their currently approved Pest Management Plan.
09109	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors. Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
110.			oomment	feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment.
				Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use.
				Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block. These areas will be left out of brushing treatments.
09110	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block roads</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors. Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment.

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
				Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use. Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block. These areas will be protected from future brushing treatments.
09111	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block roads</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors. Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment. Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
				water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use.
				Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block. These areas will be protected from future brushing treatments.
				The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan.
09112	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> </ul>	The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors.
			<ul> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> </ul>	Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment. Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
				typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use.
				Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block. These areas will be protected from future brushing treatments.
09113	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block roads Maintain existing access</li> <li>Maintain existing understory</li> <li>No impact to wetlands</li> <li>Protect wetland/pond fringes with 100m buffer Retention of ecologically relevant vegetation to sustain moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Provide adequate visual screening along block access corridors</li> <li>Avoidance of mineral licks and game trails by at least</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors. Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment. Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
			<ul> <li>800 metres w connectivity outside of block</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block roads Maintain existing access</li> <li>Maintain understory Maintain access/gates to traditional/historic trails w visual screening along roads</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>MFZ around historic trails while leaving understory and immature trees inside MFZ</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block roads</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use.</li> <li>Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches.</li> <li>Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block. These areas will be protected from future brushing treatments.</li> <li>Where wetlands are identified in or near the block, we will ensure they are protected in a WTP or left out of the block and a buffer is applied that is adequate to ensure the wetland is not impacted by our operations.</li> <li>Patches of conifer understory and NcBr will be retained to provide additional habitat to small mammals and birds where it is operational to do so.</li> <li>Further engagement with SFN will be necessary to determine location of known trails so these features can be</li> </ul>
09114	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>managed appropriately</li> <li>The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan.</li> <li>The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment.</li> <li>Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors.</li> <li>Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally</li> </ul>

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
				feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment. Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use.
				Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block. These areas will be protected from future brushing treatments.
09115	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Maintain existing access</li> <li>Maintain existing understory</li> <li>No impact to wetlands</li> <li>Protect wetland/pond fringes with 100m buffer</li> <li>Do not cut/destroy Culturally Modified Trees (CMTs)</li> <li>Intense CE Study for area to determine level of rehabilitation required by industry/government to return to TLU opportunities</li> <li>List historic TLU and rehabilitate to Historic Level</li> <li>Maintain access/gates to traditional/historic trails w visual screening along roads</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>MFZ around historic trails while leaving understory and immature trees inside MFZ</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block roads</li> </ul>	Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use. Monitoring and assessing cumulative effects is the responsibility of government. Through SFM indicators, the FSJPP Participants measure the impacts of our business on a variety of forest management criteria such as patch sizes and seral stage to ensure our operations do not exceed the natural ranges historically found in either NDU's and LU's. The Participants will ensure prompt reforestation to maximize the area contributing to the productive forest land base. The Participants try to coordinate access routes with other industry to minimize the amount of permanent access structures on the land base and therefore minimize the impacts to THLB. Participants also try to minimize

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
				the amount of Permanent access structures in the cut blocks. Conifer understory and NcBr/NP
				patches will be retained during harvesting where operational. Where wetlands are identified in or near the block, we will ensure they are protected in a WTP or left out of the block and a buffer is applied that is adequate to ensure the wetland is not impacted by our operations.
				Brush screens along roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained during harvesting and be excluded from any stand tending treatment areas.
				Further engagement with SFN will be necessary to determine location of known trails so these features can be managed appropriately
				The participants will not impact CMT's that predate 1846
09116	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors. Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they
			<ul> <li>moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> </ul>	exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment. Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
				water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use. Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block. These areas will be protected from future brushing treatments.
09117	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> <li>Retention of ecologically relevant vegetation to sustain moose browsing or calving</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Provide adequate visual screening along block access corridors</li> <li>Avoidance of mineral licks and game trails by at least</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors. Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment. Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will

Block	From	Date	Comment	Besnonse
No.			Comment 800 meters w connectivity outside of block • Shutdown operations when animals within 200m • Decommissioning of in-block roads • Maintain existing access • Maintain existing understory • No impact to wetlands Protect wetland/pond fringes with 100m buffer	Responsetypically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use.Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse, ecologically relevant vegetation that may sustain moose brose and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block.Extra effort will be made to retain veg at lower elevations and near wetland complexes where calving is more common. These areas are excluded from stand tending treatments.Conifer understory and NP or NcBr areas will be retained during harvesting where operationally feasible to provide habitat for small mammals and birds.Where wetlands are identified in or near the block, we will ensure they are protected in a WTP or left out of the block and a buffer is applied that is adequate to ensure the wetland is not impacted by our operations.
09118	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors. Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
			oonment	pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment.
				Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use.
				Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block. These areas are excluded from stand tending treatments.
			<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in attration expose</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan.
09119	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to</li> </ul>	The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment.
			<ul> <li>outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> </ul>	Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors.
			<ul> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage Decommissioning of in-block road</li> </ul>	Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally

Block	From	Date	Comment	Besponse
No.	From	Date	Comment	Responsefeasible to do so. These areas will beleft out of brushing treatment.Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads.In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use.Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block. These areas are excluded from stand tending treatments.
09120	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 meters</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. SFN has communicated the potential location of the lick near this block. We will use this information to develop our block and road plan. Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors. Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Responsefeasible to do so. These areas will beleft out of brushing treatment.Mainline access will be maintained atthe current standard. The participantsdo not decommission in-block roads.In-block roads will be deactivated withwater bars and cross ditches tomanage water flow and will be grassseeded to prevent sediment deliveryinto water courses. The deactivation willtypically prevent access into blocks byhighway vehicles but not precludeaccess by ATV's or UTV's. Access isleft open to aid in reforestation andstand tending activities. Deactivation orstrategic piling can be done on theblock to discourage ATV or UTV use.Effort will be made to include areas of
				prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block. These areas are excluded from stand tending treatments.
09121	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors. Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment.

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
				Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use. Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management
				areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block. These areas are excluded from stand tending treatments.
09122	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors. Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment. Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
				water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use. Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block. These areas are excluded from stand tending treatments.
09125	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>No destruction of berry patches</li> <li>Remove area from logging plan – WTPs etc.</li> <li>Replant berries along access roads</li> <li>Winter logging</li> <li>No spraying as part of PMP/NIT</li> <li>Retention of ecologically relevant vegetation to sustain moose browsing or calving</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Provide adequate visual screening along block access corridors</li> <li>Avoidance of mineral licks and game trails by at least 800 meters w connectivity outside of block</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block roads</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 meters</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> </ul>	During layout, where crews find pickable patches (suggest a minimum size of 400m2 continuous i.e. 20mx20m) of huckleberries and the block will be summer logged, the patches of berries will be placed into WTP or MFZ. If the block is winter logged, the block will only be logged when there is adequate snow cover to protect berry bushes. The patches identified and managed at layout will be reserved from stand tending treatments. The participants will make extra effort to protect picakable patches of berries so that replanting will not be necessary. The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. SFN has communicated the potential location of the lick in this block. We will use this information to develop our block plan. Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
				is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors. Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be
				left out of brushing treatment. Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use. Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse, ecologically relevant vegetation that may sustain
				moose brose and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block. Extra effort will be made to retain veg at lower elevations and near wetland complexes where calving is more common. These areas are excluded from stand tending treatments.
09126	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Maintain existing access</li> <li>Maintain existing understory</li> <li>No impact to wetlands</li> <li>Protect wetland/pond fringes with 100m bufferConsider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
			<ul> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block model</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors.</li> <li>Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment.</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>road</li> <li>Maintain existing access</li> <li>Maintain understory</li> <li>Maintain access/gates to traditional/historic trails w visual screening along roads</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>MFZ around historic trails while leaving understory and immature trees inside MFZ</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block roads</li> </ul>	Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use.
				Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block. These will be left out of any brushing treatment areas.
				Conifer understory and NP or NcBr areas will be retained during harvesting where operationally feasible to provide habitat for small mammals and birds.
				Where wetlands are identified in or near the block, we will ensure they are protected in a WTP or left out of the block and a buffer is applied that is adequate to ensure the wetland is not impacted by our operations.
				Further engagement with SFN will be necessary to determine location of known trails so these features can be managed appropriately.
09127	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Maintain existing access</li> <li>Maintain existing understory</li> <li>No impact to wetlands</li> <li>Protect wetland/pond fringes with 100m buffer</li> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
No.			<ul> <li>Comment</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> <li>Maintain existing access</li> <li>Maintain understory</li> <li>Maintain access/gates to traditional/historic trails w visual screening along roads</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>MFZ around historic trails while leaving understory and immature trees inside MFZ</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block roads</li> </ul>	Responseoperate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan.The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment.Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors.Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment.Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use.Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist in the block.Conifer understory and NP or NcBr areas will be retained during harvesting where operationally feasible to provide habitat for small mammals and birds.Where wetlands are identified in or near the block, we will ensure they are protected in a WTP or left out of the block and a buffer is ap

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
09128	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>No destruction of berry patches</li> <li>Remove area from logging plan – WTPs etc.</li> <li>Replant berries along access roads</li> <li>Winter logging</li> <li>No spraying as part of PMP/NIT</li> <li>Maintain existing access</li> <li>Maintain existing understory</li> <li>No impact to wetlands</li> <li>Protect wetland/pond fringes with 100m buffer</li> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> <li>Leave a respectable distance around campsites &amp; drying racks – plan for visual screening</li> <li>800m buffer around cabins and access trails; terrain may modify this distance</li> <li>Retention of ecologically relevant vegetation to sustain moose browsein or calving</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> </ul>	During layout, where crews find pickable patches (suggest a minimum size of 400m2 continuous i.e. 20mx20m) of huckleberries and the block will be summer logged, the patches of berries will be placed into WTP or MFZ. If the block is winter logged, the block will only be logged when there is adequate snow cover to protect berry bushes. The patches identified and managed at layout will be reserved from stand tending treatments. The participants will make extra effort to protect picakable patches of berries so that replanting will not be necessary. The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. Where wetlands are identified in or near the block, we will ensure they are protected in a WTP or left out of the block and a buffer is applied that is adequate to ensure the wetland is not impacted by our operations. Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use. Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse, ecologically relevant vegetation that may sustain moose brose and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management

Block	From	Date	Comment	Besnonse
No.			<ul> <li>Comment</li> <li>Avoidance of mineral licks and game trails by at least 800 meters w connectivity outside of block</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block roads</li> <li>Maintain existing access</li> <li>Maintain understory</li> <li>Maintain access/gates to traditional/historic trails w visual screening along roads</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>MFZ around historic trails while leaving understory and immature trees inside MFZ</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block roads</li> </ul>	Responseareas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block.Extra effort will be made to retain veg at lower elevations and near wetland complexes where calving is more common. These areas are excluded from stand tending treatments.Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors.Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment.Conifer understory and NP or NcBr areas will be retained during harvesting where operationally feasible to provide habitat for small mammals and birds.SFN has shared the potential location of the habitation site. Further engagement with SFN will be necessary to determine actual location of habitation sites and/or known trails so these features can be managed appropriately.
09129	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this</li> </ul>	Small mammal piles will be created in this block at the request of the trapline holder. The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
			area out of any future treatments No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage Decommissioning of in-block road	seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use.
				Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block.
				Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors.
				Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment.
00100		2017.00.00	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down
09130	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> </ul>	equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
				left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use.
				Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block.
				Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors.
				Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment.
			<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate graphics for olk in</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan.
09131	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> </ul>	The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use.

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
			Comment	ResponseEffort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through 
				Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors.
				Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment.
09132	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use. Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
				areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block. Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors. Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment.
09133	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use. Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block.
			<ul> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> </ul>	Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a

Block	From	Date		2
No.			Comment	Responseminimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors.Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment.
09134	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Maintain existing access</li> <li>Maintain existing understory</li> <li>No impact to wetlands</li> <li>Protect wetland/pond fringes with 100m buffer</li> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> <li>Maintain existing access</li> <li>Maintain access/gates to traditional/historic trails w visual screening along roads</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Meintain access/gates to traditional/historic trails w visual screening along roads</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Merz around historic trails w visual screening along roads</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Merz around historic trails w visual screening along roads</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. Where wetlands are identified in or near the block, we will ensure they are protected in a WTP or left out of the block and a buffer is applied that is adequate to ensure the wetland is not impacted by our operations. Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use. Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block.

Block No.	From	Date	Commont	Posponso
			Comment	ResponseBuffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or 
				Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment.
				Conifer understory and NP or NcBr areas will be retained during harvesting where operationally feasible to provide habitat for small mammals and birds.
				Further engagement with SFN will be necessary to determine location of known trails so these features can be managed appropriately.
09135	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving mose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 meters</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use. Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
140.				establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block.
				SFN has communicated the potential location of the lick near this block. We will use this information to develop our block and road plan. Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors.
				Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment.
09136	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving mose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use. Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
				areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block. Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors. Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment.
09137	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use. Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block.
			<ul> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> </ul>	Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Baamanaa
				Responseminimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and 
09138	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use. Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block. Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
				trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors. Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment.
				The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan.
				The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment.
09140	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> </ul>	Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use.
			<ul> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain</li> </ul>	Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block.
			<ul> <li>cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> <li>Maintain existing access</li> <li>Maintain existing understory</li> <li>No impact to wetlands</li> </ul>	Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors.
			<ul> <li>Protect wetland/pond fringes with 100m buffer</li> </ul>	Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
				pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment.
				Where wetlands are identified in or near the block, we will ensure they are protected in a WTP or left out of the block and a buffer is applied that is adequate to ensure the wetland is not impacted by our operations.
				Conifer understory and NP or NcBr areas will be retained during harvesting where operationally feasible to provide habitat for small mammals and birds.
09145	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving mose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> <li>Intense CE Study for area to determine level of rehabilitation required by industry/government to return to TLU opportunities</li> <li>List historic TLU and rehabilitate to Historic Level</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use. Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block. Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
				made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors.
				Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment.
				Monitoring and assessing cumulative effects is the responsibility of government. Through SFM indicators, the FSJPP Participants measure the impacts of our business on a variety of forest management criteria such as patch sizes and seral stage to ensure our operations do not exceed the natural ranges historically found in either NDU's and LU's. The Participants will ensure prompt reforestation to maximize the area contributing to the productive forest land base. The Participants try to coordinate access routes with other industry to minimize the amount of permanent access structures on the land base and therefore minimize the impacts to THLB. Participants also try to minimize the amount of Permanent access structures in the cut blocks.
09146	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to
			<ul> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> <li>Intense CE Study for area to determine level of rehabilitation required by</li> </ul>	manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
			industry/government to return to TLU opportunities	strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use.
			<ul> <li>List historic TLU and rehabilitate to Historic Level</li> <li>Leave a respectable distance around campsites &amp; drying racks – plan for visual screening</li> <li>800m buffer around cabins</li> </ul>	Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block.
			and access trails; terrain may modify this distance • Maintain existing access • Maintain existing understory • No impact to wetlands • Protect wetland/pond fringes with 100m buffer	Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors.
				Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment.
				SFN has shared the potential location of the habitation site in or near this block. Further engagement with SFN will be necessary to determine the actual location of the sites and trails so these features can be managed appropriately. Conifer understory and NP or NcBr areas will be retained during harvesting where operationally feasible to provide habitat for small mammals and birds.
				Where wetlands are identified in or near the block, we will ensure they are protected in a WTP or left out of the block and a buffer is applied that is adequate to ensure the wetland is not impacted by our operations.
				Monitoring and assessing cumulative effects is the responsibility of government. Through SFM indicators, the FSJPP Participants measure the impacts of our business on a variety of forest management criteria such as patch sizes and seral stage to ensure our operations do not exceed the natural ranges historically found in either NDU's and LU's. The Participants will ensure prompt reforestation to maximize the area contributing to the productive forest land base. The Participants try to coordinate access routes with other industry to minimize
				the amount of permanent access structures on the land base and therefore minimize the impacts to

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
				THLB. Participants also try to minimize the amount of Permanent access structures in the cut blocks.
31012	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Avoidance of ecologically relevant habitat features and minimal surface disturbance (i.e., selective logging) in close proximity or adjacent to wetland/saturated soil areas for erosion and drainage integrity</li> <li>Significant retention of vegetation around squirrel middens (50m buffer)</li> <li>Retention of pine (or spruce) stands ecologically capable or viable to support marten populations</li> <li>Plan for windrows and large diameter CWD piles</li> <li>Minimize line-of-sight trails and access</li> <li>Provide adequate visual screening along access corridors</li> <li>No spraying on visual screening as part of PMP</li> </ul>	Block dropped from FOS.
31013	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Avoidance of ecologically relevant habitat features and minimal surface disturbance (i.e., selective logging) in close proximity or adjacent to wetland/saturated soil areas for erosion and drainage integrity</li> <li>Significant retention of vegetation around squirrel middens (50m buffer)</li> <li>Retention of pine (or spruce) stands ecologically capable or viable to support marten populations</li> <li>Plan for windrows and large diameter CWD piles</li> <li>Minimize line-of-sight trails and access</li> <li>Provide adequate visual screening along access corridors</li> <li>No spraying on visual screening as part of PMP</li> </ul>	Block dropped from FOS.
31014	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Avoidance of ecologically relevant habitat features and minimal surface disturbance (i.e., selective logging) in</li> </ul>	Block dropped from FOS.

Block	From	Date	Commont	Desperse
Block No.	From	Date	Comment close proximity or adjacent to wetland/saturated soil areas for erosion and drainage integrity Significant retention of vegetation around squirrel middens (50m buffer) Retention of pine (or spruce) stands ecologically capable or viable to support marten populations Plan for windrows and large diameter CWD piles Minimize line of sight trails	Response
			<ul> <li>Minimize line-of-sight trails and access</li> <li>Provide adequate visual screening along access corridors</li> <li>No spraying on visual screening as part of PMP</li> <li>Avoidance of ecologically</li> </ul>	
31018	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Avoidance of ecologically relevant habitat features and minimal surface disturbance (i.e., selective logging) in close proximity or adjacent to wetland/saturated soil areas for erosion and drainage integrity</li> <li>Significant retention of vegetation around squirrel middens (50m buffer)</li> <li>Retention of pine (or spruce) stands ecologically capable or viable to support marten populations</li> <li>Plan for windrows and large diameter CWD piles</li> <li>Minimize line-of-sight trails and access</li> <li>Provide adequate visual screening along access corridors</li> <li>No spraying on visual screening as part of PMP</li> </ul>	Block dropped from FOS
45085	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Intense CE Study for area to determine level of rehabilitation required by industry/government to return to TLU opportunities</li> <li>List historic TLU and rehabilitate to Historic Level</li> </ul>	Monitoring and assessing cumulative effects is the responsibility of government. Through SFM indicators, the FSJPP Participants measure the impacts of our business on a variety of forest management criteria such as patch sizes and seral stage to ensure our operations do not exceed the natural ranges historically found in either NDU's and LU's. The Participants will ensure prompt reforestation to maximize the area contributing to the productive forest land base. The

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
				Participants try to coordinate access routes with other industry to minimize the amount of permanent access structures on the land base and therefore minimize the impacts to THLB. Participants also try to minimize the amount of Permanent access structures in the cut blocks.
45086	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Intense CE Study for area to determine level of rehabilitation required by industry/government to return to TLU opportunities</li> <li>List historic TLU and rehabilitate to Historic Level</li> </ul>	Monitoring and assessing cumulative effects is the responsibility of government. Through SFM indicators, the FSJPP Participants measure the impacts of our business on a variety of forest management criteria such as patch sizes and seral stage to ensure our operations do not exceed the natural ranges historically found in either NDU's and LU's. The Participants will ensure prompt reforestation to maximize the area contributing to the productive forest land base. The Participants try to coordinate access routes with other industry to minimize the amount of permanent access structures on the land base and therefore minimize the impacts to THLB. Participants also try to minimize the amount of Permanent access structures in the cut blocks.
45087	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> <li>Intense CE Study for area to determine level of rehabilitation required by</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
			industry/government to return to TLU opportunities • List historic TLU and rehabilitate to Historic Level	strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use. Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block.
				Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors.
				Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment.
				Monitoring and assessing cumulative effects is the responsibility of government. Through SFM indicators, the FSJPP Participants measure the impacts of our business on a variety of forest management criteria such as patch sizes and seral stage to ensure our operations do not exceed the natural ranges historically found in either NDU's and LU's. The Participants will ensure prompt reforestation to maximize the area contributing to the productive forest land base. The Participants try to coordinate access routes with other industry to minimize the amount of permanent access structures on the land base and therefore minimize the impacts to THLB. Participants also try to minimize the amount of Permanent access structures in the cut blocks.
45088	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Intense CE Study for area to determine level of rehabilitation required by industry/government to return to TLU opportunities</li> <li>List historic TLU and rehabilitate to Historic Level</li> </ul>	Monitoring and assessing cumulative effects is the responsibility of government. Through SFM indicators, the FSJPP Participants measure the impacts of our business on a variety of forest management criteria such as patch sizes and seral stage to ensure our operations do not exceed the natural ranges historically found in either NDU's and LU's. The Participants will ensure prompt reforestation to maximize the area contributing to the productive forest land base. The Participants try to coordinate access

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
				routes with other industry to minimize the amount of permanent access structures on the land base and therefore minimize the impacts to THLB. Participants also try to minimize the amount of Permanent access structures in the cut blocks.
				The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou
45089	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future</li> </ul>	equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use. Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block. No stand tending treatment will occur in these areas. Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity
			treatments <ul> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> </ul>	Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
			Comment	exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment.
45090	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> <li>Retention of ecologically relevant vegetation to sustain moose browsing or calving</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Provide adequate visual screening along block access corridors</li> <li>Avoidance of mineral licks and game trails by at least 800 metres w connectivity outside of block</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block roads</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use. Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block. These areas will not be excluded from stand tending treatments. Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors. Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment.

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
				The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment.
45091	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs –</li> </ul>	Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use.
			<ul> <li>Sound et al angle and angle and angle and angle and angle and angle and angle ang</li></ul>	Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block. These areas will be excluded from stand tending activities. Buffers on licks will be dependent on
			<ul> <li>trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain</li> </ul>	the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors.
			<ul> <li>cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> </ul>	Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment.
45092	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Maintain existing access</li> <li>Maintain existing understory</li> <li>No impact to wetlands</li> </ul>	Where wetlands are identified in or near the block, we will ensure they are protected in a WTP or left out of the block and a buffer is applied that is

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
<u>NO.</u>			<ul> <li>Protect wetland/pond fringes with 100m buffer</li> <li>Maintain existing access</li> <li>Maintain understory</li> </ul>	adequate to ensure the wetland is not impacted by our operations. Conifer understory and NcBr and NP patches where they exist, will be retained during harvesting where it is operational to do so. This will help provide vertical structure and habitat for small mammals, birds as well as visual screening
				Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard.
45093	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Maintain existing access</li> <li>Maintain existing understory</li> <li>No impact to wetlands</li> <li>Protect wetland/pond fringes with 100m buffer</li> <li>Intense CE Study for area to determine level of rehabilitation required by industry/government to return to TLU opportunities</li> <li>List historic TLU and rehabilitate to Historic Level</li> <li>Retention of ecologically relevant vegetation to sustain moose browsing or calving</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Provide adequate visual screening along block access corridors</li> <li>Avoidance of mineral licks and game trails by at least 800 metres w connectivity outside of block</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block roads</li> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Maintain understory</li> <li>Maintain access/gates to traditional/historic trails w visual screening along roads</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>MFZ around historic trails while leaving understory and immature trees inside MFZ</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block roads</li> </ul>	Conifer understory and NcBr and NP patches where they exist, will be retained during harvesting where it is operational to do so. This will help provide vertical structure and habitat for small mammals, birds as well as visual screening Where wetlands are identified in or near the block, we will ensure they are protected in a WTP or left out of the block and a buffer is applied that is adequate to ensure the wetland is not impacted by our operations. Monitoring and assessing cumulative effects is the responsibility of government. Through SFM indicators, the FSJPP Participants measure the impacts of our business on a variety of forest management criteria such as patch sizes and seral stage to ensure our operations do not exceed the natural ranges historically found in either NDU's and LU's. The Participants will ensure prompt reforestation to maximize the area contributing to the productive forest land base. The Participants try to coordinate access routes with other industry to minimize the amount of permanent access structures on the land base and therefore minimize the impacts to THLB. Participants also try to minimize the amount of Permanent access structures in the cut blocks. The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
				are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment.
				Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use.
				Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block.
				Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors.
				Further engagement with SFN will be necessary to determine location of known trails so these features can be managed appropriately.
				Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment.
45094	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Maintain existing access</li> <li>Maintain existing understory</li> <li>No impact to wetlands</li> <li>Protect wetland/pond fringes with 100m buffer</li> <li>Retention of ecologically relevant vegetation to sustain moose browsing or calving</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Provide adequate visual screening along block</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan.
			access corridors <ul> <li>Avoidance of mineral licks and game trails by at least</li> </ul>	Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads.

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
			800 metres w connectivity outside of block Shutdown operations when animals within 200m Decommissioning of in-block roads Intense CE Study for area to determine level of rehabilitation required by industry/government to return to TLU opportunities List historic TLU and rehabilitate to Historic Level Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers Shutdown operations when animals within 200m Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas Maintage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas Maintain understory Maintain access/gates to traditional/historic trails w visual screening along roads No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage MFZ around historic trails while leaving understory and immature trees inside MFZ Decommissioning of in-block roads	In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use. Where wetlands are identified in or near the block, we will ensure they are protected in a WTP or left out of the block and a buffer is applied that is adequate to ensure the wetland is not impacted by our operations. Conifer understory and NcBr and NP patches where they exist, will be retained during harvesting where it is operational to do so. This will help provide vertical structure and habitat for small mammals, birds as well as visual screening Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block. Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors. Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment. Further engagement with SFN will be necessary to determine location of known trails so these features can be managed appropriately. Monitoring and assessing cumulative effects is the responsibility of government. Through SFM indicators, the FSJPP Participants measure the impacts of our business on a variety of

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
				patch sizes and seral stage to ensure our operations do not exceed the natural ranges historically found in either NDU's and LU's. The Participants will ensure prompt reforestation to maximize the area contributing to the productive forest land base. The Participants try to coordinate access routes with other industry to minimize the amount of permanent access structures on the land base and therefore minimize the impacts to THLB. Participants also try to minimize the amount of Permanent access structures in the cut blocks.
45095	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Intense CE Study for area to determine level of rehabilitation required by industry/government to return to TLU opportunities</li> <li>List historic TLU and rehabilitate to Historic Level</li> </ul>	Monitoring and assessing cumulative effects is the responsibility of government. Through SFM indicators, the FSJPP Participants measure the impacts of our business on a variety of forest management criteria such as patch sizes and seral stage to ensure our operations do not exceed the natural ranges historically found in either NDU's and LU's. The Participants will ensure prompt reforestation to maximize the area contributing to the productive forest land base. The Participants try to coordinate access routes with other industry to minimize the amount of permanent access structures on the land base and therefore minimize the impacts to THLB. Participants also try to minimize the amount of Permanent access structures in the cut blocks.
45096	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Intense CE Study for area to determine level of rehabilitation required by industry/government to return to TLU opportunities</li> <li>List historic TLU and rehabilitate to Historic Level</li> </ul>	Monitoring and assessing cumulative effects is the responsibility of government. Through SFM indicators, the FSJPP Participants measure the impacts of our business on a variety of forest management criteria such as patch sizes and seral stage to ensure our operations do not exceed the natural ranges historically found in either NDU's and LU's. The Participants will ensure prompt reforestation to maximize the area contributing to the productive forest land base. The Participants try to coordinate access routes with other industry to minimize the amount of permanent access structures on the land base and therefore minimize the impacts to THLB. Participants also try to minimize the amount of Permanent access structures in the cut blocks.
45097	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Maintain existing access</li> <li>Maintain existing understory</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is

Block	From	Date	Comment	Baamanaa
No.			<ul> <li>Comment</li> <li>No impact to wetlands</li> </ul>	Response complete and the brush hazard can be
			<ul> <li>No impact to wetlands</li> <li>Protect wetland/pond fringes</li> </ul>	effectively assessed. If herbicide is
			with 100m buffer	required in this block, further
			<ul> <li>Retention of ecologically</li> </ul>	consultation will occur at that point
			relevant vegetation to	(annual NIT stage) and discussions
			sustain moose browsing or calving	regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented
			<ul> <li>Manage for leaving moose</li> </ul>	prior to spraying. The Participants will
			browse in strategic areas	operate under their currently confirmed
			<ul> <li>Provide adequate visual</li> <li>screeping along block</li> </ul>	Pest Management Plan.
			screening along block access corridors	Mainline access will be maintained at
			<ul> <li>Avoidance of mineral licks</li> </ul>	the current standard. The participants
			and game trails by at least	do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with
			800 metres w connectivity	water bars and cross ditches to
			<ul><li>outside of block</li><li>Shutdown operations when</li></ul>	manage water flow and will be grass
			animals within 200m	seeded to prevent sediment delivery
			<ul> <li>Decommissioning of in-block</li> </ul>	into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by
			roads	highway vehicles but not preclude
			<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> </ul>	access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is
			<ul> <li>Shutdown operations when</li> </ul>	left open to aid in reforestation and
			animals within 200m	stand tending activities. Deactivation or
			<ul> <li>Manage for leaving moose</li> </ul>	strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use.
			<ul><li>browse in strategic areas</li><li>Manage for leaving</li></ul>	C C
			appropriate grasses for elk in	Conifer understory and NcBr and NP patches where they exist, will be
			strategic areas	retained during harvesting where it is
			<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs –</li> </ul>	operational to do so. This will help
			larger buffers	provide vertical structure and habitat for
			<ul> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> </ul>	small mammals, birds as well as visual screening
			<ul> <li>Manage for leaving moose</li> </ul>	C C
			browse in strategic areas	Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse, ecologically
			<ul> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in</li> </ul>	relevant vegetation that may sustain
			appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas	moose brose and elk forage where they
			<ul> <li>No development in area of</li> </ul>	exist into WTP patches. Browse will
			lick nor around game access	also be protected through establishment of Riparian management
			trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres	areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and
			<ul> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to</li> </ul>	wetlands if they exist in the block.
			outside of block is preferable	Extra effort will be made to retain veg at
			<ul> <li>(Includes big game, small</li> </ul>	lower elevations and near wetland
			game and birds)	complexes where calving is more common. These areas are excluded
			<ul> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> </ul>	from stand tending treatments.
			In moose range, leave some	Buffers on licks will be dependent on
			moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this	the significance of the lick but will be a
			area out of any future	minimum of 100m. Effort will be made
			treatments	to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the
			<ul> <li>No treatment on screening at</li> </ul>	WTP established to protect the lick and
			PMP/NIT stage	the external boundary. Effort will be
			<ul> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> </ul>	made to incorporate established game
			Intense CE Study for area to	trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors.
			determine level of	
			rehabilitation required by industry/government to	Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and
			return to TLU opportunities	pipelines will be maintained where they
				exist and it is safe and operationally

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
			<ul> <li>Comment</li> <li>List historic TLU and rehabilitate to Historic Level</li> <li>Leave a respectable distance around campsites &amp; drying racks – plan for visual screening</li> <li>800m buffer around cabins and access trails; terrain may modify this distance</li> <li>Maintain existing access</li> <li>Maintain understory</li> <li>Maintain access/gates to traditional/historic trails w visual screening along roads</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>MFZ around historic trails while leaving understory and immature trees inside MFZ</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block roads</li> </ul>	feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment. Further engagement with SFN will be necessary to determine location of known habitation sites and trails so these features can be managed appropriately. Monitoring and assessing cumulative effects is the responsibility of government. Through SFM indicators, the FSJPP Participants measure the impacts of our business on a variety of forest management criteria such as patch sizes and seral stage to ensure our operations do not exceed the natural ranges historically found in either NDU's and LU's. The Participants will ensure prompt reforestation to maximize the area contributing to the productive forest land base. The Participants try to coordinate access rout Monitoring and assessing cumulative effects is the responsibility of government. Through SFM indicators, the FSJPP Participants measure the impacts of our business on a variety of forest management criteria such as patch sizes and seral stage to ensure our operations do not exceed the natural ranges historically found in either NDU's and LU's. The Participants will ensure prompt reforestation to maximize the area contributing to the productive forest land base. The Participants try to coordinate access rout
45098	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
			<ul> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> </ul>	typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use.
				Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block.
				Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors.
				Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment.
			<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou
45099	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> </ul>	are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
			Comment	strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use. Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and
				wetlands if they exist in the block. Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors.
				Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment.
			<ul> <li>Maintain existing access</li> <li>Maintain existing understory</li> <li>No impact to wetlands</li> <li>Protect wetland/pond fringes with 100m buffer</li> <li>Retention of ecologically relevant vegetation to sustain moose browsing or calving</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Provide adequate visual</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment. The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan.
45100	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Provide adequate visual screening along block access corridors</li> <li>Avoidance of mineral licks and game trails by at least 800 metres w connectivity outside of block</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block roads</li> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>Intense CE Study for area to determine level of rehabilitation required by industry/government to return to TLU opportunities</li> </ul>	The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use. Where wetlands are identified in or near the block, we will ensure they are protected in a WTP or left out of the block and a buffer is applied that is

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
			<ul> <li>List historic TLU and rehabilitate to Historic Level</li> <li>Maintain existing access</li> <li>Maintain access/gates to traditional/historic trails w visual screening along roads</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>MFZ around historic trails while leaving understory and immature trees inside MFZ</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block roads</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>adequate to ensure the wetland is not impacted by our operations.</li> <li>Conifer understory and NcBr and NP patches where they exist, will be retained during harvesting where it is operational to do so. This will help provide vertical structure and habitat for small mammals, birds as well as visual screening</li> <li>Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse, ecologically relevant vegetation that may sustain moose brose and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block.</li> <li>Extra effort will be made to retain veg at lower elevations and near wetland complexes where calving is more common. These areas are excluded from stand tending treatments.</li> <li>Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors.</li> <li>Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they</li> </ul>
				<ul> <li>exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment.</li> <li>Further engagement with SFN will be necessary to determine location of known trails so these features can be managed appropriately.</li> <li>Monitoring and assessing cumulative effects is the responsibility of government. Through SFM indicators, the FSJPP Participants measure the impacts of our business on a variety of forest management criteria such as patch sizes and seral stage to ensure our operations do not exceed the natural ranges historically found in either NDU's and LU's. The Participants will ensure prompt reforestation to maximize the area contributing to the productive forest land base. The Participants try to coordinate access routes with other industry to minimize the amount of permanent access</li> </ul>

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
				structures on the land base and therefore minimize the impacts to THLB. Participants also try to minimize the amount of Permanent access structures in the cut blocks.
45101	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Maintain existing access</li> <li>Maintain existing understory</li> <li>No impact to wetlands</li> <li>Protect wetland/pond fringes with 100m buffer</li> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving mose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> <li>Intense CE Study for area to determine level of rehabilitation required by industry/government to return to TLU opportunities</li> <li>List historic TLU and rehabilitate to Historic Level</li> <li>Retention of ecologically relevant vegetation to sustain moose browsing or calving</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Provide adequate visual screening along block access corridors</li> <li>Avoidance of mineral licks and game trails by at least 800 metres w connectivity outside of block</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block roads</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use. Where wetlands are identified in or near the block, we will ensure they are protected in a WTP or left out of the block and a buffer is applied that is adequate to ensure the wetland is not impacted by our operations. Conifer understory and NcBr and NP patches where they exist, will be retained during harvesting where it is operational to do so. This will help provide vertical structure and habitat for small mammals, birds as well as visual screening Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse, ecologically relevant vegetation that may sustain moose brose and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block. Extra effort will be made to retain veg at lower elevations and near wetland

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
			<ul> <li>Maintain understory</li> <li>Maintain access/gates to traditional/historic trails w visual screening along roads</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>MFZ around historic trails while leaving understory and immature trees inside MFZ</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block roads</li> </ul>	Responsecomplexes where calving is morecommon. These areas are excludedfrom stand tending treatments.Buffers on licks will be dependent onthe significance of the lick but will be aminimum of 100m. Effort will be madeto exclude the feature from the block orensure there is connectivity from theWTP established to protect the lick andthe external boundary. Effort will bemade to incorporate established gametrails into WTP's and connectivitycorridors.Established brush screens alongexisting roads, seismic lines andpipelines will be maintained where theyexist and it is safe and operationallyfeasible to do so. These areas will beleft out of brushing treatment.Further engagement with SFN will benecessary to determine location ofknown trails so these features can bemanaged appropriately.Monitoring and assessing cumulativeeffects is the responsibility ofgovernment. Through SFM indicators,
				the FSJPP Participants measure the impacts of our business on a variety of forest management criteria such as patch sizes and seral stage to ensure our operations do not exceed the natural ranges historically found in either NDU's and LU's
04-272- 00	SFN	2017-08-09		Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. Conifer understory and NcBr and NP patches where they exist, will be retained during harvesting where it is operational to do so. This will help provide vertical structure and habitat for small mammals, birds as well as visual screening
			<ul> <li>Maintain existing access</li> <li>Maintain existing understory</li> <li>No impact to wetlands</li> <li>Protect wetland/pond fringes with 100m buffer</li> <li>Maintain existing access</li> <li>Maintain understory</li> </ul>	Where wetlands are identified in or near the block, we will ensure they are protected in a WTP or left out of the block and a buffer is applied that is adequate to ensure the wetland is not impacted by our operations.
09-110- 00	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
			<ul> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> </ul>	identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use. Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block. Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors. Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment.
09-111- 00	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan.

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
			<ul> <li>Comment</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> </ul>	ResponseThe participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment.Mainline access will be maintained at 
09-116- 00	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. Where wetlands are identified in or near the block, we will ensure they are

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
Block No.	From	Date	<ul> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> <li>Maintain existing access</li> <li>Maintain existing understory</li> <li>No impact to wetlands</li> <li>Protect wetland/pond fringes with 100m buffer</li> <li>Retention of ecologically relevant vegetation to sustain moose browsing or calving</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Provide adequate visual screening along block access corridors</li> <li>Avoidance of mineral licks</li> </ul>	protected in a WTP or left out of the block and a buffer is applied that is adequate to ensure the wetland is not impacted by our operations. Conifer understory and NcBr and NP patches where they exist, will be retained during harvesting where it is operational to do so. This will help provide vertical structure and habitat for small mammals, birds as well as visual screening Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and
			<ul> <li>and game trails by at least 800 metres w connectivity outside of block</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block roads</li> </ul>	stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use. Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse, ecologically relevant vegetation that may sustain moose brose and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block. Extra effort will be made to retain veg at lower elevations and near wetland complexes where calving is more common. These areas are excluded from stand tending treatments. Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the
				<ul> <li>WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors.</li> <li>Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment.</li> </ul>
09-116- 01	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
NO.			<ul> <li>Comment</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> </ul>	Responseconsultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan.The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment.Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use.Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block.Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors.Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally
				feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment.
09-121- 00	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
			<ul> <li>comment</li> <li>trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> </ul>	operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use. Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block. Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors. Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be
09-122- 00	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> </ul>	left out of brushing treatment.The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan.The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
INU.			<ul> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> </ul>	response         are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment.         Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use.         Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block.         Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors.         Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally
09-125- 00	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain</li> </ul>	left out of brushing treatment.The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan.The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment.Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
			<ul> <li>cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> <li>Retention of ecologically relevant vegetation to sustain moose browsing or calving</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Provide adequate visual screening along block access corridors</li> <li>Avoidance of mineral licks and game trails by at least 800 metres w connectivity outside of block</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block roads</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use.</li> <li>Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse, ecologically relevant vegetation that may sustain moose brose and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block.</li> <li>Extra effort will be made to retain veg at lower elevations and near wetland complexes where calving is more common. These areas are excluded from stand tending treatments.</li> <li>Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors.</li> <li>Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be</li> </ul>
09-130- 00	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain</li> </ul>	left out of brushing treatment.The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan.The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment.Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
			<ul> <li>cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> </ul>	water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use.
				Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block.
				Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors.
				Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment.
09-134- 00	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. Mainline access will be maintained at
			<ul> <li>visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> </ul>	the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
				left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use.
				Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block.
				Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors.
				Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment.
09-135-00	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment. The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use. Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
				where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block.
				Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors.
				Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment.
09-136-00	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use. Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block.

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
				Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors.
				Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment.
45-068-00	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving mose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at PMP/NIT stage</li> <li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li> </ul>	The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment. Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use. Effort will be made to include areas of prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block. Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
				made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors.
				Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment.
				The need for herbicide treatment is not determined until after harvesting is complete and the brush hazard can be effectively assessed. If herbicide is required in this block, further consultation will occur at that point (annual NIT stage) and discussions regarding mitigation strategies for identified values can be implemented prior to spraying. The Participants will operate under their currently confirmed Pest Management Plan. The participants will shut down
				equipment when moose elk or caribou are seen by equipment operators within 200m of active equipment.
A-080- A/ 94- B-8	SFN	2017-08-09	<ul> <li>Consider during NIT/PMPs – larger buffers</li> <li>Shutdown operations when animals within 200m</li> <li>Manage for leaving moose browse in strategic areas</li> <li>Manage for leaving appropriate grasses for olk in</li> </ul>	Mainline access will be maintained at the current standard. The participants do not decommission in-block roads. In-block roads will be deactivated with water bars and cross ditches to manage water flow and will be grass seeded to prevent sediment delivery into water courses. The deactivation will typically prevent access into blocks by highway vehicles but not preclude access by ATV's or UTV's. Access is left open to aid in reforestation and stand tending activities. Deactivation or strategic piling can be done on the block to discourage ATV or UTV use. Effort will be made to include areas of
			<ul> <li>appropriate grasses for elk in strategic areas</li> <li>No development in area of lick nor around game access trails; avoidance by at least 800 metres</li> <li>Trail and lick connectivity to outside of block is preferable</li> <li>(Includes big game, small game and birds)</li> <li>Maintain existing access w visual screening along roads</li> <li>In moose range, leave some moose browse where terrain cooperates, and leave this area out of any future treatments</li> <li>No treatment on screening at</li> </ul>	prime moose browse and elk forage where they exist into WTP patches. Browse will also be protected through establishment of Riparian management areas adjacent to streams, NCD's and wetlands if they exist in the block.
				Buffers on licks will be dependent on the significance of the lick but will be a minimum of 100m. Effort will be made to exclude the feature from the block or ensure there is connectivity from the WTP established to protect the lick and the external boundary. Effort will be made to incorporate established game trails into WTP's and connectivity corridors.
			<ul><li>PMP/NIT stage</li><li>Decommissioning of in-block road</li></ul>	Established brush screens along existing roads, seismic lines and pipelines will be maintained where they

Block No.	From	Date	Comment	Response
				exist and it is safe and operationally feasible to do so. These areas will be left out of brushing treatment.
22041, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46	FNFN		<ul> <li>Blocks too close to remote reserve and will impact their ability to use the land for treaty rights year-round.</li> <li>Visuals and esthetics of the area and pesticide use are also a concern.</li> </ul>	Blocks will all be dropped from FOS
42017, 19,20,2 3	FNFN		<ul> <li>Blocks are right along trapline and will impact ability to trap</li> </ul>	There are no immediate plans to harvest anything in the trapline. Participants will notify trapper if work commences in trapline. Mitigation agreed to by trapper during info sharing meeting.

## Appendix F: Public Review Specific FOS Revisions

## FOREST OPERATIONS SCHEDULE #3

Revisions made to the final FOS as a result of the public review process – block deletions.

Forest Operations Schedule #3 – Blocks dropped as a result of comments received		
Block	Comment from:	
12039	HRFN	
12040	HRFN	
12042	HRFN	
22041	FNFN	
22042	FNFN	
22043	FNFN	
22044	FNFN	
22045	FNFN	
22046	FNFN	

Revisions made to the final FOS as a result of the public review process – block reconfigurations.

Changes made to FOS resulting from Review and Comment			
Block	Comment from	Changes made	
05063	HRFN	50 metre buffer will be established along the 109 road	
05069	HRFN	50 metre buffer will be established along the 109 road	
05150	HRFN	50 metre buffer will be established along the 109 road	
01310	Matt Hedges	Block will be modified to reduce harvesting adjacent to breeding pasture	
01311	Matt Hedges	Block will be scheduled for winter harvest	
01304	Matt Hedges	Maintain a range barrier between block 01304 and S01004	
12037	HRFN	Engage HRFN to determine whether there are opportunities to modify blocks to remove areas adjacent to Horseshoe creek. Winter harvesting and reconfiguring blocks will minimize impacts close to the creek and and minimize impacts to diamond willow and birch habitat. Arch assessments will be completed if harvesting is considered.	
12041	HRFN	Engage HRFN to determine whether there are opportunities to modify blocks to remove areas adjacent to Horseshoe creek. Winter harvesting and reconfiguring blocks will minimize impacts close to the creek and minimize impacts to diamond willow and birch habitat. Arch assessments will be completed if harvesting is considered.	
04092	HRFN	Participants have engaged HRFN in discussions regarding this block for past 18 months, licks have been buffered, and access has been rereouted to the North away from the licks as discussed and agreed to with HRFN. The harvest plan for the block has been modified to address all concerns raised during the engagement with HRFN. Participants will engage with HRFN to identify the location of trail cameras to avoid impacts during harvesting.	
04120	HRFN	Participants have engaged HRFN in discussions about this block for past 18 months. Canfor is removing the Southern half of the block after a field visit with HRFN demonstrated the high- value habitat that is present in this area Effort will be made to coordinate road access with Conoco Phillips. Access will be managed to reduce motorized traffic within the block post harvest. A WTP has been designed to act as visual buffer between proposed Conoco Phillips well site to minimize line of sight into the cut block. Steepest sections of the block have been removed from harvest area. No signs of instability were noted within the block during layout therefore, no terrain stability assessment is necessary.	
04211	HRFN	Previously authorized block. Known wildlife features have been protected by WTPs. Block was reviewed with HRFN in the field. Visual buffer installed along 95 road. Partial retention is prescribed to minimize visual impact of block and retain vertical structure contributing to habitat values. Known wildlife trail will be protected. TSFA has been completed. Council was presented with block plan and Canfor was told they were comfortable moving us ahead with the plan via email on Monday Sept 18th	
04241	HRFN	As agreed to by HRFN, access is proposed through 04092, from the North which avoids high use area that is to the South of the block.	

Changes made to FOS resulting from Review and Comment		
04243	HRFN	As agreed to by HRFN, access is proposed through 04092, from the North which avoids high use area that is to the South of the block.
06082	HRFN	Block is already designed outside of the Major River Corridor (MRC). MRC is a minimum of 100m. This has been addressed.